Preliminary Drainage Report

TTRes at Commerce City Chambers Road

(JN: 23049) 10225 Chambers Rd Commerce City, CO

August 27, 2024

Prepared for:

Thompson Thrift Residential Steve Herron 111 Monument Circle, Suite 1600 Indianapolis, IN 46204 317.853.5425

Prepared by:

Proof Civil Adrian Luce, PE 600 Grant Street, Ste. 210 Denver, CO 80203 303.325.5709 23049 – TTRes at Commerce City Chambers Road 8/27/2024 Page 2 of 14

Certification

ENGINEER CERTIFICATION OF DRAINAGE REPORT

I hereby certify that this preliminary drainage study for the TTRes at Commerce City Chambers Road was prepared by me or under direct supervision in accordance with the provisions of the Commerce City Storm Drainage Design and Technical Criteria Manual for the owners thereof.

Date

Registered Professional Engineer State of Colorado PE No. 0053564 For and on behalf of Proof Civil Co.

Table of Contents

Tabl	e of Contents
I.	Introduction4
II.	General Location and Description4
A.	Project Location
Β.	Project Description4
III.	Drainage Basins and Sub-Bains5
A.	Major Basin Descriptions5
Β.	Sub-basin Descriptions5
C.	Historic Basins
IV.	Drainage Criteria6
A.	. Regulation6
Β.	Drainage Studies, Outfall Systems Plans and Site Constraints6
C.	Hydrology7
D	. Hydraulics
Ε.	Stormwater Quality and Detention
V.	Drainage Design
A.	General Concept8
Β.	Proposed Drainage Basins
C.	Site Specific Hydraulic Design11
D	Storage and Water Quality Treatment12
E,	Variances from Criteria12
VI.	Conclusion12
A	Compliance with Standards12
Β.	Drainage Concept
C.	Water Quality13
VII.	References14

23049 – TTRes at Commerce City Chambers Road 8/27/2024 Page 4 of 14

I. Introduction

This preliminary drainage report for TTRes at Commerce City Chambers Road will address the on-site stormwater conveyance and treatment for the development in accordance with criteria set forth by applicable governing agencies as well as previously approved relevant drainage studies.

II. General Location and Description

A. Project Location

TTRes at Commerce City Chambers Road is located at 10225 Chambers Road at the northwest corner of the future intersection of 102nd and Chambers Road. Specifically, within the south ½ of the NE ¼ of section 18, township 2 south, range 66 west of the 6th principal meridian City of Commerce City, County of Adams, State of Colorado. The project is tributary to Second Creek which is approximately 0.5 miles east of the proposed development. There are no major drainage ways on the site, however near the southeast corner of the property there is an existing water quality and detention facility that was installed as part of the High Pointe subdivision project. The proposed development is bordered by a Colorado Public Service parcel to the north, Chambers Road to the east, E. 102nd Ave to the south, and a single-family residential property to the west.

Refer to the vicinity map within the Appendix for additional information on site location.

B. Project Description

The pre-dedicated area of the property is approximately 13.3 acres, of which all will be disturbed as part of this project. The site is currently covered by native vegetation, homes, and farmland. The site is tributary to Second Creek and was analyzed as part of the 2007 JR Report titled "Final Drainage Study For 104th Avenue Corridor Improvements Phase 2", prepared by JR Engineering, LLC, dated April 2007, hereafter referred to as the 2007 JR Report. Per the 2007 JR Report, flow from this property is routed in a northern direction towards 104th Avenue via sheet flow within the vacant property north of the development. From the vacant property to the north, it is routed to Chambers where it is then conveyed via curb and gutter to existing storm sewer. Runoff produced from this development will ultimately be routed to the 104th Avenue outfall and discharged into Second Creek.

The existing structures, pastures and drives will be cleared from the site to make way for the proposed multifamily residential development. The improvements associated with this project include parking lot paving, drive lanes, sidewalks, landscape area and 19 proposed buildings. The proposed buildings will consist of apartment units, leasing facilities, community areas and garages.

The geotechnical study encountered no groundwater during the drilled borings; therefore, groundwater will not impact the site. Based on the United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) National Cooperative Soil Survey, the majority of onsite soils are identified as truckton loamy sand, and are classified as Hydrologic Soil Group A. Group A is sand, loamy sand or sandy loam types of soils. It has low runoff potential and high infiltration rates even when thoroughly wetted. They consist chiefly of deep, well to excessively drained sands or gravels and have a high rate of water transmission. Additional soil information will be provided as part of the final drainage report for this project, to include soil boring data.

There are no known major irrigation facilities onsite or immediately adjacent.

There is no known history of flooding within this property.

According to FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map Panel #08001C0343H, the subject site is located within flood hazard area Zone X. Zone X is defined as an area outside the 0.2-percent-chance (or 500-year) flood. Refer to Appendix A for the applicable FEMA flood map.

Refer to attached drainage map for proposed and existing easements associated with this property.

23049 – TTRes at Commerce City Chambers Road 8/27/2024 Page 5 of 14

Based on the maps available on the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE) database there are no known points of contamination onsite, however there are areas of concern north of the project near 104th and Chambers. Onsite soil contamination will be further examined as part of the Geotechnical Report.

III. Drainage Basins and Sub-Basins

A. Major Basin Descriptions

The project is located within the Second Creek basin and has been part of DFA 0053 Outfall Systems Planning Drainage Study (OSP) and the aforementioned 2007 JR Report. The Second Creek basin is approximately 11.7 square miles, with an imperviousness range of 15% to 90% based on the commercial and residential land usages. The development site is located within sub basin 46 of the OSP, with a future imperviousness of 50% based on residential land usage. The 2007 JR Report used an assumed imperviousness of 2% and a Soil Group of B. The historic drainage pattern is in the northern direction towards 104th via sheet flows through the northern properties where they will be directed towards Chambers and ultimately Second Creek. Based on the 2007 JR report, the project would need to provide onsite detention, and restrict release rates to historic flows.

B. Sub-basin Descriptions

The majority of the existing site is currently undeveloped with approximately 30% native vegetation cover, and general slopes of 5% in a northern direction. Flows are conveyed via overland flows to the adjacent properties to the north where they sheet flow to Chambers Road north of the proposed development

The proposed development is located within Basin M of the 2007 JR Report and will outfall to the adjacent 36" storm line within Chambers. The storm line within Chambers will convey the flows north to the outfall system located in 104th Avenue. The 2007 JR Report anticipated that any future development would need to provide detention to reduce development runoff rates back to historic runoff rates, such that future developed basins were analyzed as 2% imperviousness. The resulting flows utilized in the 2007 JR report were 2.8 cfs and 18.3 cfs for the 5-year and 100-year event, respectively. These rates were utilized in the sizing of the 36" storm line within Chambers Road and the 104th Outfall. The 104th storm sewer outfalls into Second Creek per the 2007 JR Report, and OSP.

Refer to Appendix B for applicable information relating to the historic basin, Basin M, as identified within the previously approved 2007 JR Report.

Per section 2.3.2 of the Commerce City Drainage Criteria, (CCDC) and as outlined in the 2007 JR Report, the proposed development will need to provide onsite water quality and detention. Per section 2.3.2 of the CCDC, the project is not tributary to a regional water quality facility, and therefore the 20/10 requirements are not applicable to the development site.

Due to the development of the High Pointe Subdivision to the south there are no anticipated offsite flows that impact this site.

C. Historic Basins

The existing site is divided into drainage basins described as follows.

a. Basin H-OS1

Basin H-OS1 consists of 0.17 acres of landscape area and a small portion of Chambers Road that enters the subject property. Runoff generated from this basin is conveyed via sheet flow to the southeast corner of the subject property boundary.

23049 – TTRes at Commerce City Chambers Road 8/27/2024 Page 6 of 14

b. Basin H-OS₂

Basin H-OS₂ consists of 0.28 acres of single-family residential lots that are a part of the High Pointe Subdivision. Runoff generated from this basin is conveyed via sheet flow to the south side of the subject property boundary.

c. Basin H-A

Basin H-A consists of 13.46 acres of onsite area that includes mostly undeveloped area and has some miscellaneous buildings and structures. In general, runoff from this basin is conveyed to the north and to the northeast and flow across the adjacent PSCo Utility Tract as surface flow.

d. Basin H-B

Basin H-B consists of 0.06 acres of landscape area from the subject site that drains into the existing High Pointe Subdivision water quality pond and is a portion of the existing water quality pond for High point Subdivision.

e. Basin H-C

Basin H-C consists of 0.07 acres of undeveloped area within the subject site. Runoff generated from this basin is conveyed to the west and into a small swale that is located on the western property line.

IV. Drainage Criteria

A. Regulation

Methods described in the MHFD Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual and in the Commerce City Storm Drainage Design and Technical Criteria Manual were used for the drainage design of the Site.

B. Drainage Studies, Outfall Systems Plans and Site Constraints

This preliminary drainage design has been prepared in compliance with the Final Drainage Study For 104th Avenue Corridor improvements Phase 2 and Second Creek (downstream of DIA) and DFA 0053 Watersheds Outfall System Planning Study Update (OSP). Per the 2007 JR Report, the site will provide an onsite full-spectrum pond, and release at a rate less than the historic 5 and 100-year release rates from the site.

The 2007 JR Report was used as a baseline for the storm improvements within Chambers and 104th Avenue. These improvements were completed under the High Pointe Phase III Drainage Report, prepared by Calibre Engineering, revised January 2005 (2005 Calibre Report), and the North Range Town Center Phase III Drainage Report, prepared by Calibre Engineering, revised February 2007 (2007 Calibre Report). The 2005 Calibre report outlines the installation of a 36" storm line within Chambers, which outfalls to Second Creek through a temporary system that was proposed to be abandoned after the final 104th Avenue outfall was completed. The 2007 Calibre Report outlines the extension of the storm line within Chambers and upsizes the storm sewer to a 48" RCP line at the connection of the storm installed under the High Pointe Subdivision, near the intersection of 103rd Avenue and Chambers. The storm line within Chambers was sized based on a flow of 88.6 cfs as outlined in both reports. It was unclear if the flow presented within the previous drainage studies included the release rates of the detention pond of the proposed development and therefore an analysis of the existing outfall within Chambers has been completed as part of this report.

The storm has been reevaluated within this report based on the Calibre flows of 88.6 cfs, which includes the areas south of the proposed development tributary to the storm line as well as the additional pond releases from the proposed development to ensure the total flow would not increase the hydraulic grade lines within the system to a point that would violate the Commerce City Storm Drainage criteria. The total flow analyzed within this report is 91.40 cfs that is routed to the 36" RCP storm line immediately adjacent to the proposed development.

23049 – TTRes at Commerce City Chambers Road 8/27/2024 Page 7 of 14

Based on updated hydraulic modeling within the Appendix of this report the existing 36" and 48" storm lines within Chambers have adequate capacity to accommodate the proposed developments pond release rates.

There are two basins that will be tributary to the proposed development, denoted as H-OS1 & H-OS2 and described in section III.C of this report. The existing basins will be allowed to drain through the proposed development as they have in the historic condition, however they will be routed to the proposed onsite detention pond and will be treated and detained prior to being released to the existing storm line within Chambers Road.

As part of this project, 102nd Avenue will be extended along the southern property boundary. The drainage design of the development has been influenced by the increased imperviousness and vertical/horizontal alignment of the 102nd Avenue extension. The areas associated with the roadway extension were denoted as offsite drainage basins H-OS1 and H-OS2 on the historic drainage map.

The site grading is constrained by existing grades of the surrounding properties and Chambers Road adjacent to the site. On the northern portion of the property there is an existing gas main that will need to remain in place.

C. Hydrology

The Rational Method analysis, utilizing the Intensity-Duration-Frequency curves established for Commerce City, was used to determine the on-site runoff generated for the 5-year (minor), and 100-year (major) storm events. Runoff coefficients were based on the type of proposed development outlined in the MHFD manual and Soil Group A as outlined previously. Runoff coefficients used in the analysis were weighted according to the proposed land uses in each basin or sub-basin and the time of concentration values have been calculated for each of the basins or sub-basins per Commerce City criteria.

See the Proposed Drainage Basin Section and Appendix of this report for additional information relating to imperviousness and runoff values.

Detention storage and release rates have been calculated using the MHFD full-spectrum design criteria. The proposed pond will discharge at a rate of 2.1 cfs and 2.8 cfs during the 5-year and 100-year event, respectively. Hydrology calculations can be found in Appendix C. Refer to the Storage and Water Quality Treatment Section of this report for additional information.

D. Hydraulics

Conveyance of on-site generated and tributary off-site flows have been calculated using Manning's equation in accordance with the MHFD Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual and the Commerce City Storm Drainage Design and Technical Criteria Manual.

E. Stormwater Quality and Detention

Per City of CCDC Chapter 14, the proposed development will be required to provide water quality onsite. The proposed development will include a full-spectrum pond which is designed for the full Water Quality Capture Volume and will also minimize directly connected impervious areas (MDCIA) to the greatest extent practical as recommended by CCDC.

Since the project is not tributary to a downstream regional or sub-regional facility the proposed development will not be required to meet the 20/10 rule.

Although MDCIA is not required to meet the 20/10 threshold, CCDC MDCIA is required to be provided as practicable with the development and is met by sending rooftop areas to landscape buffers located along the landscape courtyard side of several of the buildings. A map and calculations for runoff reduction are included within the Appendix of this report that illustrates how MDCIA is provided.

23049 – TTRes at Commerce City Chambers Road 8/27/2024 Page 8 of 14

Additionally, MDCIA will be implementing to the greatest extent practicable by routing rooftops and hardscape areas to grass buffers and grass swales prior to runoff entering the storm sewer system. It is important to note the full extent of MDCIA was not calculated as part of the Preliminary Drainage Report and will be supplemented as part of the Final Drainage Report.

MDCIA has been provided to the fullest extent practicable meeting the intent of Chapter 14 of City's Drainage Criteria. The proposed on-site full spectrum pond will meet all MS4 requirements by providing water quality for the entire development.

V. Drainage Design

A. General Concept

Runoff from the maximum practicable extents of the proposed development will be directed to the proposed onsite full spectrum pond via sheet flow, channelized gutter flow, roof drains, and storm sewer. As outlined within the 2007 JR Report, the proposed pond will discharge to the 36" storm line within Chambers, adjacent to the site, at a rate below or equal to the historic runoff rates.

Due to the increased impervious area in the proposed condition, a proposed full-spectrum detention and water quality pond will be constructed on site per MHFD criteria.

B. Proposed Drainage Basins

The improved site is divided into drainage basins described as follows:

a. Basin A1

Basin A1 is comprised of 0.59 acres of proposed parking lot, drive, building and landscape area. Runoff generated by this basin will be conveyed by a grass line swale along the western property boundary to Design Point 1. Design Point 1 represents a curb opening that will allow the runoff from Basin A1 to drain through Basin A3 where it will be captured by the proposed inlet at Design Point 3. The 100-year peak runoff of Basin A1 is 2.1 cfs.

b. Basin A2

Basin A2 is made up of building and landscape areas and has an area of 0.21 acres. Runoff generated from this basin will be routed via roof drains to landscape areas along the face of the building, where it will be captured by area inlets and conveyed to the storm sewer system at Design Point 2. The 100-year peak runoff from Basin A2 is 1.4 cfs.

c. Basin A3

Basin A₃ is comprised of 0.57 acres of parking lot, drive, and garages. Runoff from this basin will be conveyed to an inlet located at Design point 3 via pans and curb and gutter. The runoff will be combined with the runoff from Basin A₁, the inlet has been sized to capture the peak runoff from Basins A₁ and A₃. The peak runoff in the 100-year event is 3.7 cfs for Basin A₃ and the combined peak runoff to the inlet at DP₃ is 5.0 cfs.

d. Basin A4

Basin A4 is made up of building and landscape areas and has an area of 0.18 acres. Runoff generated from this basin will be routed via roof drains to landscape areas along the face of the building, where it will be captured by area inlets and conveyed to the storm sewer system at Design Point 4. The 100-year peak runoff from Basin A4 is 1.2 cfs.

e. Basin A5

Basin A5 is comprised of 0.91 acres of proposed building and landscape area. Runoff generated by this basin will be conveyed by a grass line swale located between the buildings to a proposed area inlet located at Design Point 5. The area inlet has been designed to capture 100% of the runoff generated within this basin. The 100-year peak runoff of Basin A5 is 4.2 cfs.

f. Basin A6

Basin A6 is made up of building and landscape areas and has an area of 0.21 acres. Runoff generated from this basin will be routed via roof drains to landscape areas along the face of the building, where it will be captured by area inlets and conveyed to the storm sewer system at Design Point 6. The 100-year peak runoff from Basin A4 is 1.4 cfs.

g. Basin A7

Basin A7 is made up of building and landscape areas and has an area of 0.17 acres. Runoff generated from this basin will be routed via roof drains to landscape areas along the face of the building, where it will be captured by area inlets and conveyed to the storm sewer system at Design Point 7. The 100-year peak runoff from Basin A4 is 1.0 cfs.

h. Basin A8

Basin A8 is comprised of 2.36 acres of parking lot, drive, and garages. Runoff from this basin will be conveyed to an inlet located at Design point 8 via pans and curb and gutter. The inlet has been sized to capture the peak runoff from Basins A8. The peak runoff in the 100-year event is 14.3 cfs for Basin A8.

i. Basin Ag

Basin A9 is made up of building and landscape areas and has an area of 0.21 acres. Runoff generated from this basin will be routed via roof drains to landscape areas along the face of the building, where it will be captured by area inlets and conveyed to the storm sewer system at Design Point 9. The 100-year peak runoff from Basin A9 is 1.4 cfs.

j. Basin A10

Basin A10 is comprised of 0.63 acres of proposed building and landscape area. Runoff generated by this basin will be conveyed by a grass line swale located between the buildings to a proposed area inlet located at Design Point 10. The area inlet has been designed to capture 100% of the runoff generated within this basin. The 100-year peak runoff of Basin A10 is 3.0 cfs.

k. Basin A11

Basin A11 is made up of building and landscape areas and has an area of 0.18 acres. Runoff generated from this basin will be routed via roof drains to landscape areas along the face of the building, where it will be captured by area inlets and conveyed to the storm sewer system at Design Point 11. The 100-year peak runoff from Basin A11 is 1.1 cfs.

I. Basin A12

Basin A12 is comprised of 0.20 acres of proposed building and landscape area. Runoff generated by this basin will be conveyed by a concrete drain pan along the southern property boundary to Design Point 15. Design Point 13 represents a curb opening that will allow the runoff from Basin A13 to drain through Basin A15 where it will be captured by the proposed inlet at Design Point 16. The 100-year peak runoff of Basin A12 is 0.5 cfs.

m. Basin A13

Basin A13 is comprised of 1.43 acres of parking lot, drive, and buildings. Runoff from this basin will be conveyed to an inlet located at Design point 13 via pans and curb and gutter. The runoff will be combined with the runoff from Basin A12, the inlet has been sized to capture the peak runoff from Basins A12, A13 and A14. The peak runoff in the 100-year event is 6.7 cfs for Basin A13.

n. Basin A14

Basin A14 is comprised of 1.31 acres of parking lot, drive, and buildings. Runoff from this basin will be conveyed to an inlet located at Design point 13 via pans and curb and gutter. The inlet has been sized to capture the peak runoff from Basins A12, A13 and A14. The peak runoff in the 100-year event is 8.1 cfs for Basin A14 and the combined peak runoff to the inlet at DP13 is 13.8 cfs.

o. Basin A15

Basin A15 represents the area of the proposed detention pond and has a total area of 0.46 acres. Runoff generated within this basin will be routed directly to the pond via surface flow. The 100-year peak runoff from Basin A15 is 0.6 cfs.

p. Basin B1

Basin B1 is made up of building and landscape areas and has an area of 0.18 acres. Runoff generated from this basin will be routed via roof drains to landscape areas along the face of the building, where it will be captured by area inlets and conveyed to the storm sewer system at Design Point 14. The 100-year peak runoff from Basin B1 is 1.1 cfs.

q. Basin B2

Basin B2 is made up of building and landscape areas and has an area of 0.18 acres. Runoff generated from this basin will be routed via roof drains to landscape areas along the face of the building, where it will be captured by area inlets and conveyed to the storm sewer system at Design Point 15. The 100-year peak runoff from Basin B2 is 1.1 cfs.

r. Basin B3

Basin B3 is made up of buildings, courtyards and landscape areas and has an area of 1.00 acres. Runoff generated from this basin will be routed overland to a proposed area inlet at Design point 16. The 100-year peak runoff from Basin B3 is 4.2 cfs.

s. Basin B4

Basin B4 is made up of building and landscape areas and has an area of 0.15 acres. Runoff generated from this basin will be routed via roof drains to landscape areas along the face of the building, where it will be captured by area inlets and conveyed to the storm sewer system at Design Point 17. The 100-year peak runoff from Basin B3 is 0.9 cfs.

t. Basin C1

Basin C1 represents the southern half of 102nd Avenue that is historically tributary to the development with a total area of 0.77 acres. The basin is comprised of roadway pavement, sidewalk and landscape area. Runoff generated within this basin will be conveyed east to proposed inlets near the intersection of 102nd and Chambers at Design Point 18. The inlet has been sized to capture the 100-year peak runoff and route the runoff to the proposed pond via storm sewer. The 100-year peak runoff from Basin C1 is 3.5 cfs.

u. Basin C2

Basin C₂ represents the northern half of 102^{nd} Avenue that is historically tributary to the development with a total area of 0.93 acres. The basin is comprised of roadway pavement, sidewalk and landscape area. Runoff generated within this basin will be conveyed east to proposed inlets near the intersection of 102^{nd} and Chambers at Design Point 19. The inlet has been sized to capture the 100-year peak runoff and route the runoff to the proposed pond via storm sewer. The 100-year peak runoff from Basin C₁ is 4.8 cfs.

v. Basin C3

Basin C₃ is made up of building and landscape areas and has an area of 0.19 acres. Runoff generated from this basin will be routed via roof drains to landscape areas along the face of the building, where it will be captured by area inlets and conveyed to the storm sewer system at Design Point 20. The 100-year peak runoff from Basin C₃ is 1.1 cfs.

w. Basin C4

Basin C4 is made up of building and landscape areas and has an area of 0.27 acres. Runoff generated from this basin will be routed via roof drains to landscape areas along the face of the building, where it will be captured by area inlets and conveyed to the storm sewer system at Design Point 21. The 100-year peak runoff from Basin C4 is 1.1 cfs.

x. Basin OS-1

Basin OS-1 represents the southwest portion of 102nd Avenue, originally accounted for within the High Pointe Final Drainage Report. Runoff will be directed south as outlined within the approved report for the High Point Subdivision. The 100-year peak runoff from Basin OS-1 is 0.9 cfs.

y. Basin OS-2

Basin OS-2 is comprised of landscape area along the western perimeter of the site that was deemed unfeasible to capture. This basin will discharge to the neighboring property on the West as it does historically. The 100-year peak runoff from Basin OS-2 is less than 0.1 cfs.

z. Basin OS-3

Basin OS-3 is comprised of landscape area along the northern perimeter of the site that was deemed unfeasible to capture. This basin will discharge to the neighboring property on the North as it does historically. The 100-year peak runoff from Basin OS-2 is 0.2 cfs.

Refer to Appendix C for minor and major peak runoff of all drainage basins.

C. Site Specific Hydraulic Design

Onsite generated runoff will be conveyed to the proposed onsite Water Quality and Detention Pond via sheet flow, channelized swale flow, channelized gutter flow, curb chases, roof drains and storm sewer. Runoff at rooftops will discharge to the landscape areas via building downspouts to provide MDCIA to the extent practicable. The receiving landscape areas have been designed to convey the full peak runoff of the adjacent buildings. The proposed hardscape, remaining rooftops and landscape have been designed to sheet flow to curb and gutter or inlets. Curb inlets have been designed at designated low points within drive lanes and parking areas to convey flows to the proposed storm sewer system. The storm sewer system will discharge into the proposed detention and water quality pond for flow attenuation. Hydraulic calculations can be found in Appendix D.

D. Storage and Water Quality Treatment

A MHFD full-spectrum water quality and detention pond has been designed for the site to attenuate and treat flows within a 72-hour drain time, as identified within local and state requirements. The pond features a concrete trickle channel, micropool, overflow weir (emergency spillway), concrete forebay and maintenance access path. The top of the pond is set a minimum of 12" above the 100-Year ponding WSEL, therefore providing a minimum of 12" of freeboard within the pond. The total volume associated with the proposed pond is 1.61 ac-ft and will have a release rate of 2.1 cfs and 2.8 cfs during the 5-year and 100-year event, respectively.

The water quality forebay will remove larger particle sediment in an easily maintainable area at the upstream end of the pond. The water quality forebay will be designed as part of the final drainage report.

As part of the final drainage report a "V" shaped concrete trickle channel sloped at 0.4% will be designed to encourage complete draining of the pond and facilitate pond maintenance. The softscape pond bottom will be designed to provide a minimum 2% slope towards the proposed trickle channel.

As part of the final drainage report a 55 cubic foot volume, 2.5-foot depth permanent micropool will be designed to promote sediment separation and containment. The proposed micropool will be integrated into the proposed outlet structure.

An emergency spillway has been included in the drainage design. The emergency spillway has been designed to provide a safe overflow path to the public Right-of-Way for peak runoff in the unlikely situation that the outlet structure or downstream storm becomes overwhelmed or clogged. The spillway will discharge directly to Chambers Road in the event of an emergency. The bottom of the spillway is set at an elevation at the 100-Year Water Surface Elevation (WSEL). The emergency spillway has been sized to convey the undetained peak flow of the 100-year event runoff.

The full-spectrum water quality and detention pond will be privately owned and operated. A proposed access path is included as part of the design of the pond which will allow the ownership group to provide periodic maintenance in accordance with Commerce City requirements. As part of the maintenance plan for the pond, annual inspection and inspection reports will be completed by the ownership group, the reports will be kept on file for a minimum of 3 years as outlined within the CCDC. Should it become necessary the ownership group understands that the City reserves the right to access and conduct inspection of the stormwater facilities onsite. Prior to the final drainage report all necessary drainage easements will be put in place with the City.

The project includes a full spectrum pond, grass swales and landscape buffers as part of its permanent stormwater control measures. It's important to note that while the project is providing disconnected imperviousness areas, rooftops and hardscape areas routed to proposed landscape areas, it is not taking any pond volume reductions for this, and the full spectrum pond has been designed to treat full water quality.

Storage and Water Quality calculations can be found in Appendix E.

E. Variances from Criteria

No variances from applicable criteria are being requested as a part of this drainage design.

VI. Conclusion

A. Compliance with Standards

This report presents the description and calculations for the drainage analysis and design of TTRes at Commerce City Chambers Road. The drainage system was designed in accordance with the Commerce City

23049 – TTRes at Commerce City Chambers Road 8/27/2024 Page 13 of 14

Storm Drainage Design Technical Criteria Manual, the MHFD Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual, and the previously discussed 2005 Calibre Report, 2007 Calibre Report, 2007 JR Report and the 2004 OSP.

Since the project is not located within a Floodplain or Floodway, there are no requirements, LOMOR or CLOMOR, from the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) associated with the project.

B. Drainage Concept

The 2004 OSP anticipated that the subject site would be developed as medium-density residential, school and have an imperviousness of 50% in the developed condition. The proposed apartment development has an imperviousness value of 75% which is greater than what was anticipated in the 2004 OSP. In response to this increase, we are mitigating any negative downstream impacts by installing a full-spectrum detention pond for the proposed development. Additionally, the more detailed 2007 JR Report was completed after the 2004 OSP and included the design of the downstream storm and outfall infrastructure for the basin that the subject site is within. For this reason, the 2007 JR Report has been used as the basis of the proposed site design to show conformance with the regional drainage infrastructure.

As outlined in the 2007 JR Report, the subject site will need to provide an on-site water quality and detention pond and ensure that the discharge from the development is less than the historic runoff from the site. The 2007 JR Report designed the downstream stormwater infrastructure based on peak discharge rates from the site of 2.8 cfs and 18.3 cfs from the subject site (Basin M) in the minor and major storms, respectively. The proposed full spectrum detention pond will release peak rates of 2.2 cfs (5-yr) and 2.8 cfs (100-yr) to the existing adjacent 36" storm sewer. Even though there is a decreased release compared to what was originally assumed within the JR 2007 Report the existing downstream storm sewer system was modeled to ensure the additional flow added would not increase the hydraulic grade lines within the system to a point that would violate the Commerce City Storm Drainage criteria. Based on the modeling and the lowered release rates, the proposed improvements cause no adverse impact on the existing downstream infrastructure that will convey the runoff to the Second Creek outfall per the OSP.

The development will increase the imperviousness of the site, therefore generating a higher amount of runoff. To mitigate negative impacts downstream of the development a water quality and detention pond has been included as part of the site improvements which will have a release rate less than the historic values.

C. Water Quality

The City and State construction MS4 requirements for construction activities will be met by a separate Stormwater Management Plan and Report. The City's post construction MS4 requirements are being met by the proposed onsite full-spectrum pond.

23049 – TTRes at Commerce City Chambers Road 8/27/2024 Page 14 of 14

VII. References

(2023, May). Storm Drainage Design and Technical Criterial Manual. Commerce Clty, Colorado, USA.

(2016, June). Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volumes 1, 2, and 3. Mile High Floodl District.

(2007, April). *Final Drainage Study for 104th Avenue Corridor Improvements Phase 2.* JR Engineering, LLC.

(2004, August). Second Creek (Downstream of DIA) and DFA 0053 Watersheds Outfall System Planning Study Updated. Kiowa Engineering Corporation.

(2006, December). North Range Town Center Phase III Drainage Report. Calbre Engineering.

(2004, September). *High Pointe Phase III Drainage Report*. JR Engineering.

APPENDIX A

- FEMA FIRM MAPS
- WEB SOIL SURVEY RESULTS

VICINITY MAP



National Flood Hazard Layer FIRMette



Legend



Basemap Imagery Source: USGS National Map 2023



Natural Resources Conservation Service

USDA

Web Soil Survey National Cooperative Soil Survey



Web Soil Survey National Cooperative Soil Survey



Hydrologic Soil Group

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
AsB	Ascalon sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	В	1.0	6.8%
TtD	Truckton loamy sand, 3 to 9 percent slopes	A	13.5	93.2%
Totals for Area of Intere	st	14.5	100.0%	

Description

Hydrologic soil groups are based on estimates of runoff potential. Soils are assigned to one of four groups according to the rate of water infiltration when the soils are not protected by vegetation, are thoroughly wet, and receive precipitation from long-duration storms.

The soils in the United States are assigned to four groups (A, B, C, and D) and three dual classes (A/D, B/D, and C/D). The groups are defined as follows:

Group A. Soils having a high infiltration rate (low runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist mainly of deep, well drained to excessively drained sands or gravelly sands. These soils have a high rate of water transmission.

Group B. Soils having a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of moderately deep or deep, moderately well drained or well drained soils that have moderately fine texture to moderately coarse texture. These soils have a moderate rate of water transmission.

Group C. Soils having a slow infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of soils having a layer that impedes the downward movement of water or soils of moderately fine texture or fine texture. These soils have a slow rate of water transmission.

Group D. Soils having a very slow infiltration rate (high runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of clays that have a high shrink-swell potential, soils that have a high water table, soils that have a claypan or clay layer at or near the surface, and soils that are shallow over nearly impervious material. These soils have a very slow rate of water transmission.

If a soil is assigned to a dual hydrologic group (A/D, B/D, or C/D), the first letter is for drained areas and the second is for undrained areas. Only the soils that in their natural condition are in group D are assigned to dual classes.

Rating Options

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition

Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified Tie-break Rule: Higher

APPENDIX B

• REFERENCE MATERIAL

FINAL DRAINAGE STUDY for 104TH AVENUE CORRIDOR IMPROVEMENTS PHASE 2

.....

(are)

1

Prepared for:

City of Commerce City 8602 Rosemary Street Commerce City, Colorado 80022 Attn: Glenn Ellis

> City of Contracts City Engineering, APPROVED

A

ALE 1 : 2007

Prepared By:

JR ENGINEERING, L.L.C. 6020 Greenwood Plaza Boulevard Englewood, Colorado 80111 (303) 740-9393 Contact: Aaron Clutter

Project Number 15280.00 Revised June 15, 2007 April 20, 2007 portion of Basin A that outfalls into Potomac Farms will be handled at the Potomac Farms Retention Pond, which will be converted into a detention pond in the future by others.

Basin B consists of approximately 10.8 acres divided into 17 sub-basins (B1a through B10) and includes 104th Ave, from Blackhawk Street to Sable Boulevard. Basin G consists of approximately 29.2 acres, and outfalls east into the 104th Ave, storm drain system through a detention facility (to be provided at the time of development). Basin H is partially developed (Foxton Village Filing 1, existing single-family residential, and Foxton Village Filing 2. future multi-family residential) and consists of approximately 73.8 acres, and outfalls into the 104th Ave, storm drain system. Basin I is the South Adams County Water and Sanitation District's (SACWSD) Sable Pump Station. Basin 1 consists of approximately 4.1 acres. Currently some of the runoff from Basin I flows onto 104th Ave., some into Foxton Filing No. 2 via a culvert under Sable Blvd, and the remainder enters the existing retention pond. With the proposed expansion of 104th Ave, the existing pond will be converted to a detention pond by installing an outlet structure. In the future, another water tank will be added to the site and the runoff flows from the tank are assumed to be directed into the existing pond via a roof drain system and will be included in the design calculations for the detention pond size and outlet structure. Basin T is currently farmland with future mixed-use designation. Basin I consists of approximately 148.0 acres and will in the future outfall into the Sable storm drain system. Basin U is currently farmland with no future designation. Basin U consists of approximately 20.0 acres and will in the future outfall into the Sable storm drain system. The runoff from Basins B. G. H. and I will be collected in the 104th Ave. storm drain system where it will outfall into the temporary channel at Sable Blvd. The temporary channel will collect runoff from Basins T and U and will outfall into the Sable Water Quality Pond. The 112th Avenue Regional Detention Pond will provide detention for Foxton Village Filing 2 and Basins B. T and U.

Basin C consists of approximately 24.7 acres divided into 27 sub-basins (C1a through C22). which includes 104th Ave, from Sable Blvd, to Chambers Road. It also includes Chambers Rd. from the High Pointe site on the south side of 104th Ave. to Second Creek on the north side of 104th Ave. Basin J. Aspen Hills Residential, is currently developed as multifamily residential. Basin J consists of approximately 11.9 acres, and outfalls into the 104th Ave. storm drain system, through an existing detention pond. Basin K is currently undeveloped with no futur Information used as part of the isists of approximately 125.0 acres, and will outfall northeast inte Drainage Report associated with re detention facility (to be provided at the time of development) TTres Chambers Development 4th Ave, storm drain system in the future. Basin L, Aspen Hills Commercial, is currently undeveloped with plans for future commercial development. Basin L consists of approximately 21.6 acres, and outfalls north into the 104th Ave. storm drain system. Basin M is currently undeveloped with no future designation, Basin M consists of 12.6 acres, and will outfall into Chambers Rd. through a future detention facility (to be provided at the time of development) which ties into the 104th Ave, storm drain system in the future. Basin R is currently undeveloped with a future designation for mixeduse development. Basin R consists of approximately 19.9 acres, and outfalls to the east into the 104th Ave, storm drain system in Chambers Rd. The runoff from Basins C. J. K. L. M. and R will be collected in the 104th Ave, storm drain system where it will outfall into the Chambers Road Water Quality Pond. Basin V is currently undeveloped with no future designation. Basin V consists of approximately 13.2 acres, and outfalls to the north directly into the Chambers Road Water Quality Pond forebay. The sub-basins that flow to future low

Storm drainage analysis and design criteria used for this project was taken from the "Storm Drainage Design and Technical Criteria Manual" by the City of Commerce City and the "Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual (USDCM)" by Urban Drainage and Flood Control District.

Development Criteria Reference and Constraints

The project area is part of the Second Creek and DFA 0053 Outfall Systems Planning drainage studies mentioned previously. The proposed plan takes into account the OSP planned facilities, the Potomac Farms Subdivision existing drainage facilities and planned future detention pond (currently a retention pond), the Foxton Village (Filings 1 and 2) development and planned detention pond release rates (Filing 1 currently utilizes a retention pond on the Filing 2 site), the Aspen Hills Residential Subdivision development and existing detention pond release rates, the North Range Town Center Subdivision development and planned release rates, the North Range Town Center Subdivision development and planned release rates of Pasing Planned release rates are the Drainage Report associated with the trainage release releases provide the table of the drainage release provide the table of the table of the drainage releases provide the table of table of the table of the table of the table of table of the table of table

Basins G, K, L M, T, U, and V do not have any preliminary designs at this time. Therefore, the discharge rates from these basins will be based on the 100-year historical (undeveloped) condition (Basin G), the 100-year developed condition (Basin L), or the 5-year developed condition (Basins K and M), which is approximately equivalent to the 100-year historical rate for these hydrological class B soils. The discharge rate for Basin O was determined (100-year historical) and accounted for in the storm drain system design for the High Pointe Subdivision. The discharge rate for Basins T and U were determined (100-year developed residential) and accounted for in the Sable Water Quality Pond design. The discharge rate for Basin V was determined (100-year developed commercial) and accounted for in the Sable Water Quality Pond design. The discharge rate for in the chambers Road Water Quality Pond design. Therefore, Basins G, K, M and O will be detained releases into the storm drain system, and Basins L, T, U, and V will be undetained releases into the 104th Ave. storm drain system (see Water Quality Exhibits).

Hydrologic Criteria

The Rational Method was used for the site hydrology. Rainfall data and runoff coefficients were obtained from the Commerce City Criteria Manual. The 5-year runoff was analyzed as the minor storm and the 100-year runoff was analyzed as the major storm. Peak flow rates, times of concentration for runoff and detention pond release rates were used per their respective drainage reports for Foxton Village (Filings 1 and 2). Aspen Hills Residential Subdivision, the North Range Town Center Subdivision which includes the High Pointe and Hogan Residential Subdivisions storm drainage releases piped into this site, the developed portion of 104th Avenue east of Basin E existing release rates which includes the Reunion Phase 1 Subdivision development Filings 1, 2 and 3 storm drainage releases piped into the 104th Avenue drainage system, and the Buffalo Mesa Subdivision development existing releases onto 104th Avenue.

STANDARD FORM SF-2 TIME OF CONCENTRATION

Subdivision Location

East 104th Avenue Commerce City

Project Name: 104th Ave. Corridor Phase 2 Improvements Project No. 15280.00

Calculated By: SMB

Checked By: FGF

Date: 9/6/2006

	SUB-BAS		INITIA	L/OVER	LAND		TRAVE	L TIME						
	DATA				(T;)			(1	·.)		(URI	BANIZED BAS	INS)	FINAL
BASIN	D.A.	C100	C ₅	L	S	Ti	L	S	VEL.	T.	COMP. T.	TOTAL	MIN T	1
ID	(AC)			(FT)	(%)	(MIN)	(FT)	(%)	(FPS)	(MIN)	(MIN)	LENGTH(FT)	(MIN)	(MIN)
E6	0.61	0.93	0.88	65	2.0	2.6	335	3.8	10	1.4	4.0	100.0	12.2	
E7	0.57	0.93	0.88	239	3.0	4.3	44	16	2.5	0.3	4.0	297.0	12.2	2.
E8	0.24	0.93	0.88	61	2.0	2.5	133	1.9	2.7	0.8	3.3	104.0	11.0	3
E9	1.04	0.93	0.88	27	2.0	17	602	1.2	2.2	16	67	620.0	11.1	
E10	0.73	0.93	0.88	37	2.0	1.9	335	1.9	2.7	2.0		272.0	13.3	0.
E11	1.18	0.93	0.88	40	2.0	2.0	604	1.2	2.7	4.6	4.0	611.0	12.1	
E12	2.53	0.26	0.08	300	2.0	25.7	160	2.0	1.0	2.7	28.4	460.0	13.0	0.
E12-D	2.53	0.89	0.87	300	2.0	5.8	160	2.0	1.0	2.7	-04	460.0	12.0	12,0
E13	4.21	0.28	0.11	300	3.1	21.5	420	31	1.2	57	77.7	720.0	12.0	0.
E13-D	4.21	0.89	0.87	300	3.1	5.0	420	3.1	1.2	57	10.7	720,0	14.0	14,0
E14	1.38	0.38	0.23	300	5.6	15.5	40	5.6	17	0.4	15.0	240.0	14.0	10.
E14-D	1.38	0.89	0.87	300	5.6	4.1	-10	5.6	1.7	0.4	10.9	340.0	11.9	11.5
E15	Lefe.				7.0	10.4	85	1.2	27	0.6	11.0	340.0	11.9	3.0
G		mation us	sed as pa	int or the	1.0	43.0	960	1.0	0.7	77.5	66.4	225.0	114	111
11		nage Rep	on assoc	lated with	4.5	8.8		1.0	0.1		00.4	150.0	Lo e	00
12	Reci	in M	ers Deve	iopment.	0.5	4.6	200	0.5	1.4	2.4	7.0	1500	10.8	83
13	Dasi	ITT IVI.			3.5	19.0	540	1.0	07	12.4	7.0	300.0	11.7	1.1
K	125.00	0.60	0.45	100	2.0	9.4	2190	1.0	2.0	19.2	32.0	2200.0	14.3	14.
L	21.60	0.74	0.66	100	2.0	6.4	700	2.5	3.2	3.7	11.2	995.0	14.4	22.5
M	12.00	0.60	0.15	100			195	1.0	3,0	1,1		22510	1454	
ty ty	12.60	0.60	0.45	100	2.0	9.4	735	1,3	2.3	5.4	14.8	835.0	14.6	14.0
Par	19.001	0.20	0.87	100	1.0	1.2	1100	1.0	2.0	9.4	1.5.4	1200.0	16.7	137
K-CX	5.50	0.20	0.01	300	1.8.	28.4	998	0.5	0.5	33.6	62.0	1298.0	17.2	17.
8-1	20.20	0.89	0.87	100	2.0	3.3	1000	1.0	2,0	8.3	11.7	1100.0	16.1	11
3-2	10.20	0.89	0.87	100	2.0	3.3	700	t.0	2.0	5.8	9.2	800.0	14.4	0
V	13.20	0.89	0.87	100	2.0	3.3	800	1,0	2.0	6.7	10.0	900.0	15.0	10.0
	1									and the second second	the second se			

NOTES:

$$\begin{split} T_t &= (0.395^{d}(1.1 + C_5)^*(L)^* 0.5)'((S)^* 0.33), \ S \ in \ ft/ft \\ T_t &= L/60V \ (Velocity \ From \ Fig. \ 501) \end{split}$$

Tc Check = 10+L/180

STANDARD FORM SF-3 STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM DESIGN (RATIONAL MI-THOD PROCEDURE)

. 3

. . .

Subdivision Fast 104th Avenue Location Commerce City Design Storm Off-Site Minor Storm (5-year)

to the second second

- -

Project Name: 104th Ave. Corridor Phase 2 Improvements

Project No.	15280.00
Calculated By:	SMB
Checked By:	FOR
Datas	1/07/0/07

Datas	2	13.7	10	i La
£1.61C.		-	1.64	11

	-	-	D	IREC	TRI	NOFF			1	OTAI	, RUNO	FF	STR	EET		PIPE		TRA	VEL.	LIVII	E
STRFF1	förurnage Bastn	Area Desig	Area (Au)	Runolf Costi	Te (min)	6.41VC)	t turlit -	Q (efs)	Te man	C+3 (A0)	t tur lur »	tu luter	stops et a	Street Flow (uB)	Elengin Mark 1045)	Ste 192 (" 10)	Phys. Size enclies?	L shutla + 115	Veloante (1g.88	1 ((100)	RFMARKS
Future Officiate Development	4		29.28	0.05	66.4	1,75	1.0	17													Defauned Flow Release Rate. Q = Qates
Foston Village Librie No. 1 Detention Pond Public Service Fasement	11	081	\$2.10 3.80	0,14	10.9	0.38	3 511	1.3				. In ?									Pond Release Rate per approved Losten Village Filing No. 1 dramage (sport
Public Service Ensement Forden Village Frirag No. 2	H	052	0.93 20.20	0.40	112	13 13	3 50	43 -[13				Info	rmatic	on us Rep	ed as	oart o	f the	th			
Peyton Village Outfall	11			Ad	d Foxto	n Villag	e l'ilm	No.1	13.2 Detent	15.60 160 Pos	al Rocas	TTr	es Ch in M.	ambe	ers De	/elopi	nent				Total Design How at Foxton Vallage Guttall at Sable Blvd
Patate Off-tite Development Aspen Hulls Continencial Site Patate Off-site Development	K.		125.0 21.60	8 612	11.2	14.26	3.47	行政			\checkmark				21.6						Detained Flow Refere Rate pased on major doom referse rate reduced formuler storm rate Understood Flow Referent Sec.
Fidare Ott-site Development	М		1260											-	<u>51 u</u> 7 S		-				Quiss Detained Flow - Refere Rate based on major class reference to report to many show the
Future Offsate Development Future Offsate Development	R		19,90	0.59	13-1	17.71	3.12 2.48	52.0							36.0	1					Undetained How Reference Raig 12 the
Folue ful-site Development	1)		20.00	0.65	23.4	15.60	2.48	33.0							153.0		-			-	Undetained How Released
Fatme Off-rate Development	V		13.20	0.87	10.0	11.48	3.70	43.0							43,6		/				Priori DAGUS L & U Undetanted Flow Release Rate Unive

– Q5 = 2.8 cfs

1

STANDARD FORM SF-3 STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM DESIGN

(RATIONAL METHOD PROCEDURE)

Subdivision Location Design Storn	Fast Com Off-	104th merce Site M	Avenue City ajor Sto	rm (1	litl-yet	u)						-		1	Proje P alcu Chi	ect Na Project dated ecked D	me: t No. By: By: ate:	104th 15280 SMB FGF 3/27/2	Ave 0.00	Certi	dor P	hase 2 improvements	
	1		1	DIRE	CTR	NOF	F		T	OTAI	RUN	OFF	151	REE	T	f	TPE	1	TRA	VEL.	TIM	1	
STRFF1	Dramage Baster	Area Desig	Area (Ac)	Runoff Costi	Tc (ma)	C*A (Ac)	I (12) (12)	Q (cfs)	Te tmin)	(M.M.)	ton in .			Shipter Cat	Street Flow telst	Dengn Flow (ets.	Stope (*.)	Pupe Size unchess	Longa dt.	Velocity (fp.)	Tt (mm)	REMARES	
Future Off-site Development	G		29.20	0,30	66-1	8,76	2,47	21.6					-			21.6						Detained Flow Release Rate Orean Querter	
Foston Village Filme No. 1 Detention Pond	11		27.10	0.50					-				1_	-	_			_					
Public Service Farement	11	asi	1 50	0.10	10.0	1.57	6.80	10.2				1.17	-	-								Fond Release Rate per approved Fosten Village Filing No. 1 drainage report	
Public Service F wennent	11	620	0.01	0.10	11.2	6.15	6.80	11.5						_	_								
Fouten Village Filing Nat 7	11		711 701	0.00	17.1	16.16	6.30					Infor	mati	on u	sed	as pa	art of t	the					
Fortes Vellag: Ostiall	11		10 20	A	A Fost	on Villa	on Kila	Ma L	13.2	13.03		Draii TTre	hage s Cł	e Rep namb	oort oers	assoo Deve	ciated lopm	with ent.					
Future Offssite Development	1		175.0	0.82	21.7	56.75	250	1 40. 1	- CICHE		S INCREASE	Basi	n M.									Total Design Flow at Lordon Vallage Datfall at Sable Blyd	
Aspea Hills Commercial Sate Future Off-sile Development	1		21.60	0,74	11.2	15.98	675	107.9	1 635 1	1151		* 10.40 c	-			123.0						Detained Flow Quart-Quites Which approximately - Quart Budstand Flow Releases Rela	
Entere Off-rate Development	M		12.60	0.45	14.5	5.67	3.22	18.1					-	-		105.0		-				Original Flow Release Rate Original Flow Release Rate	
			1.7.90	9.87	1.5 4	1.21	0.27	1107								111						Hodetaned How Release Rite Oceano	
sume survice tres competent	1	-	148.00	0.60	23.4	83.80	4.75	421.8															
Palate Off-site Development	11		20.00	0.75	27.4	15,60	4.74	74.1								196.0	1					Undetained blow Referend From Davies 1 A. U.	
Future Off-site Development	V		13.20	0.89	10.0	11.75	6.95	\$2.0					-			82.0						Underanced Flow Rolease Rate Option-	

Q100 = 18.3 cfs

1.145





HIGH POINTE PHASE III DRAINAGE REPORT

September 9, 2004 Revised November 1, 2004 Revised January 10, 2005

> For: SW Begold LLC 333 West Hampden Avenue Suite 810 Englewood, CO 80110

City of Commons City Engineering AVALOVICO

JAN 15 2005 basen.

11

Calibre Engineering, Inc. 8000 South Lincoln Street, Unit 206, Littleton, CO 303-730-0434 fax 303-730-1139 Municipal Engineering Development Master Planning



1



т 3	28 0000	382 0000	5 0000	0 0000	0 0000	0 0000	245 0000	0 0000	None
1.6	0.0000	0.0000	660,0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	None
1.7	546 0000	0.0000	10 0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	104 0000	0.0000	None
T10	0 0000	0.0000	548 0000	10 0000	0.0000	14 0000	88 0000	0.0000	None
1.16	0.0000	0 0000	660 0000	0 0000	0 0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	None
1.1	33,0000	402.0000	75.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	150.0000	0.0000	None
T.4	0.0000	654.0000	6.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	None
1.9	36,0000	614.0000	5,0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	5.0000	0.0000	None
1.15	0.0000	0.0000	554,0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	106.0000	0.0000	None
1.8	30.0000	0.0000	630.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	None
117	54,0000	339.0000	242.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	25.0000	0.0000	None
1.22	184.0000	471.0000	5.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	None
L23	27.0000	44.0000	493.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	96.0000	0.0000	None
L24	2.0000	47.0000	509.0000	35.0000	0.0000	0.0000	67.0000	0.0000	None
L11	0.0000	0.0000	545.0000	36.0000	0.0000	15.0000	64.0000	0.0000	None
L7.1	69.0000	581.0000	10.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	None
pipe	177.0000	478.0000	5.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	None
WQorifice	4.0000	0.0000	5.0000	0.0000	0.0000	135.0000	516.0000	0.0000	None
Kinematic Time in Mir	: Wave Appro nutes for Ea	oximations ach Conditi	* .on						

Conduit Name	Duration of Normal Flow	i Slope N Criteria	Super- Critical	Roll Waves		5-Year Flow.			
т.?	0 0000	636.916	6 0833	0.0000			_		
L3	0.0000	0.0000	0.1667	0.0000)				
L6	0.7611	0.7617	654.5000	0.0000)				
L7	0.0000	0.0000	184.3750	0.0000)				
L10	0.0000	122.3778	6.5000	0.0000)				
L16	450.0000	654.1667	0.1667	0.0000)				
L1	0.0000	0.0000	3.2500	0.0000)				
L4	321.3889	620.8274	0.1667	0.000					
L9	0.0000	0.0000	1.3333	0.0000					
L15	0.0000	0.0000	654.5000	0.0000	1				
L8	410.3250) 520.5667	17.7611	0.0000					
L17	0.0000		126.4333	0.0000					
122	119.9333	5 122.0167	0.5000	0.0000					
123	520.0000	559.1000	16 0222	0.0000					
1.11	3 1667	89 6357	1 5833	0.0000		Anticipated	l flow within 2	C" of	
1.7 1	63,1000	63 1000	1.6528	0.0000	· /		I HOW WILLING	o al	
nine	0.0000	8.5714	7.2778	0.0000		proposed c	outrail location	IS.	
WOorifice	0.0000	0.0000	3.0000	0.0000					
WYOTTTTCC	0.0000	0.0000	5.0000	0.0000					
					4				
Table E15 nduit Flow a readsheets.	- SPREADSHE Ind Junction The maximu	ET INFO LI Depth Inf m values i	ST ormation fo n this tab:	or use in le are the	*				
Table E15 nduit Flow a readsheets. ue maximum v ve values in ximum of a s te: These fl	- SPREADSHE ind Junction The maximu values becau the review ubset of al ows are onl	CET INFO L1 1 Depth Inf 1m values i 1se they sa results ma .1 the time .y the flow	ST ormation fo n this tab: mple every y only be f steps in f s in a sig	or use in le are the time step the the run. gle barrel					
Table E15 nduit Flow a readsheets. ue maximum v e values in ximum of a s te: These fl	- SPREADSHE ind Junction The maximu values becau the review ubset of al ows are onl	CET INFO L1 1 Depth Inf im values i ise they sa results ma 1 the time y the flow	ST ormation fd n this tab mple every y only be steps in t s in a sin	or use in le are the time step the the run, gle barrel	* 		Transfer	7	
Table E15 nduit Flow a readsheets. ue maximum v e values in ximum of a s te: These fl conduit	- SPREADSHE and Junction The maximu values becau the review subset of al ows are onl Maximum Flow	CET INFO L1 1 Depth Inf im values i ise they sa results ma 1 the time y the flow Total Flow	ST ormation fd n this tab mple every y only be steps in a s in a sin Maxi	or use in le are the time step the the run, gle barrel	* 	##	Junction	Invert	Maximum
Table E15 duit Flow a eadsheets. e maximum v values in imum of a s e: These fl Conduit Name	- SPREADSHE and Junction The maximu values becau the review ubset of al ows are onl Maximum Flow (cfs)	CET INFO L1 h Depth Infi m values i ise they sa results ma l the time y the flow Total Flow (ft^3)	ST ormation fd n this tab mple every y only be steps in a s in a sin Veloc (ft	pr use in le are the time step the the run, gle barrel mum ity /s)	<pre>* wolume (ft^3)</pre>	##	Junction Name	Invert Elevation (ft)	Maximum Elevation (ft)
Table E15 duit Flow a eadsheets. e maximum v values in imum of a s e: These fl Conduit Name	- SPREADSHE and Junction The maximu values becau the review subset of al ows are onl Maximum Flow (cfs)	CET INFO L1 h Depth Infi invalues i ise they sa results ma l the time y the flow Total Flow (ft^3)	ST ormation fd n this tab mple every y only be steps in a s in a sind Maxi Veloc (ft	pr use in le are the time step the the run, gle barrel mum ity /s)	* 	## ## ## ##	Junction Name	Invert Elevation (ft)	Maximum Elevation (ft)
Table E15 nduit Flow a ceadsheets. Le maximum v e values in cimum of a s ce: These fl Conduit Name	- SPREADSHE and Junction The maximu values becau the review subset of al ows are onl Maximum Flow (cfs) 41.1468 :	CET INFO L1 h Depth Infi m values i ise they sa results ma l the time y the flow Total Flow (ft^3) 380539.0065	ST ormation fd n this tab mple every y only be steps in t s in a sind Waxi Veloc (ft 6.4	pr use in le are the time step the run, gle barrel mum ity /s) 	<pre>* </pre>	### ### ### ###	Junction Name OUTFALL	Invert Elevation (ft) 	Maximum Elevation (ft) 5133.0878
Table E15 nduit Flow a readsheets. te maximum v e values in ximum of a s te: These fl Conduit Name L2 L3	- SPREADSHE and Junction The maximu values becau the review ubset of al ows are onl Maximum Flow (cfs) 41.1468 : 41.1495 : 20.001	CET INFO L1 h Depth Infi m values i ise they sa results ma l the time y the flow Total Flow (ft^3) 380539.0065 379893.18266 60211	ST ormation fd n this tab mple every y only be steps in a s in a sind Veloc (ft 6.4 6.1	or use in le are the time step the the run, gle barrel mum ity /s) 	<pre>* </pre>	### ### ### ###	Junction Name OUTFALL N2.2	Invert Elevation (ft) 	Maximum Elevation (ft) 5133.0878 5135.7765
Table E15 nduit Flow a readsheets. ue maximum v e values in ximum of a s te: These fl Conduit Name L2 L3 L6	- SPREADSHE and Junction The maximu alues becau the review subset of al ows are onl Maximum Flow (cfs) 41.1468 41.1495 29.6241 56 6600	CET INFO L1 h Depth Infi m values i ise they sa results ma l the time y the flow (ft^3) 380539.0065 379893.1856 60311.256	ST ormation fd n this tab mple every y only be steps in a s in a sin Veloc (ft 6.4 6.1 9.1	pr use in le are the time step the the run, gle barrel mum ity /s) 	* 	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	Junction Name OUTFALL N2.2 OSU	Invert Elevation (ft) 5131.0000 5133.2200 5135.1800	Maximum Elevation (ft) 5133.0878 5135.7765 5138.0206
Table E15 nduit Flow a ceadsheets. te maximum v e values in cimum of a s te: These fl Conduit Name L2 L3 L6 L7 L10	- SPREADSHE and Junction The maximu alues becau the review subset of al ows are onl Maximum Flow (cfs) 41.1468 41.1495 29.6241 56.6689 52.833	EET INFO L1 h Depth Infi m values i ise they sa results ma 1 the time y the flow (ft^3) 380539.0065 379893.1866 60311.2596 168831 5387	ST ormation fd n this tab mple every y only be steps in a s in a sin Veloc (ft 6.4 6.1 9.1 12.00 7.4	or use in le are the time step the the run. gle barrel mum ity /s) 	* 	######################################	Junction Name OUTFALL N2.2 OS2 POND HOCAN	Invert Elevation (ft) 5131.0000 5133.2200 5135.1800 5136.1200 5142.2000	Maximum Elevation (ft) 5133.0878 5135.7765 5138.0206 5138.5139 5143.7220
Table E15 nduit Flow a readsheets. ue maximum v e values in ximum of a s te: These fl Conduit Name L2 L3 L6 L7 L10 L16	- SPREADSHE and Junction The maximu alues becau the review subset of al ows are onl Maximum Flow (cfs) 41.1468 41.1495 29.6241 56.6689 52.8333 28.4546	ET INFO L1 h Depth Inf m values i ise they sa results ma 1 the time y the flow (ft^3) 380539.0065 379893.1866 60311.2596 168831.5387 160371.1253 77889.8312	ST ormation fd n this tab mple every y only be t steps in t s in a sin Veloc (ft 	or use in le are the time step the the run. gle barrel mum ity /s) 181 22 529 1 594 4 687 1 435 6	<pre>* </pre>	######################################	Junction Name OUTFALL N2.2 OS2 POND HOGAN N10	Invert Elevation (ft) 5131.0000 5133.2200 5135.1800 5136.1200 5142.3000 5140.6300	Maximum Elevation (ft) 5133.0878 5135.7765 5138.0206 5138.5139 5143.7220 5142.5807
Table E15 onduit Flow a preadsheets. Tue maximum v e values in ximum of a s te: These fl Conduit Name L2 L3 L6 L7 L10 L16 L1	- SPREADSHE and Junction The maximu values becau the review subset of al ows are onl Maximum Flow (cfs) 	EET INFO L1 h Depth Inf im values i ise they sa results ma .1 the time .y the flow (ft^3) 	ST ormation fd n this tab mple every y only be t steps in t s in a sind Veloc (ft 6.4 6.1 9.1 12.0 7.4 4.7 6.5	or use in le are the time step the the run. gle barrel mum ity /s)	<pre>*</pre>	****	Junction Name OUTFALL N2.2 OS2 POND HOGAN N10 N11	Invert Elevation (ft) 5131.0000 5133.2200 5135.1800 5136.1200 5142.3000 5140.6300 5156.4100	Maximum Elevation (ft) 5133.0878 5135.7765 5138.0206 5138.5139 5143.7220 5142.5807 5161.4121
Table E15 nduit Flow a readsheets. ue maximum v e values in ximum of a s te: These fl Conduit Name L2 L3 L6 L7 L10 L16 L1 L4	- SPREADSHE and Junction The maximu values becau the review subset of al ows are onl Maximum Flow (cfs) 	EET INFO L1 h Depth Infi invalues i ise they sa results ma .1 the time .y the flow (ft^3) 	ST ormation fd n this tab mple every y only be to steps in to s in a sind Veloc (ft 6.4 6.1 9.1 12.0 7.4 4.7 6.5 2.0	Dr use in le are the time step the the run. gle barrel mum ity /s) 	<pre>*</pre>	***	Junction Name OUTFALL N2.2 OS2 POND HOGAN N10 N11 N2A	Invert Elevation (ft) 5131.0000 5133.2200 5135.1800 5136.1200 5136.1200 5142.3000 5142.3000 5142.6300 5156.4100 5176.1000	Maximum Elevation (ft) 5133.0878 5135.7765 5138.0206 5138.5139 5143.7220 5142.5807 5161.4121 5176.9907
Table E15 onduit Flow a oreadsheets. cue maximum v ne values in aximum of a s ote: These fl conduit Name L2 L3 L6 L7 L10 L16 L1 L4 L9	- SPREADSHE and Junction The maximu values becau the review subset of al ows are onl Maximum Flow (cfs) 	ET INFO L1 h Depth Infi invalues i ise they sa results ma .1 the time .y the flow (ft^3) 	ST ormation fd n this tab mple every y only be to steps in to s in a sind Veloc (ft 	pr use in le are the time step the the run. gle barrel mum ity /s) 	<pre>* // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // // /// // // // /// /// /// // //</pre>	****	Junction Name OUTFALL N2.2 OS2 POND HOGAN N10 N11 N2A HP	Invert Elevation (ft) 5131.0000 5133.2200 5135.1800 5136.1200 5142.3000 5142.3000 5140.6300 5156.4100 5176.1000	Maximum Elevation (ft) 5133.0878 5135.7765 5138.0206 5138.5139 5143.7220 5142.5807 5161.4121 5176.9907 5162.7965
Table E15 onduit Flow a oreadsheets. rue maximum of a s ote: These fl Conduit Name L2 L3 L6 L7 L10 L16 L1 L4 L9 L15	- SPREADSHE and Junction The maximu values becau the review subset of al ows are onl Maximum Flow (cfs) 	ET INFO L1 h Depth Infi invalues i ise they sa results ma l the time y the flow Total Flow (ft^3) 380539.0065 379893.1866 60311.2596 168831.5387 16037.1253 7789.8312 30601.6040 144596.8239 168812.0173 84438.2605	ST ormation fd n this tab mple every y only be steps in t s in a sind Veloc (ft 	pr use in le are the time step the the run. gle barrel mum ity /s) 	<pre>* </pre>	书 亲 并 并 并 寺 并 并 并 并 并 并 并 并 并 并 并 并 并 并 并	Junction Name OUTFALL N2.2 OS2 POND HOGAN N10 N11 N2A HP N2.1	Invert Elevation (ft) 5131.0000 5133.2200 5135.1800 5136.1200 5140.6300 5156.4100 5156.4100 5176.1000 5157.0000 5131.6400	Maximum Elevation (ft) 5133.0878 5135.7765 5138.0206 5138.5139 5143.7220 5142.5807 5161.4121 5176.9907 5162.7965 5134.1824
Table E15 onduit Flow a preadsheets. Tue maximum v te values in iximum of a s ote: These fl Conduit Name L2 L3 L6 L7 L10 L16 L1 L4 L9 L15 L8	- SPREADSHE and Junction The maximu values becau the review subset of al ows are onl Maximum Flow (cfs) 	ET INFO L1 h Depth Infi m values i ise they sa results ma l the time y the flow Total Flow (ft^3) 380539.0065 379893.1856 60311.259 60371.1253 7769.8312 390601.6040 (44596.8239 168812.0173 84438.2605 168841.8545	ST ormation fd n this tab mple every y only be steps in a s in a sind Veloc (ft 6.4 6.1 9.1 12.0 7.4 4.7 6.5 2.0 8.1 8.1 7.9	pr use in le are the time step the the run, gle barrel mum ity /s) 181 22 529 1 594 4 687 1 435 6 496 8 579 66 049 23 114 1 435 20	<pre>* </pre>	书书书书书书书书书书书书书书书书书书书 书书书书书书书书书书书书书书书书书	Junction Name OUTFALL N2.2 POND HOGAN N10 N11 N2A HP N2.1 N4.1	Invert Elevation (ft) 5131.0000 5135.1800 5136.1200 5142.3000 5140.6300 5140.6300 5156.4100 5156.4100 5157.0000 5151.6400 5131.6400	Maximum Elevation (ft) 5133.0878 5135.7765 5138.0206 5138.5139 5143.7220 5142.5807 5161.4121 5176.9907 5162.7965 5134.1824 5138.0440
Table E15 onduit Flow a preadsheets. rue maximum v he values in aximum of a s pte: These fl Conduit Name L2 L3 L3 L6 L7 L10 L16 L1 L4 L9 L15 L8 L17	- SPREADSHE and Junction The maximu alues becau the review subset of al ows are onl Maximum Flow (cfs) 41.1468 41.1495 29.6241 56.6689 52.8333 28.4546 41.1457 55.1075 56.7218 30.0974 56.7501 28.4973	ET INFO L1 h Depth Infi m values i ise they sa results ma l the time y the flow Total Flow (ft^3) 380539.0065 379893.1876 60311.259 60311.259 168831.5387 16037.1253 7769.8312 30601.6040 244596.8239 168812.0173 84438.2605 168841.8545 77234.0429	ST ormation fd n this tab mple every y only be steps in a s in a sind Veloc (ft 6.4 6.1 9.1 12.00 7.4 4.7 6.5 2.00 8.1 8.1 7.9 6.3	pr use in le are the time step the the run, gle barrel mum ity /s) 181 22 529 1 594 4 687 1 435 6 496 8 609 8 579 66 049 23 114 1 435 20 991 3	<pre>* </pre>	****	Junction Name OUTFALL N2.2 OS2 POND HOGAN N10 N11 N2A HP N2.1 N4.1 N4.1	Invert Elevation (ft) 5131.0000 5133.2200 5135.1800 5136.1200 5140.6300 5140.6300 5156.4100 5157.0000 5157.0000 5131.6400 5136.0000 5155.6000	Maximum Elevation (ft) 5133.0878 5135.7765 5138.0206 5138.5139 5143.7220 5142.5807 5161.4121 5176.9907 5162.7965 5134.1824 5138.0440 5160.2023
Table E15 onduit Flow a preadsheets. rue maximum v he values in aximum of a s ote: These fl Conduit Name L2 L3 L6 L7 L10 L16 L1 L4 L9 L15 L8 L17 L22	- SPREADSHE and Junction The maximu alues becau the review subset of al ows are onl Maximum Flow (cfs) 	ET INFO L1 h Depth Infi m values i ise they sa results ma l the time y the flow Total Flow (ft^3) 380539.0065 379893.1826 60311.259 60311.259 168812.0173 84438.2605 168841.8545 77234.0429 70937.8171	ST ormation fd n this tab mple every y only be a steps in a s in a sind Veloc (ft 6.4 6.1 9.1 12.0 7.4 4.7 6.5 2.00 8.1 8.1 7.9 6.3 3.1	Dr use in le are the time step the the run, gle barrel mum ity /s) 181 22 529 1 435 6 496 8 609 8 579 66 049 23 114 1 435 20 991 3 123 68	* I I I I I I I I I	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	Junction Name OUTFALL N2.2 OS2 POND HOGAN N10 N11 N2A HP N2.1 N4.1 N4.1 N4.1 N4	Invert Elevation (ft) 5131.0000 5133.2200 5135.1800 5136.1200 5140.6300 5140.6300 5156.4100 5157.0000 5157.0000 5131.64000 5136.0000 5155.60000	Maximum Elevation (ft) 5133.0878 5135.7765 5138.0206 5138.5139 5143.7220 5142.5807 5161.4121 5176.9907 5162.7965 5134.1824 5138.0440 5160.2023 5166.2054

L24	30.2123 67251.8539	5.9176	233.1636	##	N2	5176.6000	5178.9418
L11	23.9211 75949.4620	4.4269	488.9685	##	NIA	5179.5000	5180.9865
L7.1	54.6384 163854.4589	3.3497	4996.6735	##	Nl	5179.7600	5182.1095
pipe	24.8994 244632.7950	5.3009	157.2297	##	OS1	5180.0000	5182.8236
Qorifice	0.4882 17669.5837	10.8446	13.4660	# #	N12	5156.9900	5161.5464
WEIR	23.4978 57565.6643	0.0000	0.0000	##	N10.1	5140.4900	5142.1554
FREE # 1	41.1457 380639.0832	0.0000	0.0000	##			

* Table E15a - SPREADSHEET REACH LIST |
| Peak flow and Total Flow listed by Reach or those |
| conduits or diversions having the same |
| upstream and downstream nodes. |
==================

Upstream	Downstream	Maximum	Total
Noue	noue	(cfs)	(ft^3)
N2.2	N2.1	41.1468	380539.006
OS2	N2.2	41.1495	379893.184
HOGAN	POND	29.6241	60311.2596
N10	N10.1	56.6689	168831.539
N11	N4	52.8333	160371.125
N2A	N3	28.4546	77389.8312
N2.1	OUTFALL	41.1457	380601.604
N4.1	OS2	25.1075	244596.824
N4	N11.1.1	56.7218	168812.017
N3	N11	30.0974	84438.2605
N11.1.1	N10	56.7501	168841.854
N2	N2A	28.4973	77234.0429
NIA	N2	29.9375	70937.8171
N1	NIA	30.6942	70326.2424
OS1	N1	30.2123	67251.8539
N12	N11	23.9211	75949.4620
N10.1	POND	54.6384	163854.459
POND	N4.1	24.8994	244632.795
HP	N12	23.7514	75235.2480

Conduit Nam	e Upstream Nod	e Downstream Node	IE Up	IE Dn	WS Up	WS Dn	Conduit Type
L	.2 N2.	N2.1	5133.2200	5131.6400	5135.7765	5134.1824	Circular
L	.3 OS	2 N2.2	5135.1800	5135.0400	5138.0206	5137.1279	Circular
L	6 HOGA	POND	5142.3000	5139.3000	5143.7219	5140.7001	Circular
L	7 N1	N10.1	5140.6300	5140.4900	5142.5807	5142.1554	Circular
L1	.0 N1	N4	5156.4100	5155.7000	5161.4121	5160.2023	Circular
L1	.6 N2	N3	5176.1000	5164.4000	5176.9907	5166.2054	Trapezoid
L	.1 N2.	OUTFALL	5131.6400	5131.0000	5134.1824	5133.0878	Circular
L	.4 N4.	OS2	5136.0000	5135.1800	5138.0440	5138.0206	Trapezoid
L	.9 N	N11.1.1	5155.6000	5154.7000	5160.2023	5157,1386	Circular
L1	.5 N	8 N11	5164.4000	5164.0000	5166.2054	5165.4475	Circular
L	.8 N11.1.	N10	5142.5100	5140.6300	5145.8853	5142.5807	Circular
L1	.7 N	N2A	5176.6000	5176.1000	5178.9418	5176.9907	Circular
L2	2 N1	N2	5179.5000	5176.6000	5180.9865	5178.9418	Trapezoid
L2	3 N	. NIA	5179.7600	5179.5000	5182.1092	5180.9865	Circular
L2	4 OS	. N1	5180.0000	5179.7600	5182.8236	5182.1095	Circular
L1	.1 N1:	N11	5157.0000	5156.6100	5161.5464	5161.4121	Circular
L7.	1 N10.	POND	5140.4900	5137.7700	5142.1554	5138.9892	Trapezoid
pip	PONI	N4.1	5136.1200	5136.0000	5138.5139	5138.0440	Circular
WQorific	e Hi	N12	5157.0000	5156.9900	5162.7965	5161.5464	Circ Orif

~	*
\sim	*
2	-4
E.	
~	
0	
~ ~	
\sim	
~	
(
2	
\sim	*
0	
ž	*
ô.	-
()	
~	
0	
~	
à	
-	
~	
<u> </u>	*:
2	
~	1
ñ	*
Ċ	
2	-
~	
0	
2	
-	

L22

47.4400

0.0000

1.6073

Table E12. Mea	n Conduit	Flow Info	mation					
*=====Conduit Name	Mean Flow (cfs)	Total Flow (ft^3)	Mean Percent Change	Low Flow Weightng	Mean Froude Number	Mean Hydraulic Radius	Mean Cross Area	Mean Conduit Roughness
T.2	20 2049	800114.16	0.0118	0.9978	0.7659	0.6815	4.5491	0.0130
1.3	20,1990	799879.76	0.0287	0.9979	0.7233	0.6980	4.8453	0.0130
1.6	3.5559	140815.05	0.0160	0.5191	1.4196	0.1623	0.6698	0.0130
1.7	11.5856	458789.31	0.0149	0.9971	1.2524	0.3521	1.6909	0.0130
T.10	10.9934	435336.92	0.0140	0.9978	0.8885	0.3104	2.0736	0.0130
1.16	4.1588	164688.02	0.0030	0.9978	0.5436	0.1584	2.0079	0.0250
110	20 2080	800236.09	0.0133	0.9978	0.8221	0.7152	4.4493	0.0130
TA	17 1308	678377 81	0.0180	0.9977	0.2496	0.8704	15.5850	0.0250
14	11 5956	159701 37	0.0152	0.9979	0.7532	0.3495	2.1782	0.0130
19	11.5050	194593 11	0.0098	0 9979	3,9016	0.1721	1.0193	0.0130
113	4.0012	104303.11	0.0162	0 9979	0 9814	0.3216	2.0421	0.0130
18	11.3040	104275 24	0.0102	0.9678	2 4368	0 1633	0.9831	0.0130
111	4.1509	147250 26	0.0001	0 0078	0 2937	0.2010	3.0079	0.0250
122	3.7180	14/200.00	0.0099	0.3570	0.2504	0.1605	0.9282	0.0130
123	3.6804	124004 55	0.0102	0.3285	0.2601	0 1523	0.9558	0.0130
L24	3.4087	134904.33	0.0107	0.9205	0.7331	0 3092	2.0509	0.0130
111	6.3338	250819.70	0.0133	0.9971	0.7551	0.4176	6.6076	0.0250
L7.1	11.3803	450660.55	0.0712	0.9905	0.3541	0 5822	3 7226	0.0130
pipe	17.1253	0/0100.04	0.0133	0.9970	2 8452	0.0567	0.0459	0.0026
WQorifice	0.3993	15813.548	0.0002	0.9979	2.0452	0.0507	0.0455	0.0020
FREE # 1	20.2092	800282.86	4					
* Table E13. Char depth (TW), cr: Use this section	nnel losse itical and on for cul	es(H), head i normal de vert compa	water dept opth (Yc an orisons	h (HW), tai d Yn).	.lwater 	100-1	ear Flow.	
Conduit Name	Maximum Flow	Head Loss	Friction Loss	Critical Depth	Normal Depth	HW Elevat	TW Elevat	
 1.2	47.1412	0.3081	1.6119	2.2349	2.6318	5136.4716	5134.4740	Max Flow
1.3	47.1382	0.5852	0.1515	2.2348	2.6308	5138.3000	5137.2748	Max Flow
1.6	70,1212	0.0000	2.9994	2.6565	2.6296	5144.9319	5141.9296	Max Flow
1.7	85.2140	0.0000	0.5161	2.8032	3.0000	5143.0766	5142.5094	Max Flow
L10	82.0612	1.5178	1.3275	2.7815	3.0000	5167.0948	5164.2294	Max Flow
116	42.6337	0.0000	2.8454	1.2505	1.0638	5177.1674	5168.0238	Max Flow
L1	47.1501	0.3893	0.6494	2.2351	2.7006	5134.4740	5133.2351	Max Flow
1.4	44.3118	0.0000	0.1743	1.3814	1.7440	5138.2954	5138.1719	Max Flow
1.9	85.2146	1.0867	5.6605	2.8032	3.0000	5164.3271	5157.5032	Max Flow
L15	46.2216	0.7300	0.3757	2.2410	1.9968	5168.0057	5166.9480	Max Flow
L8	85.2148	1.2138	5.3630	2.8032	3.0000	5149.7945	5143.0766	Max Flow
L17	42.6444	0.8635	1.2269	2.1811	2.5000	5180.9911	5177.1674	Max Flow

		0.000	1.00	10 1.011	5 1.7002	2101.2000	0210.00	Laure	22011
L23	47.7326	5 1.0800	0.73	20 2.264	4 2.5000	5183.4636	5181.26	521 Max	Flow
L24	46.5219	1.097:	1 0.59	69 2.245	7 2.5000	5185.1700	5183.46	527 Max	Flow
L11	62.6684	0.346	9 0.57	11 2.545	3 3.0000	5164.8065	5163.88	395 Max	Flow
L7.1	85.6286	5 0.0000	2.44	42 1.528	0 1.9251	5142.5033	5139.35	564 Max	Flow
nine	44.3159	1.225	5 0.39	96 2.211	6 2.5000	5139.9848	5138.29	953 Max	Flow
WQorifice	0.4883	0.0000	4.86	80 5.195	6 0.2257	5162.1957	5157.21	115 Max	Flow
and the time t classification in minutes.	the culver n during t The Dynami	t was in a the simulat	a particu tion. The uation is	lar e time is used for	<u>с</u> ,	proposed outfa	all locations	5.	
all conduit ar condition is b	nalysis bu based on t	t the cult the HW and	vert flow TW depth	classifica s.	tion				
all conduit ar condition is b	halysis bu based on t Mild	t the cult the HW and Mild	vert flow TW depth Steep Slope TW	classifica s.	tion =====* Mild Slope	Mild			
all conduit ar condition is b	nalysis bu based on t Mild Slope	t the culv the HW and Mild Slope TW Control	Vert flow TW depth Steep Slope TW Insignf	classifica s. Slug Flow Outlet/	tion =====* Mild Slope TW > D	Mild Slope TW <= D			
all conduit ar condition is k 	halysis bu based on t Mild Slope ritical D Outlet	t the culv the HW and Mild Slope TW Control Outlet	Vert flow TW depth Steep Slope TW Insignf Entrance	classifica s. Slug Flow Outlet/ Entrance	tion 	Mild Slope IW <= D Outlet	Outlet	Inlet	Inlet
all conduit ar condition is k conduit Conduit Name	halysis bu based on t Mild Slope ritical D Outlet Control	ht the culv the HW and Mild Slope TW Control Outlet Control	Vert flow TW depth Steep Slope TW Insignf Entrance Control	classifica s. Slug Flow Outlet/ Entrance Control	tion 	Mild Slope IW <= D Outlet Control (Outlet	Inlet Control	Inlet Configuration
all conduit ar condition is k Conduit Name	Malysis bu pased on t Mild Slope ritical D Outlet Control	Mild Slope TW Control Outlet Control	Vert flow TW depth Steep Slope TW Insignf Entrance Control	classifica s. Slug Flow Outlet/ Entrance Control	tion 	Mild Slope IW <= D Outlet Control (Outlet Control	Inlet Control	Inlet Configuration
all conduit ar condition is k Conduit Name	Mild Slope Outlet Control	Mild Slope TW Control Outlet Control	Vert flow TW depth Steep Slope TW Insignf Entrance Control	classifica s. Slug Flow Outlet/ Entrance Control	tion 	Mild Slope IW <= D Outlet Control C	Outlet Control	Inlet Control	Inlet Configuration
all conduit ar condition is k Conduit Name L2 I3	Mild Slope Outlet Control 16.0000 60.0000	Mild Slope TW Control Outlet Control 387.0000 342.0000	Vert flow TW depth Slope TW Insignf Entrance Control 207.0000 5.0000	classifica s. Slug Flow Outlet/ Entrance Control 	tion 	Mild Slope IW <= D Outlet Control 0 0.0000 2	Outlet Control 50.0000 53.0000	Inlet Control 0.0000 0.0000	Inlet Configuration None None

1.5413

1.7662 5181.2668 5179.9859

Max Flow





North Range Town Center PHASE III DRAINAGE REPORT

DECEMBER 2006 Revised February 21, 2007

For:

SW North Range, LLC 333 W. Hampden Ave., Suite 810 Englewood, CO 80110



City of Commerce City Engineering FEB 2 6 2007

Collbro Engineering, Inc. 8201 Southpark Lane, Suite 200 Littleton, CO 80120 303-730-0434 fax 303-730-1139 Land Development Civit Engineering Master Planning


Scenario: 5YR



Scenario: 5YR

Report Output

Label	Upstream Node	Downstream Node	Length (ft)	Section Size	Total System Flow (cfs)	Full Capacity (cfs)	Average Velocity (fl/s)	Hydraulic Grade Line In (ft)	Hydraulic Grade Line Out (ft)	Upstream Invert Elevation (ft)	Upstream Ground Elevation (ft)	Downstream Invert Elevation (ft)	Downstream Ground Elevation (ft)	Constructed Slope (ft/ft)
0.50	East Culvert	East Culvert - Out	75.00	18 inch	5.90	4.92	4.26	5,137.46	5,136.44	5,136.06	5,137.56	5,135.50	5,137.00	0.007467
P-1	18" FES	SDMH-11	45.00	18 inch	1.40	7.51	3.23	5,145.51	5,145.28	5,145.07	5,145.07	5,144.84	5,148.49	0.005111
P-2	SDMH-11	SDMH-10	318.76	18 inch	1.40	7.42	3.13	5,145.08	5,143.51	5,144.64	5,148.49	5,143.05	5,150.61	0.004988
P-3	SDMH-10	SDMH-9	197.33	48 inch	57.40	122.70	6.72	5,142.83	5,142.11	5,140.55	5,150.61	5,139.11	5,145.62	0.007297
P-4	SDMH-9	SDMH-8	310.79	48 inch	57.70	117.79	6.94	5,141.30	5,139.73	5,139.01	5,145.62	5,136.92	5,143.81	0.006725
P-5	SDMH-8	SDMH-7	97.76	54 inch	57.70	125.78	5.86	5,139.23	5,139.22	5,136.73	5,143.81	5,136.33	5,144.88	0.004092
P-6	SDMH-7	SDMH-6	316.10	54 inch	59.30	124.15	6.34	5,138.46	5,138.01	5,136.22	5,144.88	5,134.96	5,143.12	0.003986
P-7	SDMH-6	SDMH-5	127.43	54 inch	64.50	139.35	6.58	5,137.19	5,137.36	5,134.85	5,143.12	5,134.21	5,142.05	0.005022
P-8	SDMH-5	SDMH-4	177.42	54 inch	65.20	123.51	5.81	5,136.82	5,136.75	5,134.10	5,142.05	5,133.40	5,141.04	0.003945
P-9	SDMH-4	SDMH-3	157.99	54 inch	66.40	125.15	5.37	5,136.32	5,136.25	5,133.30	5,141.04	5,132.66	5,140.69	0.004051
P-10	SDMH-3	INLET-2	43.49	54 inch	68.20	122.94	5.29	5,135.89	5,135.86	5,132.56	5,140,69	5, 100 a	o conflicte ho	
P-22	24" FES-2	INLET-6	30.72	24 inch	5.50	18.70	4.81	5,140.83	5,140.54	5,140.00	5,140.00	5. data l	HGL has been	n adjusted per
P-23	INLET-6	INLET-5	31.00	30 inch	7.60	32.94	3.99	5,140.51	5,140.57	5,139.59	5,143.87	delta,	HGL has bee	en set 2.81'
P-25	SDMH-13	SDMH-12	209.07	30 inch	10.10	35.32	3.63	5,138.43	5,138.06	5,137.37	5,146.12	5. above	e adjusted inv	vert.
P-26	SDMH-12	SDMH-6	51.02	36 inch	12.30	48.52	1.79	5,138.02	5,138.01	5,135.32	<u>5,142.15</u>	5,100.00	1 0,140.12	
P-27	INLET-4	SDMH-12	13.88	24 inch	3.30	22.72	1.08	5,138.06	5,138.06	5,136.24	Starting	flow rate origi	inally from	0.010086
P-28	INLET-3	SDMH-12	6.10	18 inch	1.00	10.42	0.65	5,138.06	5,138.06	5,136.86	High Po	binte Drainage	Report.	0.009836
P-29	18" STUB	SDMH-9	24.00	18 inch	0.80	9.10	0.45	5,142.11	5,142.11	5,139.39	of analy	ie useu as sia /zed system	rung now	0.007500
P-30	INLET-1	Interim Outfall	205.00	58x91 inc	109.90	313.75	4.16	5,135.59	5,135.57	5,131.93	or anal			0.004537
P-31	24" FES-1	INLET-4	26.51	24 inch	2.90	21.52	1.14	5,138.08	5,138.08	5,136.68	5,136.68	Per Nort	h Range Tow	/n Center
P-34	18" FES-1	INLET-11	32.10	18 inch	3.00	11.12	3.64	5,141.59	5,141.34	5,140.93	5,140.93	informat	ion, flows rem	nain
P-35	INLET-11	INLET-10	180.99	18 inch	3.40	9.00	4.46	5,141.07	5,139.68	5,140.37	5,144.15	unchang	ged downstrea	am,
P-36	INLET-10	SDMH-16	160.54	24 inch	4.60	19.56	4.66	5,139.29	5,138.00	5,138.54	5,144.13	therefore	e no additiona	al flows
P-37	SDMH-16	SDMH-15	180.76	48 inch	39.30	101.35	6.07	5,137.21	5,136.71	5,135.34	5,146.50	added w	vithin sections	of 48"
P-38	FUTURE-HOGA	SDMH-16	62.16	48 inch	35.40	101.43	5.32	5,137.77	5,137.85	5,135.85	5,147.00	Storm un		
P-40	STM MH-D10	STM MH-D9	92.13	36 inch	56.70	58.14	8.02	5,166.62	5,165.95	5,156.41	5,168.69	5,155.71	5,167.13	0.007598
P-41	STM MH-D9	STM MH-D8	365.32	36 inch	56.70	106.53	8.61	5,164.57	5,157.49	5,162.13	5,167.13	5,152.81	5,159.98	0.025512
P-42	STM MH-D8	SDMH-10	376.75	36 inch	56.70	130.16	8.67	5,157.42	5,143.51	5,154.98	5,159.98	5,140.63	5,150.61	0.038089
P-43	West Culvert	West Culvert - Out	90.00	18 inch	4.90	4.95	4.00	5,141.37	5,140.35	5,140.18	5,141.68	5,139.50	5,141.00	0.007556
P-53	INLET-5	SDMH-14	15.16	30 inch	10.10	36.49	5.52	5,140.25	5,140.01	5,139.19	5,143.47	5,139.07	5,144,19	0.007510
P-54	SDMH-14	SDMH-13	172.89	30 inch	10.10	35.57	4.53	5,139.93	5,138.85	5,138.87	5,144.19	5,137.57	5,140.12	0.007519
P-55	INLET-2	SDMH-2	30.09	54 inch	69.90	124.18	5.12	5,135.84	5,135.83	5,132.29	5,139.23	5,132.1/	5,138.96	0.003968
P-56	SDMH-2	INLET-1	10.00	58x91 inc	109.10	551.17	4.58	5,135.58	5,135.61	5,132.07	5,138.96	5,131.93	5,138.87	0.014000
P-57	INLET-8	INLET-7	170.00	18 inch	2.50	11.31	4.47	5,140.55	5,138.46	5,139.95	5,144.57	5,137.98	5,144.20	0.011068
P-58	INLET-7	SDMH-15	69.51	24 inch	4.60	27.67	5.38	5,138.53	5,137.29	5,137.78	5,144.20	5,136.74	5,143.38	0.014962
P-59	SDMH-15	SDMH-2	333.69	54 inch	42.40	151.09	4.95	5,136.12	5,135.83	5,134.24	5,143.38	5,132.27	5,138.96	0.005904



Title: NORTH RANGE c:\...\sout hogcom1\drainage\stormcad\20psc.stm 11/15/06 07:38:02 AM

.

.



Scenario: 100YR

Report Output

Label	Upstream Node	Downstream Node	Length (ft)	Section Size	Total System Flow (cfs)	Full Capacity (cfs)	Average Velocity (ft/s)	Hydraulic Grade Line In (fl)	Hydraulic Grade Line Out (ft)	Upstream Invert Elevation (ft)	Upstream Ground Elevation (ft)	Downstream Invert Elevation (ft)	Downstream Ground Elevation (ft)	Constructed Slope (ft/ft)
0.50	East Culvert	East Culvert - Out	75.00	18 inch	10.70	4.92	6.41	5,139.54	5,136.76	5,136.06	5,137.56	5,135.50	5,137.00	0.007467
P-1	18" FES	SDMH-11	45.00	18 inch	6.60	7.51	4.28	5,146.25	5,146.11	5,145.07	5,145.07	5,144.84	5,148.49	0.005111
P-2	SDMH-11	SDMH-10	318.76	18 inch	6.60	7.42	4.30	5,145.74	5,144.45	5,144.64	5,148.49	5,143.05	5,150.61	0.004988
P-3	SDMH-10	SDMH-9	197.33	48 inch	88.10	-122.70	7.19	5,144.17	5,143.53	5,140.55	5,150.61	5,139.11	5,145.62	0.007297
P-4	SDMH-9	SDMH-8	310.79	48 inch	88.60	117.79	7.06	5,142.95	5,141.77	5,139.01	5,145.62	5,136.92	5,143.81	0.006725
P-5	SDMH-8	SDMH-7	97.76	54 inch	88.60	125.78	5.57	5,141.39	5,141.19	5,136.73	5,143.81	5,136.33	5,144.88	0.004092
P-6	SDMH-7	SDMH-6	316.10	54 inch	91.70	124.15	5.77	5,140.79	5,140.11	5,136,22	5,144.88	5,134.96	5,143.12	0.003986
P-7	SDMH-6	SDMH-5	127.43	54 inch	101.20	139.35	6.36	5,139.61	5,139.27	5,134.85	5,143.12	5,134.21	5,142.05	0.005022
P-8	SDMH-5	SDMH-4	177.42	54 inch	102.60	123.51	6 45	5,138.78	5,138.30	5,134.10	5,142.05	5,133.40	5,141.04	0.003945
P-9	SDMH-4	SDMH-3	157.99	54 inch	104.90	125.15	6.60	5,137.78	5,137.33	5,133.30	5,141.04	5,132.66	5,140.69	0.004051
P-10	SDMH-3	INLET-2	43.49	54 inch	108.30	122.94	7.00	5,136.73	5,136.63	5,132.56	5,140.69	5,10000	to conflicte b	
P-22	24" FES-2	INLET-6	30.72	24 inch	10.70	18.70	3.59	5,141.73	5,141.69	5,140.00	5,140.00	5,1 Due	HGL has be	erween survey en adjusted per
P-23	INLET-6	INLET-5	31.00	30 inch	14.70	32.94	3.24	5,141.68	5,141.67	5,139.59	5,143.87	delta	a, HGL has be	een set 4.85'
P-25	SDMH-13	SDMH-12	209.07	30 inch	19.50	35.32	3.97	5,140.79	5,140.32	5,137.37	5,146.12	5,1 abov	ve adjusted in	ivert.
P-26	SDMH-12	SDMH-6	51.02	36 inch	25.30	48.52	3.58	5,140.18	5,140,11	5,135.32	5,142.15	5,100.00	0,1-0.1E	
P-27	INLET-4	SDMH-12	13.88	24 inch	6.40	22.72	2.04	5,140.33	5,140.32	5,136.24	5 Startir	ng flow rate ori	ginally from	0.010086
P-28	INLET-3	SDMH-12	6.10	18 inch	1.90	10.42	1.08	5,140.32	5,140.32	5,136.86	5 High F	Pointe Drainag	e Report.	0.009836
P-29	18" STUB	SDMH-9	24.00	18 inch	1.60	9.10	0.91	5,143.54	5,143.53	5,139.39	5 Flow r	ate used as st	arting flow	0.007500
P-30	INLET-1	Interim Outfall	205.00	58x91 inc	199.60	313.75	7.47	5,135.67	5,135.57	5,131.93	5 ^{Or and}	ilyzeu system.		0.004537
P-31	24" FES-1	INLET-4	26.51	24 inch	5.60	21.52	1.78	5,140.42	5,140.41	5,136.68	5,136.68	Per No	rth Range To	wn Center
P-34	18" FES-1	INLET-11	32.10	18 inch	10.10	11.12	5.72	5,143.29	5,142.99	5,140.93	5,140.93	informa	ation. flows re	main
P-35	INLET-11	INLET-10	180.99	18 inch	11.00	9.00	6.56	5,142.39	5,140.31	5,140.37	5,144.16	unchar	nged downstro	eam,
P-36	INLET-10	SDMH-16	160.54	24 inch	13.40	19.56	5.18	5,139.86	5,139.44	5,138.54	5,144.13	therefo	re no additior	nal flows
P-37	SDMH-16	SDMH-15	180.76	48 inch	88.50	101.35	7.76	5,138.55	5,138.11	5,135.34	5,146.50	added	within sectior	is of 48"
P-38	FUTURE-HOGA	SDMH-16	62.16	48 inch	77.20	101.43	6.26	5,139.57	5,139.44	5,135.85	5,147.00	storm t	nat as been a	analyzed.
P-40	STM MH-D10	STM MH-D9	92.13	36 inch	85.20	58.14	12.05	5,168.63	5,167.13	5,156.41	5,168.69	5,155.71	5,167.13	0.007598
P-41	STM MH-D9	STM MH-D8	365.32	36 inch	85.20	106.53	12.21	5,164.94	5,157.91	5,162.13	5,167.13	5,152.81	5,159.98	0.025512
P-42	STM MH-D8	SDMH-10	376.75	36 inch	85.20	130.16	12.21	5,157.79	5,144.45	5,154.98	5,159.98	5,140.63	5,150.61	0.038089
P-43	West Culvert	West Culvert - Out	90.00	18 inch	9.40	4.95	5.80	5,143.29	5,140.68	5,140.18	5,141.68	5,139.50	5,141.00	0.007556
P-53	INLET-5	SDMH-14	15.16	30 inch	19.50	36.49	4.12	5,141.45	5,141.44	5,139.19	5,143.47	5,139.07	5,144.19	0.007916
P-54	SDMH-14	SDMH-13	172.89	30 inch	19.50	35.57	3.97	5,141.44	5,141.05	5,138.87	5,144.19	5,137.57	5,146.12	0.007519
P-55	INLET-2	SDMH-2	30.09	54 inch	111.90	124.18	7.13	5,136.59	5,136.52	5,132.29	5,139.23	5,132.17	5,138.96	0.003988
P-56	SDMH-2	INLET-1	10.00	58x91 inc	198.10	551.17	8.19	5,135.60	5,135.72	5,132.07	5,138.96	5,131.93	5,138.87	0.014000
P-57	INLET-8	INLET-7	170.00	18 inch	4.80	11.31	4.58	5,140.79	5,138.86	5,139.95	5,144.57	5,137.98	5,144.20	0.011588
P-58	INLET-7	SDMH-15	69.51	24 inch	8.80	27.67	4.52	5,138.84	5,138.11	5,137.78	5,144.20	5,136.74	5,143.38	0.014962
P-59	SDMH-15	SDMH-2	333.69	54 inch	94.60	151.09	7.49	5,137.10	5,136.52	5,134.24	5,143.38	5,132.27	5,138.96	0.005904

Title: NORTH RANGE c:\...\sout hogcom1\drainage\stormcad\20psc.stm 12/20/08 09:08:50 AM



ر € ا

Profile

Scenario: 100YR

,

7 ~~

1

<u>ה (</u> ב

با و اور بین از این از این او اور این او این او این او این او این او

<u>___</u>

APPENDIX C

• HYDROLOGIC CALCULATIONS

Drainage Basin Imperviousness

Soil Type : A

	Roof	Concrete	Asphalt	Landscape	SF Residential	Gravel	Undeveloped							
Imperviousness :	90%	90%	100%	2%	45%	40%	2%	Total Area	Total Area	Composite		Runoff C	Coefficients	
Basin Name				Areas	(sq.ft.)			(ac.)	(sq.ft.)	% Imp.	C ₂	C ₅	C ₁₀	C ₁₀₀
H-OS1			992	6,519				0.17	7,511	15%	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.23
H-OS2					12,160			0.28	12,160	45%	0.30	0.31	0.33	0.46
H-A	8,650	1,204				3,931	572,600	13.46	586,385	4%	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.14
H-B							2,763	0.06	2,763	2%	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.13
H-C							3,249	0.07	3,249	2%	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.13



SF₂ - Time of Concentration

				Initia	I/Overland	Time			Travel Time			Time of Co	ncentration	Final
		Area							Conveyance			Comp. T _C	Regional	
Basin ID	Area (ac.)	(sq.ft.)	C ₅	L _i (ft.)	S (%)	T _i (min.)	L _t (ft.)	S (%)	Factor	Vel (fps)	T _t (min.)	(min.)	T _c (Min.)	T _c (Min.)
H-OS1	0.17	7,511	0.08	199	5.00	15.3	0	5.0	15	3.4	0.0	15.3	23.5	15.3
H-OS2	0.28	12,160	0.31	44	2.00	7.5	0	2.0	15	2.1	0.0	7.5	18.4	7.5
H-A	13.46	586,385	0.01	538	1.90	36.8	196	0.5	15	1.1	3.1	39.9	30.2	30.2
H-B	0.06	2,763	0.01	14	25.00	2.6	0	25.0	15	7.5	0.0	2.6	25.7	5.0
H-C	0.07	3,249	0.01	17	10.00	3.8	0	10.0	15	4.7	0.0	3.8	25.7	5.0



Project : TTRes Chambers Road Project No. : 23049 Date : 3/22/2024 By : ACL

SF₃ - Minor Storm

1-hr Point Rainfall 1.12 in.

(5-year Event)

				Dire	ct Runof	ff				Total I	Runoff		Str	eet	Tr	avel Tin	ıe	
	Design	Area	Area		Тс	CA	I		Тс	CA	I		Slope	Flow	Length	Vel.	tt	
Description	Point	(ac.)	(sq.ft.)	C ₅	(min.)	(ac.)	(in/hr)	Q (cfs)	(min.)	(ac.)	(in/hr)	Q (cfs)	(%)	(cfs)	(ft)	(fps)	(min.)	Comments
H-OS1	H1	0.17	7,511	0.08	15.3	0.01	2.52	0.0										
H-OS2	H2	0.28	12,160	0.31	7.5	0.09	3.36	0.3										
H-A	Н3	13.46	586,385	0.01	30.2	0.17	1.75	0.3										
H-B	H4	0.06	2,763	0.01	5.0	0.00	3.80	0.0										
H-C	H5	0.07	3,249	0.01	5.0	0.00	3.80	0.0										



SF3 - Major Storm

1-hr Point Rainfall 2.43 in.

(100-year Event)

				Dire	ct Runo	ff				Total	Runoff		Str	eet	Tr	avel Tin	ne	
	Design	Area	Area		Тс	CA	I		Тс	CA	I		Slope	Flow	Length	Vel.	tt	
Description	Point	(ac.)	(sq.ft.)	C ₁₀₀	(min.)	(ac.)	(in/hr)	Q (cfs)	(min.)	(ac.)	(in/hr)	Q (cfs)	(%)	(cfs)	(ft)	(fps)	(min.)	Comments
H-OS1	H1	0.17	7,511	0.23	15.3	0.04	5.46	0.2										
H-OS2	H2	0.28	12,160	0.46	7.5	0.13	7.29	0.9										
H-A	H3	13.46	586,385	0.14	30.2	1.87	3.80	7.1										
H-B	H4	0.06	2,763	0.13	5.0	0.01	8.24	0.1										
H-C	H5	0.07	3,249	0.13	5.0	0.01	8.24	0.1										





Drainage Basin Imperviousness

Soil Type : A

	Roof	Concrete	Asphalt	Landscape	Playground	Gravel	Undeveloped							
Imperviousness :	90%	90%	100%	2%	10%	40%	2%	Total Area	Total Area	Composite		Runoff (Coefficients	;
Basin Name				Areas	(sq.ft.)			(ac.)	(sq.ft.)	% Imp.	C ₂	C ₅	C ₁₀	C ₁₀₀
Development Site	167,925	42,419	186,241	118,005				11.81	514,590	73%	0.56	0.58	0.59	0.68
A1	9,851	2,570	4,030	9,265				0.59	25,716	60%	0.43	0.45	0.46	0.58
A2	7,942	927		398				0.21	9,266	86%	0.69	0.71	0.72	0.78
A3	5,377		17,193	2,223				0.57	24,793	89%	0.72	0.74	0.75	0.80
A4	6,852	773		112				0.18	7,737	89%	0.72	0.74	0.75	0.80
A5	20,772	3,974		15,001				0.91	39,747	57%	0.40	0.42	0.43	0.55
A6	7,901	900		201				0.21	9,002	88%	0.71	0.73	0.74	0.80
A7	6,019	727		524				0.17	7,270	84%	0.67	0.68	0.70	0.76
A8	13,959	10,276	74,355	4,172				2.36	102,762	94%	0.77	0.79	0.80	0.84
A9	8,191	917		67				0.21	9,175	89%	0.73	0.74	0.76	0.81
A10	15,196	2,732		9,393				0.63	27,321	60%	0.43	0.45	0.46	0.58
A11	7,006			732				0.18	7,737	82%	0.65	0.66	0.68	0.75
A12	1,919	850		5,732				0.20	8,500	31%	0.18	0.19	0.20	0.35
A13	4,634	6,218	42,679	8,649				1.43	62,180	85%	0.68	0.69	0.71	0.77
A14	600	5,712	47,984	2,731				1.31	57,028	94%	0.78	0.80	0.81	0.84
A15		644		18,218		1,114		0.46	19,976	7%	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.16
B1	7,006			732				0.18	7,737	82%	0.65	0.66	0.68	0.75
B2	7,006			732				0.18	7,737	82%	0.65	0.66	0.68	0.75
B3	19,639	4,363		19,631				1.00	43,633	50%	0.34	0.36	0.37	0.50
B4	6,019			618				0.15	6,637	82%	0.65	0.67	0.68	0.75
C1		3,334	23,344	6,668				0.77	33,346	79%	0.62	0.64	0.65	0.73
C2		4,063	28,223	8,126				0.93	40,412	79%	0.62	0.64	0.65	0.73
C3	6,019	836		1,508				0.19	8,363	74%	0.57	0.59	0.60	0.69
C4	6,019			5,929				0.27	11,948	46%	0.31	0.32	0.34	0.47
Total to Pond	159,484	64,818	204,167	135,432				12.95	563,901	72%	0.55	0.57	0.59	0.68
OS-1		737	5,164	1,474.0				0.17	7,375	79%	0.62	0.64	0.65	0.73
OS-2				1,691.0				0.04	1,691	2%	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.13
OS-3				9,748				0.22	9,748	2%	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.13



SF₂ - Time of Concentration

				Initia	al/Overland	Time			Travel Time			Time of Co	ncentration	Final
		Area							Conveyance			Comp. T _C	Regional	
Basin ID	Area (ac.)	(sq.ft.)	C ₅	L _i (ft.)	S (%)	T _i (min.)	L _t (ft.)	S (%)	Factor	Vel (fps)	T _t (min.)	(min.)	T _c (Min.)	T _c (Min.)
A1	0.59	25,716	0.45	100	2.00	9.4	300	2.0	20	2.8	1.8	11.1	17.9	11.1
A2	0.21	9,266	0.71	35	2.00	3.3	0	2.0	20	2.8	0.0	3.3	11.3	5.0
A3	0.57	24,793	0.74	40	1.50	3.6	350	2.0	20	2.8	2.1	5.6	12.8	5.6
A4	0.18	7,737	0.74	40	1.50	3.6	0	2.0	20	2.8	0.0	3.6	10.9	5.0
A5	0.91	39,747	0.42	10	10.00	1.8	200	2.0	20	2.8	1.2	3.0	17.7	5.0
A6	0.21	9,002	0.73	40	2.00	3.4	0	2.0	20	2.8	0.0	3.4	11.0	5.0
A7	0.17	7,270	0.68	40	2.00	3.8	0	2.0	20	2.8	0.0	3.8	11.8	5.0
A8	2.36	102,762	0.79	100	2.00	4.4	500	1.5	20	2.4	3.4	7.8	13.2	7.8
A9	0.21	9,175	0.74	40	2.00	3.2	0	2.0	20	2.8	0.0	3.2	10.8	5.0
A10	0.63	27,321	0.45	10	10.00	1.7	150	2.0	20	2.8	0.9	2.6	16.9	5.0
A11	0.18	7,737	0.66	40	2.00	4.0	0	2.0	20	2.8	0.0	4.0	12.1	5.0
A12	0.20	8,500	0.19	10	2.00	4.1	250	1.0	20	2.0	2.1	6.2	23.9	6.2
A13	1.43	62,180	0.69	60	1.50	5.0	850	1.0	20	2.0	7.1	12.0	18.4	12.0
A14	1.31	57,028	0.80	80	2.00	3.9	500	1.5	20	2.4	3.4	7.3	13.1	7.3
A15	0.46	19,976	0.03	10	33.33	1.9	0	2.0	20	2.8	0.0	1.9	24.8	5.0
B1	0.18	7,737	0.66	40	2.00	4.0	0	2.0	20	2.8	0.0	4.0	12.1	5.0
B2	0.18	7,737	0.66	40	2.00	4.0	0	2.0	20	2.8	0.0	4.0	12.1	5.0
B3	1.00	43,633	0.36	10	10.00	2.0	150	2.0	20	2.8	0.9	2.9	18.5	5.0
B4	0.15	6,637	0.67	40	2.00	3.9	0	2.0	20	2.8	0.0	3.9	12.1	5.0
C1	0.77	33,346	0.64	60	2.00	5.1	1,100	2.0	20	2.8	6.5	11.6	18.9	11.6
C2	0.93	40,412	0.64	60	2.00	5.1	500	2.0	20	2.8	2.9	8.1	15.5	8.1
C3	0.19	8,363	0.59	40	2.00	4.7	0	2.0	20	2.8	0.0	4.7	13.4	5.0
C4	0.27	11,948	0.32	10	10.00	2.1	100	2.0	20	2.8	0.6	2.7	18.9	5.0
OS-1	0.17	7,375	0.64	60	2.00	5.1	600	2.0	20	2.8	3.5	8.6	16.0	8.6
OS-2	0.04	1,691	0.01	10	33.33	2.0	0	2.0	20	2.8	0.0	2.0	25.7	5.0
OS-3	0.22	9,748	0.01	10	33.33	2.0	0	2.0	20	2.8	0.0	2.0	25.7	5.0



Project : TTRes Chambers Road Project No. : 23049 Date : 8/12/2024 By : ACL

SF₃ - Minor Storm

1-hr Point Rainfall 1.12 in.

(5-year Event)

				Dire	ct Runo	ff				Total	Runoff		Str	eet	Ti	ravel Tin	ne	
Description	Design	Area	Area		Tc (min)	CA (ac)	 /in /hr)	0 (cfc)	Tc (min)	CA	 (in /hr)	O (ofo)	Slope	Flow	Length	Vel.	tt	Comments
Description	POIN	(ac.)	(sq.11.)	C ₅	(mm.)	(dl.)	(11/11)	Q (CIS)	(mm.)	(dl.)	(111/111)	Q (CIS)	(70)	(CIS)	(11)	(ips)	()	comments
A1	1	0.59	25,/16	0.45	11.1	0.26	2.90	0.8					2.0	0.8	24	2.8	0.1	Direct Runoff to 1, to 3 via Surface
A2	2	0.21	9,266	0.71	5.0	0.15	3.80	0.6					1.0	0.6	50	2.0	0.4	Direct Runoff to 2, to 8 via Storm Sewer
A3	3	0.57	24,793	0.74	5.6	0.42	3.68	1.6										Direct Runoff to 3, to 8 via Storm Sewer
	3								11.3	0.69	2.88	2.0	1.0	2.0	425	2.0	3.5	Peak Flow to 3, to 8 via Storm Sewer
A4	4	0.18	7,737	0.74	5.0	0.13	3.80	0.5					1.0	0.5	50	2.0	0.4	Direct Runoff to 4, to 8 via Storm Sewer
A5	5	0.91	39,747	0.42	5.0	0.38	3.80	1.4					1.0	1.4	50	2.0	0.4	Direct Runoff to 5, to 8 via Storm Sewer
A6	6	0.21	9,002	0.73	5.0	0.15	3.80	0.6					1.0	0.6	50	2.0	0.4	Direct Runoff to 6, to 8 via Storm Sewer
A7	7	0.17	7,270	0.68	5.0	0.11	3.80	0.4					1.0	0.4	50	2.0	0.4	Direct Runoff to 7, to 8 via Storm Sewer
A8	8	2.36	102,762	0.79	7.8	1.87	3.31	6.2										Direct Runoff to 8, to 13 via Storm Sewer
	8								14.8	3.33	2.56	8.5	1.0	8.5	505	2.0	4.2	Peak Flow to 8, to 13 via Storm Sewer
A9	9	0.21	9,175	0.74	5.0	0.16	3.80	0.6					1.0	0.6	50	2.0	0.4	Direct Runoff to 9, to 13 via Storm Sewer
A10	10	0.63	27,321	0.45	5.0	0.28	3.80	1.1					1.0	1.1	55	2.0	0.5	Direct Runoff to 10, to 13 via Storm Sewer
A11	11	0.18	7,737	0.66	5.0	0.12	3.80	0.4					1.0	0.4	50	2.0	0.4	Direct Runoff to 11, to 13 via Storm Sewer
A12	12	0.20	8,500	0.19	6.2	0.04	3.57	0.1					1.0	0.1	50	2.0	0.4	Direct Runoff to 12, via Surface flow Through Basin A13
A13	13	1.43	62,180	0.69	12.0	0.99	2.81	2.8										Direct Runoff to 13, via Surface
A14	13	1.31	57,028	0.80	7.3	1.04	3.40	3.5										Direct Runoff to 13, via Surface
	13								12.0	2.07	2.81	5.8						Total Peak to 13, to A via Storm Sewer
	А								19.0	6.64	2.26	15.0						Peak Runoff From A Basins to Pond
A15		0.46	19,976	0.03	5.0	0.01	3.80	0.0										Peak Runoff Direct to Pond
B1	14	0.18	7,737	0.66	5.0	0.12	3.80	0.4					1.0	0.4	50	2.0	0.4	Direct Runoff to 14, to B via Storm Sewer
B2	15	0.18	7,737	0.66	5.0	0.12	3.80	0.4					1.0	0.4	50	2.0	0.4	Direct Runoff to 15, to B via Storm Sewer
B3	16	1.00	43,633	0.36	5.0	0.36	3.80	1.4					1.0	1.4	50	2.0	0.4	Direct Runoff to 16, to B via Storm Sewer
B4	17	0.15	6,637	0.67	5.0	0.10	3.80	0.4					1.0	0.4	50	2.0	0.4	Direct Runoff to 17, to B via Storm Sewer
	В								5.4	0.70	3.72	2.6						Peak Runoff From B Basins to Pond
C1	18	0.77	33,346	0.64	11.6	0.49	2.85	1.4					1.0	1.4	50	2.0	0.4	Direct Runoff to 18, to B via Storm Sewer
C2	19	0.93	40,412	0.64	8.1	0.59	3.28	1.9					1.0	1.9	50	2.0	0.4	Direct Runoff to 19, to B via Storm Sewer
C3	20	0.19	8,363	0.59	5.0	0.11	3.80	0.4					1.0	0.4	50	2.0	0.4	Direct Runoff to 20, to B via Storm Sewer
C4	21	0.27	11,948	0.32	5.0	0.09	3.80	0.3					1.0	0.3	50	2.0	0.4	Direct Runoff to 21, to B via Storm Sewer
	C.								12.0	1.28	2.81	3.6	1.0	3.6	70	2.0	0.6	Peak Runoff From C Basins to Pond
Total Runoff to Pond	-								19.0	8.64	2.26	19.5						Peak Runoff to Pond
OS-1		0.17	7,375	0.64	8.6	0.11	3.20	0.3										Direct Runoff routed offsite to South (102nd)
OS-2		0.04	1,691	0.01	5.0	0.00	3.80	0.0										Direct Runoff routed offsite to West
05-3		0.22	9.748	0.01	5.0	0.00	3.80	0.0										Direct Runoff routed offsite to North
03-3		0.22	3,7.10	0.01	5.0	0.00	0.00	0.0										Bireet numon routeu onsite to nortin



Project : TTRes Chambers Road Project No. : 23049 Date : 8/12/2024 By : ACL

SF3 - Major Storm

1-hr Point Rainfall 2.43 in.

(100-year Event)

				Dire	ct Runof	if				Total	Runoff		Str	eet	Tr	avel Tin	ne	
Description	Design Point	Area (ac.)	Area (sq.ft.)	C ₁₀₀	Tc (min.)	CA (ac.)	l (in/hr)	Q (cfs)	Tc (min.)	CA (ac.)	l (in/hr)	Q (cfs)	Slope (%)	Flow (cfs)	Length (ft)	Vel. (fps)	tt (min.)	Comments
A1	1	0.59	25,716	0.58	11.1	0.34	6.29	2.1					2.0	2.1	24	2.8	0.1	Direct Runoff to 1, to 3 via Surface
A2	2	0.21	9,266	0.78	5.0	0.17	8.24	1.4					1.0	1.4	50	2.0	0.4	Direct Runoff to 2, to 8 via Storm Sewer
A3	3	0.57	24,793	0.80	5.6	0.46	7.98	3.7										Direct Runoff to 3, to 8 via Storm Sewer
	3								11.3	0.80	6.26	5.0	1.0	5.0	425	2.0	3.5	Peak Flow to 3, to 8 via Storm Sewer
A4	4	0.18	7,737	0.80	5.0	0.14	8.24	1.2					1.0	1.2	50	2.0	0.4	Direct Runoff to 4, to 8 via Storm Sewer
A5	5	0.91	39,747	0.55	5.0	0.50	8.24	4.2					1.0	4.2	50	2.0	0.4	Direct Runoff to 5, to 8 via Storm Sewer
A6	6	0.21	9,002	0.80	5.0	0.16	8.24	1.4					1.0	1.4	50	2.0	0.4	Direct Runoff to 6, to 8 via Storm Sewer
A7	7	0.17	7,270	0.76	5.0	0.13	8.24	1.0					1.0	1.0	50	2.0	0.4	Direct Runoff to 7, to 8 via Storm Sewer
A8	8	2.36	102,762	0.84	7.8	1.98	7.19	14.3										Direct Runoff to 8, to 13 via Storm Sewer
	8								14.8	3.72	5.55	20.6	1.0	20.6	505	2.0	4.2	Peak Flow to 8, to 13 via Storm Sewer
A9	9	0.21	9,175	0.81	5.0	0.17	8.24	1.4					1.0	1.4	50	2.0	0.4	Direct Runoff to 9, to 13 via Storm Sewer
A10	10	0.63	27,321	0.58	5.0	0.36	8.24	3.0					1.0	3.0	55	2.0	0.5	Direct Runoff to 10, to 13 via Storm Sewer
A11	11	0.18	7,737	0.75	5.0	0.13	8.24	1.1					1.0	1.1	50	2.0	0.4	Direct Runoff to 11, to 13 via Storm Sewer
A12	12	0.20	8,500	0.35	6.2	0.07	7.75	0.5					1.0	0.5	50	2.0	0.4	Direct Runoff to 12, via Surface flow Through Basin A13
A13	13	1.43	62,180	0.77	12.0	1.10	6.09	6.7										Direct Runoff to 13, via Surface
A14	13	1.31	57,028	0.84	7.3	1.11	7.37	8.1										Direct Runoff to 13, via Surface
	13								12.0	2.27	6.09	13.8						Total Peak to 13, to A via Storm Sewer
	А								19.0	7.46	4.90	36.6						Peak Runoff From A Basins to Pond
A15		0.46	19,976	0.16	5.0	0.08	8.24	0.6										Peak Runoff Direct to Pond
B1	14	0.18	7,737	0.75	5.0	0.13	8.24	1.1					1.0	1.1	50	2.0	0.4	Direct Runoff to 14, to B via Storm Sewer
B2	15	0.18	7,737	0.75	5.0	0.13	8.24	1.1					1.0	1.1	50	2.0	0.4	Direct Runoff to 15, to B via Storm Sewer
B3	16	1.00	43,633	0.50	5.0	0.50	8.24	4.2					1.0	4.2	50	2.0	0.4	Direct Runoff to 16, to B via Storm Sewer
B4	17	0.15	6,637	0.75	5.0	0.11	8.24	0.9					1.0	0.9	50	2.0	0.4	Direct Runoff to 17, to B via Storm Sewer
	В								5.4	0.88	8.07	7.1						Peak Runoff From B Basins to Pond
C1	18	0.77	33,346	0.73	11.6	0.56	6.19	3.5					1.0	3.5	50	2.0	0.4	Direct Runoff to 18, to B via Storm Sewer
C2	19	0.93	40,412	0.73	8.1	0.68	7.12	4.8					1.0	4.8	50	2.0	0.4	Direct Runoff to 19, to B via Storm Sewer
C3	20	0.19	8,363	0.69	5.0	0.13	8.24	1.1					1.0	1.1	50	2.0	0.4	Direct Runoff to 20, to B via Storm Sewer
C4	21	0.27	11,948	0.47	5.0	0.13	8.24	1.1					1.0	1.1	50	2.0	0.4	Direct Runoff to 21, to B via Storm Sewer
	С								12.0	1.50	6.10	9.1	1.0	9.1	70	2.0	0.6	Peak Runoff From C Basins to Pond
Total Runoff to Pond									19.0	9.91	4.90	48.6						Peak Runoff to Pond
OS-1		0.17	7,375	0.73	8.6	0.12	6.95	0.9										Direct Runoff routed offsite to South (102nd)
OS-2		0.04	1,691	0.13	5.0	0.00	8.24	0.0										Direct Runoff routed offsite to West
OS-3		0.22	9,748	0.13	5.0	0.03	8.24	0.2										Direct Runoff routed offsite to North



Land Use or	Percentage Imperviousness
Surface Characteristics	(%)
Business:	
Downtown Areas	95
Suburban Areas	75
Residential lots (lot area only):	
Single-family	
2.5 acres or larger	12
0.75 – 2.5 acres	20
0.25 – 0.75 acres	30
0.25 acres or less	45
Apartments	75
Industrial:	
Light areas	80
Heavy areas	90
Parks, cemeteries	10
Playgrounds	25
Schools	55
Railroad yard areas	50
Undeveloped Areas:	
Historic flow analysis	2
Greenbelts, agricultural	2
Off-site flow analysis (when land use not defined)	45
Streets:	
Paved	100
Gravel (packed)	40
Drive and walks	90
Roofs	90
Lawns, sandy soil	2
Lawns, clayey soil	2

Table 6-3. Recommended percentage imperviousness values

APPENDIX D

• HYDRAULIC CALCULATIONS

Private Roadway Capacity - V-Pan Section (@ Max Basin Peak Flow - 14.3 cfs)

User-defined		Highlighted	
Invert Elev (ft)	= 2.66	Depth (ft)	= 0.37
Slope (%)	= 1.00	Q (cfs)	= 14.30
N-Value	= 0.013	Area (sqft)	= 5.10
		Velocity (ft/s)	= 2.81
Calculations		Wetted Perim (ft)	= 41.05
Compute by:	Known Q	Crit Depth, Yc (ft)	= 0.41
Known Q (cfs)	= 14.30	Top Width (ft)	= 41.02
		EGL (ft)	= 0.49

(Sta, El, n)-(Sta, El, n)... (0.00, 3.51)-(0.10, 3.50, 0.013)-(0.50, 3.00, 0.013)-(17.50, 2.83, 0.013)-(19.50, 2.66, 0.013)-(21.50, 2.83, 0.013)-(47.50, 3.09, 0.013) -(47.60, 3.59, 0.013)



Private Roadway Capacity - Curb Only Section (@ DP 15 - Max Peak)

Gutter		Highlighted	
Cross SI, Sx (ft/ft)	= 0.020	Depth (ft)	= 0.49
Cross SI, Sw (ft/ft)	= 0.083	Q (cfs)	= 13.80
Gutter Width (ft)	= 2.00	Area (sqft)	= 3.47
Invert Elev (ft)	= 1.00	Velocity (ft/s)	= 3.97
Slope (%)	= 0.75	Wetted Perim (ft)	= 18.80
N-Value	= 0.013	Crit Depth, Yc (ft)	= 0.58
		Spread Width (ft)	= 18.30
Calculations		EGL (ft)	= 0.74
Compute by:	Known Q		
Known Q (cfs)	= 13.80		



Thursday, Mar 28 2024

102nd Roadway Section - Peak Flow 3.4 CFS (5-Year)

Gutter		Highlighted	
Cross SI, Sx (ft/ft)	= 0.020	Depth (ft)	= 0.35
Cross SI, Sw (ft/ft)	= 0.083	Q (cfs)	= 3.400
Gutter Width (ft)	= 2.00	Area (sqft)	= 1.36
Invert Elev (ft)	= 1.00	Velocity (ft/s)	= 2.50
Slope (%)	= 0.50	Wetted Perim (ft)	= 11.46
N-Value	= 0.013	Crit Depth, Yc (ft)	= 0.38
		Spread Width (ft)	= 11.10
Calculations		EGL (ft)	= 0.45
Compute by:	Known Q		
Known Q (cfs)	= 3.40		



Reach (ft)

Thursday, Mar 28 2024

102nd Roadway Section - Peak Flow 8.4 CFS (100-Year)

Gutter		Highlighted	
Cross SI, Sx (ft/ft)	= 0.020	Depth (ft)	= 0.45
Cross SI, Sw (ft/ft)	= 0.083	Q (cfs)	= 8.400
Gutter Width (ft)	= 2.00	Area (sqft)	= 2.77
Invert Elev (ft)	= 1.00	Velocity (ft/s)	= 3.04
Slope (%)	= 0.50	Wetted Perim (ft)	= 16.71
N-Value	= 0.013	Crit Depth, Yc (ft)	= 0.49
		Spread Width (ft)	= 16.25
Calculations		EGL (ft)	= 0.59
Compute by:	Known Q		
Known Q (cfs)	= 8.40		



City of Commerce City

Storm Drainage Criteria Manual



Figure 8-2. Allowable Inlet Capacity – Type 13 Grated Inlet, Sump Conditions (Note: See Section 8.3.2 for assumptions)







Figure 8-2. Allowable Inlet Capacity – Type 13 Grated Inlet, Sump Conditions (Note: See Section 8.3.2 for assumptions)



Two (2) double Type 13s to be used in series, it is assumed each inlet will receive half of the direction runoff. Total direct runoff = 14.3, each inlet will receive 7.2 cfs.





Figure 8-2. Allowable Inlet Capacity – Type 13 Grated Inlet, Sump Conditions (Note: See Section 8.3.2 for assumptions)







Figure 8-2. Allowable Inlet Capacity – Type 13 Grated Inlet, Sump Conditions (Note: See Section 8.3.2 for assumptions)







Figure 8-2. Allowable Inlet Capacity – Type 13 Grated Inlet, Sump Conditions (Note: See Section 8.3.2 for assumptions)



Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc.

18 Inch RCP Capacity (DP 3)

	Highlighted	
= 1.50	Depth (ft)	= 0.81
	Q (cfs)	= 5.900
	Area (sqft)	= 0.98
= 1.00	Velocity (ft/s)	= 6.03
= 1.00	Wetted Perim (ft)	= 2.48
= 0.013	Crit Depth, Yc (ft)	= 0.94
	Top Width (ft)	= 1.49
	EGL (ft)	= 1.38
Known Q		
= 5.90		
	= 1.50 = 1.00 = 1.00 = 0.013 Known Q = 5.90	= 1.50 $= 1.00$ $= 1.00$ $= 1.00$ $= 0.013$ $= 5.90$ $Highlighted$ $Depth (ft)$ $Q (cfs)$ $Area (sqft)$ $Velocity (ft/s)$ $Wetted Perim (ft)$ $Crit Depth, Yc (ft)$ $Top Width (ft)$ $EGL (ft)$



Reach (ft)

Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc.

24 Inch RCP Capacity DP 8

Circular		Highlighted	
Diameter (ft)	= 2.00	Depth (ft)	= 1.54
		Q (cfs)	= 21.20
		Area (sqft)	= 2.60
Invert Elev (ft)	= 1.00	Velocity (ft/s)	= 8.15
Slope (%)	= 1.00	Wetted Perim (ft)	= 4.29
N-Value	= 0.013	Crit Depth, Yc (ft)	= 1.65
		Top Width (ft)	= 1.68
Calculations		EGL (ft)	= 2.57
Compute by:	Known Q		
Known Q (cfs)	= 21.20		



Reach (ft)

Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc.

30 Inch RCP - DP A

Circular		Highlighted	
Diameter (ft)	= 2.50	Depth (ft)	= 1.78
		Q (cfs)	= 34.90
		Area (sqft)	= 3.74
Invert Elev (ft)	= 1.00	Velocity (ft/s)	= 9.33
Slope (%)	= 1.00	Wetted Perim (ft)	= 5.02
N-Value	= 0.013	Crit Depth, Yc (ft)	= 2.01
		Top Width (ft)	= 2.26
Calculations		EGL (ft)	= 3.13
Compute by:	Known Q		
Known Q (cfs)	= 34.90		





Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc.

18 Inch RCP - DP B

Circular		Highlighted	
Diameter (ft)	= 2.50	Depth (ft)	= 0.94
		Q (cfs)	= 12.30
		Area (sqft)	= 1.70
Invert Elev (ft)	= 1.00	Velocity (ft/s)	= 7.23
Slope (%)	= 1.00	Wetted Perim (ft)	= 3.31
N-Value	= 0.013	Crit Depth, Yc (ft)	= 1.18
		Top Width (ft)	= 2.42
Calculations		EGL (ft)	= 1.75
Compute by:	Known Q		
Known Q (cfs)	= 12.30		





Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc.

Thursday, Mar 28 2024

18 Inch RCP - Outfall

Circular		Highlighted	
Diameter (ft)	= 2.50	Depth (ft)	= 0.53
		Q (cfs)	= 2.800
		Area (sqft)	= 0.77
Invert Elev (ft)	= 1.00	Velocity (ft/s)	= 3.66
Slope (%)	= 0.50	Wetted Perim (ft)	= 2.40
N-Value	= 0.013	Crit Depth, Yc (ft)	= 0.55
		Top Width (ft)	= 2.05
Calculations		EGL (ft)	= 0.74
Compute by:	Known Q		
Known Q (cfs)	= 2.80		



Reach (ft)

APPENDIX E

• DETENTION CALCULATIONS

	S	ite Assessment
	SCM Des	ign, Version 4.00 (April 2024)
Designer:	ACL	
Company:	Proof Civil	
Date:	July 25, 2024	
Broject:	53049 - TTRes	
Location	55045 111(5)	
Location:		
1. Physical	Site Characteristics	
A) Total Si	ite Area	Area = 13.24 acres 576,546 ft^2
B) Describ downst	e any upstream offsite areas that drain onto site and tream conveyance systems or overland flow paths.	
C) Describ or geor	e any floodplain/floodway mapping, fluvial hazard zones, morphic/geotechnical instabilites that may impact the site.	
D) Is the v state fo erosive	watershed anticipated to be in a phased development or a number of years moving forward or are highly soils present? Explain.	NO
E) List any includi	vegetation assessments that have been conducted ing wetland and aquatic resources delineations.	
F) List any endang	assessments of habitat for threatened or pered species and other regulated species.	
G) Describ subsur	e any existing and/or proposed utility mapping for face and/or above-ground utilities that may impact SCMs.	
H) Are the 303(d)	re receiving water quality concerns such as TMDLs, listings, or other pollutant reduction targets? Explain.	NO
I) Describe materia See Cha	e how community values including context, scale, ls, and user experience will be incorporated on site. apter 4 for additional gudance.	
J) Will att be prov	enuation of the EURV and/or flood storage (e.g. FSD) vided onsite?	YES

Site Assessment

SCM Design, Version 4.00 (April 2024)

Designer: Company:	ACL Proof Civil	
Date:	July 25, 2024	
Project:	53049 - TTRes	
Location		
2. Opportun	ities for Step 1: Runoff Reduction	
A) Describ be used	e opportunities for runoff reduction measures that can d on this site to potentially reduce WQCV requirements?	Imperviousness areas will be directed to landscape areas to greatest extent possible.
<u>Conserve E</u> protected i A/B soils w	Existing Amenities: Identify portions of site that should be ncluding mature trees, stream corridors, wetlands, and Type ith high infiltration potential.	
<u>Minimize I</u> widths can impervious patterns ar	<u>mpacts</u> : Creative site layout and constructing to minimum reduce the extent of paved areas. Concentrate new areas over Type C/D soils. Maintain natural drainage nd promote sheet flow.	
<u>Minimize D</u> from imper runoff, pro predevelop	irectly Connected Impervious Areas (MDCIA): Allow runoff vious areas to sheet flow through vegetation which slows motes infiltration, reduces pollutant loads and helps mimic ment hydrology.	
3. Suitabilit	y for Infiltration-Based SCMs	
A) What ar	e the dominant Hydrologic Soil Groups (HSG) for the site?	Type A and B Soils Soils Soils suitable for full infiltration
B) Provide and geo	a description of topsoil texture, agronomic properties, technical soil characterizations.	
5		
C) Identify i) Is sub ii) Is sul	Site Constraints grade depth to bedrock < 3 feet? bgrade depth to seasonal high groundwater table < 3 feet?	NO NO
D) Identify i) Are es ii) Are h iii) Is sit iv) Are s v) Are t	Site Risks kpansive/collapsible soils present? ighly concentrated pollutant sources present (hotspot)? the located above contaminated soils or groundwater? steep slopes present in proposed SCM locations? (> 3H:1V) here other concerns that indicate high risk for infiltration?	NO NO NO NO
E) Describe i) How r ii) Deptl	e Exploratory Borings/Pits and Laboratory Tests (Sec. 4.2) nany borings/pits were drilled/excavated? n of borings/pits below SCM (or proposed grade) surface?	$N_{\text{Borings/Pits}} = \frac{15}{D_{\text{Borings/Pits}}} = \frac{25.00}{\text{ft}}$
iii) Desc	ribe laboratory tests performed on soil samples:	
F) Prelimin	ary Infiltration System Recommendation	Full Infiltration Suitable Soils and Low Risk, must verify adequate subgrade infiltration rates.
This is a pl geotechnic	reliminary recommendation. Consult with a qualified ral engineer when planning an infiltration-based SCM.	

Site Layout									
SCM Design, Version 4.00 (April 2	2024)								
Designer: ACL									
Company: Proof Civil									
Date: July 25, 2024									
Project: 23049 - TTRes Ch	ambers								
Location: Commerce City									
SITE LAYOUT INFO	(User Input	t in Blue Cell	s)						
Water Quality Event (WQE)	0.60	inches							
	500				1				
Outrall ID	EDB								
Iotal Iributary Area (ft ⁻)	5/6,546								
MC4 Design Standard	70.0%		<u> </u>						<u> </u>
MS4 Design Standard			<u> </u>						<u> </u>
Notes:	LDD		<u> </u>						<u>. </u>
Notes.									
-									
-									
-									
OUTFALL RESULTS									
SCM Worksheet Name	EDB_EDB							[
Untreated Area (ft ³)	0								
Default WQCV (ft ³)	14,650								
WQCV Reduction (ft ³)	1638								
Remaining WQCV (ft ³)	13,012								
WQCV Reduction (%)	11%								
Design WQCV of SCM (ft ³)	15,115								
Pollutant Removal (ft ³)	0								
Untreated WQCV (ft ³)	0								
TOTAL SITE RESULTS	(Sums resu	Its from all (Jutfalls)	7					
Total Site Area	576,546	ft ²	13.24	acres					
Treated Area	576,546	ft ²	13.24	acres					
Untreated Area	0	ft ²	0.00	acres					
Total Site Imperviousness	76.0%	%		-					
Default WQCV	14,650	ft	0.336	acre-feet					
Remaining WQCV	13,012	ft	0.299	acre-feet					
WQCV Reduction	11%	%							
Design WQCV	15,115	ft	0.347	acre-feet					
Untreated WQCV	0	ft'	0.000	acre-feet					

	Extended	Detenti	on Basi	n (EDB)						
	SCM D	esign, Versio	on 4.00 (Apri	il 2024)						
Designer:										
Compony	Proof Civil									
Company:										
Date:	July 25, 2024									
Project:	23049 - TTRes Chambers									
Location:	Commerce City									
Outfall ID:	EDB									
1. Inlet and	Forebay					Define inflo	w points for	all areas tri	ibutany to	
A) Is RPA	(GB/GS) used for Runoff Reduction upstream of SCM?	flows Works	boot Namo:	YES	Inflows	the SCM on	the paired F	RPA worksh	eet.	
B) Inflow	Points contributing to SCM (may 9)	IOWS WOIKS	neel Name.	CDB_CDB_	IIIIOWS					
b) Innow P	Inflow Design Deint ID	DDA1	DDA0	DDA2	DDA/	DDAE	PDA6	DDA7	omaining Sito	
	Tributany Area to Inflow Design Point (#2)	12 220	12 F20	10.407	0 2C2	12 000	10 200	14 FOC		
	Tributary Area to Innow Point (It.)	13,229	12,538	10,497	8,263	13,988	10,308	14,596	493,127	
	Imperviousness above Inflow Point (%)	64.8%	51.7%	69.0%	67.2%	55.1%	68.4%	44.5%	100.0%	
		280	220	237	181	257	230	233	20,547	
	WQCV Reduction above Inflow Point (ft ²)	280	220	237	181	257	230	233	0	
	Remaining WQCV at Inflow Point (ft ²)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20,547	
W	(ill pretreatment be provided with a Sedimentation MTD (HDS)	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	
	Paired Pretreatment HDS Worksheet Name									
	Sheet or Concentrated Flow	Sheet	Sheet	Sheet	Sheet	Sheet	Sheet	Sheet	Conc	
C) Sheet F	low									
	Select sheet flow inflow feature	Other	Other	Other	Other	Other	Other	Other		
	Is Concrete Edger used?									
	Spacing between slots, recommend \leq 2 ft on center (ft)									
	Slot Opening Length, recommend 1.5 (in)									
	Select type of blind swale used to distribute flow									
	Select energy dissipation method for level spreader									
	Height of drop, recommend 2 to 3 (in)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
	Is concrete mowing strip provided to facilitate maintenance?	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO		
							-			
	·									
	·									
D) Concert	trated Flow									
D) Concern	Coloct concentrated flow inflow feature						ر ا		Dino	
	Select concentrated now innow reactive								Pipe	
Denth of	Is downspoul extension needed to bridge backfill zone?								+	
Depth of g	jutter flow line depression for curb opening, recommend 3 (in)									
	Curb opening inlet width (ft)									
	Height of drop to sediment pad/forebay, recommend ≥ 1 (in)									
Select ene	ergy dissipation method for downspouts and/or curb openings.									
Select ener	gy dissipation method for swales, channels, and piped outfalls								Riprap	
v) Foreb	<u>pay</u>									
	Impervious area tributary to concentrated inflow location (ft ²)								493,127	
Forebay ⁻	Type (Concrete Sediment Pad sufficient for Imp Area ≤ 2 acre)								Forebay	
/	Minimum Forebay Volume (ft ³)								205	
	Design Forebay Volume (ft ³)								1,512	
	Maximum Forehav Denth (in)								24.00	
	Design Forebay Depth (in)								12.00	
Rod	tangular Weir Notch Width to Empty Forebay in 5-minutes (in)								6 32	
Neu	Decian Notch Width to Empty Forebay in 5-millutes (III)								2.00	
	Ecrobal Drain Time (minutes)							-	15.9	
	Forebay Drain Time (minutes)								12.0	

	Extended	Detention Basin (EDB)
Designer: Company: Date: Project: Location: Outfall ID:	SCM E ACL Proof Civil July 25, 2024 23049 - TTRes Chambers Commerce City EDB	Design, Version 4.00 (April 2024)
2. Design Storage Volume		Inflow Points above should be fully defined before proceeding below
A) Contributing Watershed Area (including EDB area)		Area =576,546ft²For area < 20 impervious acres, considerArea =13.24acfiltration/infiltration SCMs to avoid smallorifices prone to clogging.
B) Imperviousness of Tributary Area		i = <u>76.0%</u> %
C) Default WQCV		$V_{WQCV Default} = 14,650 \text{ ft}^3$
D) WQCV Reduction resulting from Upstream RPA (GB/GS)		WQCV Reduction = $1,638$ ft ³
E) Remaining WQCV		$V_{WQCV Remaining} = 13,012 \text{ ft}^3$
F) Design WQCV (based on actual design geometry)		V _{WQCV Design} = 15,115 ft ³
G) Describe additional storage volume provided (e.g. EURV/100yr) Describe why EDB was selected over other SCMs based on Table EDB-3 considerations related to contributing impervious area.		
3. EDB Shap	e	
A) Basin L (measurec B) Discuss	ength-to-Width Ratio d along the low flow channel from inlet to outlet) s how the design considered community values	R _{L/W} = 1.5 L/W Ratio > 2 increases residence time
 4. Side Slop A) Max. Si (Use "(nes ide Slope (Z = 4:1 or flatter, horiz. dist per unit vertical) 0" if EDB has vertical walls)	When designing basin slopes, consider requirements for access and vegetation management. Z =ft / ft
5. Low Flow	v Channels and Basin Bottom Grading	
A) Type of	f low flow channel	Concrete Pan
B) Depth o	of low flow channel (recommend 18")	D _{LFC} = 6.00 in Recommend > 18 inches
C) Depth o	of concrete curb (recommend 6")	D _{Curb} = 6.00 in
D) Side Sl	opes of low flow channel (Z = 2 min.)	$Z_{LFC} = 2.00$ ft / ft
E) Bottom	width of low flow channel (as needed for equipment)	Bottom Width $_{LFC} = 2.00$ ft
F) Longitu	dinal Slope (recommend 0.004 to 0.01 ft/ft for concrete)	Slope $_{LFC} = 0.004$ ft / ft

G) Typical Bottom Slope toward low flow channel (min. 0.02 ft/ft)H) Describe any non-typical low flow channel features (if applicable)

Slope _{Basin Bottom} = 0.020 ft / ft
Extended Detention Basin (EDB)

	SUM D	esign, version 4.00 (April 2024)
Designer:	ACL	
Company:	Proof Civil	
Date:	July 25, 2024	
Project:	23049 - TTRes Chambers	
Location:	Commerce City	
Outfall ID:	EDB	
6. Initial Su	rcharge Volume	
A) Initial S	urcharge Depth (recommend 4 inches minimum)	ISD = 4.00 in
7. Outlet St	ructure	
A) Micropo	юІ Туре	External
B) Depth o	of Micropool (recommend 2.5 feet minimum)	$D_{\rm MP} = 2.50 \text{ft}$
C) Surface	Area of Micropool (recommend 15 square feet minimum)	$A_{MP} = 15.0 \text{ ft}^2$
D) Describ	e micropool configuration	
E) Minimu on 40-h	m dimension of opening in water quality orifice plate based our drain time and hydrograph routing in MHFD-Detention.	Orifice D _{Min} = 0.20 in Well Screen necessary to protect small orifice opening
F) Describe	e orifice plate configuration	
G) Trash R	Rack Type	Well Screen
H) Trash R	Rack Configuration	Vertical
I) Describe (EURV,	e Outlet Structure(s) for events larger than WQCV. full-spectrum detention, safety grating, etc.)	
8. Emergenc	y Spillway and Overflow Embankment	
A) Describ	e spillway configuration, spillway capacity, and	
embank	ment protection.	
	•	
9. Vegetatio	n	
A) Has a v	egetation management plan been developed?	N/A Explain why not below
B) Has a la	andscape management plan been developed?	N/A Explain why not below
C) Describ	e vegetation/landscaping considerations:	
e.a. w	retland, wetland fringe, riparian, upland. trees)	
- Include	drought tolerant native plants?	
- Conside	er soil assessment, preparation, and erosion mitigation?	
- Include	plants that enhance within context of the site?	
- Address	s alternative hydraulic regimes?	
- Conside	er required maintenance activities and intervals?	
- Conside	er irrigation head placement?	
Conside		

Extended Detention Basin (EDB)

SCM Design, Version 4.00 (April 2024)

Designer:	ACL		_					
Company:	Proof Civil		_					
Date:	July 25, 2024							
Project:	23049 - TTRes Chambers							
Location:	n: Commerce City							
Outfall ID:	EDB							
 10. Mainter A) Describ to and minimu maximu maximu cross-s stabilizi access 	hance Access be maintenance access into forebay(s) and area adjacent within outlet structure: Im access path width of 10 feet Ium 10% grade for haul road surface Ium 20% grade for skid-loader and backhoe access lope of 2% for access path ed access materials (concrete, block, grid, reinforced turf) stairs inside outlet structure							
Notes	S:							
			<u> </u>					

SCM Inflows from Upstream Receiving Pervious Areas (Including Grass Swales and Buffers)

	SCM Design, Version 4.00 (April 2024)
Designer:	ACL
Company:	Proof Civil
Date:	July 25, 2024
Project:	23049 - TTRes Chambers
Location:	Commerce City
Outfall ID:	EDB_EDB

1. Apply Four-Cover Land Use Mode	a to bite Euj	out														
Design Point ID EDB_EDB	UIA1	RPA1	UIA2	RPA2	UIA3	RPA3	UIA4	RPA4	UIA5	RPA5	UIA6	RPA6	UIA7	RPA7	Remaining Sit	2
Area Type EDB	UIA	RPA_Buffer	UIA	RPA_Buffer	UIA	RPA_Buffer	UIA	RPA_Buffer	UIA	RPA_Buffer	UIA	RPA_Buffer	UIA	RPA_Buffer	DCIA	
nstream Design Point ID	RPA1	EDB_EDB	RPA2	EDR_EDR	RPA3	EDR_EDR	RPA4	EDR_EDR	RPA5	EDR_EDR	RPA6	EDB_EDB	RPA/	EDB_EDB	403 127	
UTA (ft ²)	8,579		6.485		7.243		5,556		7.712		7.048		6.495			
RPA (ft ²)		4,650		6,053		3,254		2,707		6,276		3,260		8,101		
SPA (ft ²)																
Protect the RPA from Traffic																
RPA Protection Type		None		None		None		None		None		None		None		
Characterize On-site Topsoil and	Determine S	Suitability fo	r the RPA	100 001		100 001		100 001		100.001		100.001		100.001	1	
HSG A (%)		100.0%		100.0%		100.0%		100.0%		100.0%		100.0%		100.0%		
HSG B (%)		0.0%		0.0%		0.0%		0.0%		0.0%		0.0%		0.0%		
HSG C/D (%)		0.0%		0.0%		0.0%		0.0%		0.0%		0.0%		0.0%		
Select Appropriate Vegetation																
RPA Vegetation Type		Sod		Sod		Sod		Sod		Sod		Sod		Sod		
frrigation Type		Permanent		Permanent		Permanent		Permanent		Permanent		Permanent		Permanent		
Notes:																
												•				
RASS BUFFER ADDITIONAL DESIG	ond Interface	e Width														
RASS BUFFER ADDITIONAL DESIG	Ind Interface	e Width Other	-	Other		Other		Other		Other		Other		Other		
ADSS BUFFER ADDITIONAL DESIGN Define the UIA:RPA pair, Ratio, a neet Flow Inflow Feature Is Concrete Edger used?	Interface	e Width Other 	-	Other 	-	Other 		Other 		Other 		Other 		Other		
RASS BUFFER ADDITIONAL DESIG Define the UIA:RPA pair, Ratio, z meet Flow Inflow Feature Is Concrete Edger used? pacing between slots (ft) Stot Opening Length (in) 	Ind Interface	e Width Other 		Other 		Other 		Other 		Other 		Other 		Other 		
RASS BUFFER ADDITIONAL DESIG Define the UIA:RPA pair, Ratio, a heet Flow Inflow Feature	Ind Interface	e Width Other 		Other 		Other 		Other 		Other 		Other 		Other 		
RASS BUFFER ADDITIONAL DESIG Define the UIA:RPA pair, Ratio, z heet Flow Inflow Feature is Concrete Edger used? pacing between slots (1) Slot Opening Length (in) Billind Swale Type eader Energy Dissipation	and Interface	e Width Other 		Other 		Other 		Other 		Other 		Other 		Other 		
RASS BUFFER ADDITIONAL DESIG . Define the UIA:RPA pair, Ratio, z heet Fiou Inflow Feature	Interface	e Width Other 13,229		Other 12,538		Other 10,497		Other 8,263		Other 13,988		Other 10,308		Other 14,596		
RASS BUFFER ADDITIONAL DESIG Define the UIA:RPA pair, Ratio, a heet Flow Inflow Feature is Concrete Edger used? acing between slots (ft) Bind Swale Type Bind Swale Type eader Energy Dissipation UIA:RPA Ratio UIA:RPA Ratio	and Interface	e Width Other 13,229 1.8		Other 12,538 1.1		Other 10,497 2.2		Other 8,263 2.1		Other 13,988 1.2		Other 10,308 2.2		Other 14,596 0.8		
RASS BUFFER ADDITIONAL DESIG Define the UIA:RPA pair, Ratio, z heet Flow Inflow Feature — pacing between slots (ft) — Slot Opening Length (in) — Blind Swale Type — Blind Swale Type — Blind Swale Type — UIA:RPA (ft ²) — UIA:RPA Ratio —	and Interface	e Width Other 13,229 1.8 275 0.17		Other 12,538 1.1 209 0.39		Other 10,497 2.2 235 0.19		Other 8,263 2.1 183 0.25		Other 13,988 1.2 235 0.25		Other 10,308 2.2 235 0.19		Other 14,596 0.8 209 0.33		
RASS BUFFER ADDITIONAL DESIC Define the UIA:RPA pair, Ratio, a next Flow Inflow Feature	and Interface	e Width Other 13,229 1.8 275 0.17		Other 12,538 1.1 209 0.29		Other 10,497 2.2 235 0.19		Other 8,263 2.1 183 0.25		Other 13,988 1.2 235 0.25		Other 10,308 2.2 235 0.19		Other 14,596 0.8 209 0.33		
RASS BUFFER ADDITIONAL DESIG Define the UIA:RPA pair, Ratio, a neet Flow Inflow Feature - 	and Interface	e Width Other 13,229 1.8 275 0.17		Other 12,538 1.1 209 0.29		Other 10,497 2.2 235 0.19		Other 8,263 2.1 183 0.25		Other 13,988 1.2 235 0.25		Other 10,308 2.2 235 0.19		Other 14,596 0.8 209 0.33		
RASS BUFFER ADDITIONAL DESIC . Define the UIA:RPA pair, Ratio, z heet filow Inflow Feature	Interface	e Width Other 13,229 1.8 2275 0.17		Other 12,538 1.1 209 0.29 29		Other 10,497 2.2 235 0.19	•• •• •• •• •• ••	Other 8,263 2.1 183 0.25		Other 13,988 1.2 235 0.25		Other 10,308 2.2 235 0.19	** ** ** ** ** **	Other 14,596 0.8 209 0.33 39		
RASS BUFFER ADDITIONAL DESIC Define the UIA:RPA pair, Ratio, z het Flow Inflow Feature pacing between slots (n) pacing between slots (n) pacing between slots (n) bild Swale Type Bind Swale Type ease Theory Dissipation uIA:RPA (n) uIA:RPA (n) uIA:RPA Ratio uIA:RPA Rati uIA:RPA Ratio uIA:RPA Ra	SN PROCEDI and Interface 	e Width Other 13,229 1.8 275 0.17 17		Other 12,538 1.1 0.29		Other		Other 8,263 2.1 183 0.25 15	44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44	Other 13,988 1.2 235 0.25 27	** ** ** ** ** ** **	Other 10,308 2.2 2.2 5 0.19 14	•• •• •• •• •• •• •• •• ••	Other 14,596 0.8 209 0.33		
RASS BUFFER ADDITIONAL DESIG Define the UIA:RPA pair, Ratio, z heet Flow Inflow Feature 	SN PROCEDI	e Width Other 1.8 275 0.17 1.7 0.250 17		Other -		Other 10,497 2.2 235 0.19 14 -		Other -		Other 13,988 1.2 235 0.25 27 27 0.250 17		Other 10,308 2.2 235 0.19 14 14 0.250 17		Other 14,596 0.8 209 0.33 39 0.250 17		
RASS BUFFER ADDITIONAL DESI Define the UIA:RPA pair, Ratio, a text Flow Inflow Feature 	Interface	e Width Other 1.8 275 0.17 17 0.250 17 1		Other 12,538 1.1 209 0.29 29 0.250 17 2		Other -		Other -		Other 13,988 1.2 0.25 0.25 0.25 17 2		Other -		Other -	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	
RASS BUFFER ADDITIONAL DESIC Define the UIA:RPA pair, Ratio, z heet. Flow Inflow Feature	Interface	e width Other -		Other 12,538 1.1 1.09 009 0.29 0.29 29 0.250 17 2		Other 10,497 2,2 235 0.19 14 0.250 17 1 1		Other 8,263 2,1 183 0.25 15 0.250 17 1		Other 13,988 1.2 235 0.255 27 0.250 17 2		Other 10,308 2,2 235 0.19 14 0.250 17 1		Other 14,596 0.8 209 0.33 39 0.250 17 3	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	
RASS BUFFER ADDITIONAL DESI Define the UIA:RPA pair, Ratio, z heet Flow Inflow Feature pacing between slots (ft) Bio Opening Length (in) Bind Swale Type Bind Swale Type Bind Swale Type Bind Swale Type Bind Swale Type 	Interface	e Width Other 		Other -		Other -		Other -		Other -		Other 10,308 2.2 235 0.19 14 0.250 17 1 0.00		Other 14,596 0.8 209 0.33 39 0.250 17 3 0.00	*** *** *** *** *** *** *** ***	
RASS BUFFER ADDITIONAL DESI . Define the UIA:RPA pair, Ratio, z heat Flow Inflow Feature 		e width Other 		Other -		Other -		Other 8,263 2.1 183 0.25 15 0.250 17 1 0.000 NO		Other -		Other -		Other -		
RASS BUFFER ADDITIONAL DESIG Define the UIA:RPA pair, Ratio, z heet Flow Inflow Feature 		e width Other 13,229 1.8 225 0.17 17 17 0.250 17 1 1 0.250 17 1 0.00 NO		Other -		Other -		Other 0.250 17 1 0.00 NO		Other -		Other -		Other -		
RASS BUFFER ADDITIONAL DESIC Define the UIA:RPA pair, Ratio, s heef Row Inflow Feature	AN PROCEDI 	e Width Other 		Other -		Other 10,497 2.2 235 0.19 14 0.250 17 1 0.000 NO 69.0% 69.0%		Other 0.250 17 1 0.00 NO 67.2%		Other		Other -		Other 14,596 0.8 209 0.33 39 0.250 17 3 0.00 NO 44.5%		
RASS BUFFER ADDITIONAL DESI Define the UIA:RPA pair, Ratio, z hest Flow Inflow Feature pacing between slots (ft) Billind Swale Type eader Energy Dissipation tal Area of UIA:RPA (Rt) 	AN PROCEDI	e Width Other 		Other		Other		Other 0.250 17 1 0.00 NO 67.2% 0.00		Other		Other		Other		
RASS BUFFER ADDITIONAL DESI L. Define the UIA:RPA pair, Ratio, z heet Flow Inflow Feature 	AN PROCEDI and Interface 	e Width Other -		Other -		Other 		Other 0.25 0.250 17 1 0.00 NO 67.2% 0.00 0		Other 13,968 1.2 25 0.25 27 0.250 17 2 0.000 NO 55.1% 0.000		Other		Other 14,596 0.8 209 0.33 39 0.250 17 3 0.000 NO 444.5% 0.00 0		
RASS BUFFER ADDITIONAL DESIC Lofine the UIA:RPA pair, Ratio, a heet Flow Inflow Feature	AN PROCEDI and Interface 	e Width Other 		Other 12,538 1.1 12,000 0.29 0.29 0.29 0.29 0.29 0.250 17 2 0.00 NO NO		Other -		Other 8,263 2.1 18 0.25 15 0.250 17 1 0.00 NO 67,2% 0.00 0 0		Other ··· 1.2 3.988 1.2 0.25 27 0.250 17 2 0.250 17 0.000 NO 55,1% 0.00 0 0		Other 10,308 2.2 2.5 0.19 14 0.250 17 1 0.000 NO 68,4% 0.00 0 0		Other 14,596 0.8 209 0.33 39 0.250 17 3 0.250 17 0.00 NO 44.5% 0.00		
SRASS BUFFER ADDITIONAL DESIL Lo Define the UIA:RPA pair, Ratio, z ibeet Flow Inflow Feature Is Concrete Edger used?	AN PROCEDI and Interface 	e Width Other -		Other		Other		Other 8,263 2.1 183 0.25 15 0.250 17 1 0.250 17 1 0.00 NO 67.2% 0.00 0 232		Other 0.250 17 2 0.00 NO 55.1% 0.00 0 321		Other -		Other		
RASS BUFFER ADDITIONAL DESIG Lo Define the UIA:RPA pair, Ratio, z hinest Flow Inflow Feature is Concrete Edger used? pacing between slots (ft) Blind Swale Type Blind Swale Type Blind Swale Type IL:ARPA Ratio UIA:RPA Ratio	and Interface 	e Width Other 		Other 0.250 17 2 0.00 0 270 270 270 270		Other -		Other 8,263 2.1 13 0.25 15 0.250 17 1 0.00 NO 67.2% 0.00 0 0		Other ··· 1.2 0.25 0.25 0.250 17 2 0.000 NO 55.1% 0.00 0.00 0		Other 10,308 2.2 255 0.19 14 0.250 17 1 0.00 NO 68.4% 0.00 0 0 100.0% 0		Other 14,596 0.8 209 0.33 39 39 0.250 17 3 3 0.00 NO NO 0 2211 271 271 271 271 200		
RASS BUFFER ADDITIONAL DESI I. Define the UIA:RPA pair, Ratio, a heet Row Inflow Feature pacing between slots (ft) 	and Interface 	e Width Other 		Other 0.250 17 2 0.00 NO 51.7% 0.00 0 270 270 100.0%		Other 10,497 2.2 230 19 14 0.250 17 1 0.000 NO 69.0% 0.00 0 0 302 302 100.0% NO		Other 0.250 17 1 1 0.00 NO 67.2% 0.00 0 0 232 232 100.0%		Other 1.2 235 0.25 27 0.250 17 2 2 0.000 NO 55.1% 0.00 0 321 321 100.0%		Other		Other 0.250 17 3 3 0.00 NO 44.5% 0.00 0 271 271 100.0%		

GRASS SWALE ADDITIONAL DESIGN PROCEDURE AND CRITERIA (User Input in Blue Cells)																	
1. Delineate Areas Trib	utary to Swa	le															
Total Tributary Area (ft ²)								-							-		
Imperviousness (%)																	
2 Suralo Taflouro																	
Concentrated Flow Type																	
Blind Swale Type																	
Spreader Energy Dissipation																	
Vertical Drop (in)																	
Gutter Depression (In)																	
Concrete Sediment Pad																	
Min, Forebay Volume (ft ³)																	
Design Forebay Volume (ft3)																	
Max. Forebay Depth (in)																	
Design Forebay Depth (in)																	
Design Notch Width (in)																	
Drain Time (minutes)																	
Energy Dissipation Type																	
3. Swale Cross Section			-			1						1	1	1	1		
Length or Swale (ft) Bottom Width (ft)																	
Bottom Area (ft ²)																	
Side Slopes (horiz/vert)																	
				-		_		-		_		-	_	-			
4. Longitudinal Slope	_																
Available Slope (ft/ft) Design Slope (ft/ft)																	
Total Drop Height (ft)																	
Underdrains Provided?																	
5. Calculate Runoff from	n Tributary /	Area	1														
Tributary Runoff (ft ²)																	
Reduced Trib. Runoff (ft ⁻)																	
6. Calculate Runoff Red	luction throu	igh Swale B	ottom														
Volume Infiltrated (ft ³)																	
Swale Discharge (ft ³)																	
Runoff Reduction (%)																	
7 Design Discharge																	
2-vear Discharge, O2 (cfs)																	
,																	
8. Design Velocity																	
Vegetal Retardance Curve																	
velocity, vz (ips)																	
9. Design Flow Depth																	
Flow Depth, D2 (ft)																	
Flow Area, A (ft ²)																	
Wetted Perimeter, P (ft)																	
Hydraulic Radius, Rh (ft)																	
VR Product (ft ² /sec)																	
Manning's n value																	
Hydraulic Depth, Dh (ft)																	
Froude Number																	
10 Swale Outflowe																	
Outflows Considered?																	
Notes:													-				
													-				
													-				
													-				
DESIGN POINT RESULT	(Sums resul	ts for curre	nt column a	nd all upstre	am design	point column	s.)	1174.4	0044	LITAT	DDAF	10747	DDAC	10747	0047	annalala a cui	
Design Point ID	576 546	01A1 9.570	13 220	01A2	12 53P	UIA3	10.407	UIA4 5 556	R 263	UIA5	13 089	UIA6 7.049	10 309	01A/ 6.495	14 59F	403 127	
Timperviousness (%)	370,340	100.0%	64.8%	100.0%	51.7%	100.0%	69.0%	100.0%	67.2%	100.0%	55.1%	100.0%	68.4%	100.0%	44.5%	100.0%	
Tributary Runoff (ft ³)	22,594	357	357	270	270	302	302	232	232	321	321	294	294	271	271	20,547	
Runoff Reduction (ft ³)	2.047	0	357	0	270	0	302	0	232	0	321	0	294	0	271	0	
Runoff Remaining (ft ³)	20,547	357	0	270	0	302	0	232	0	321	0	294	0	271	0	20,547	

DETENTION BASIN STAGE-STORAGE TABLE BUILDER

PERM

ORTFICE ZONE 1 AND 2 OFFICE OFFICE Example Zone Configuration (Retention Pond) Watershed Information Selected BMP Type = EDB Watershed Area = 13.27 acres

ft	1,350	Watershed Length =
ft	675	Watershed Length to Centroid =
ft/ft	0.020	Watershed Slope =
percent	76.00%	Watershed Imperviousness =
percent	100.0%	Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group A =
percent	0.0%	Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group B =
percent	0.0%	Percentage Hydrologic Soil Groups C/D =
hours	40.0	Target WQCV Drain Time =
-	User Input	Location for 1-hr Rainfall Depths =

After providing required inputs above including 1-hour rainfall depths, click 'Run CUHP' to generate runoff hydrographs using the embedded Colorado Urban Hydrograph Procedure.

the embedded Colorado Urban Hydro	ure.	Optional Use	r Overri	
Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) =	0.337	acre-feet		acre-fe
Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) =	1.307	acre-feet		acre-fe
2-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 0.84 in.) =	0.636	acre-feet	0.84	inches
5-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.12 in.) =	0.873	acre-feet	1.12	inches
10-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.37 in.) =	1.098	acre-feet	1.37	inches
25-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.69 in.) =	1.403	acre-feet		inches
50-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.08 in.) =	1.802	acre-feet	2.08	inches
100-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.43 in.) =	2.197	acre-feet	2.43	inches
500-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 3.35 in.) =	3.221	acre-feet	3.35	inches
Approximate 2-yr Detention Volume =	0.605	acre-feet		
Approximate 5-yr Detention Volume =	0.833	acre-feet		
Approximate 10-yr Detention Volume =	1.045	acre-feet		
Approximate 25-yr Detention Volume =	1.344	acre-feet		
Approximate 50-yr Detention Volume =	1.609	acre-feet		
Approximate 100-yr Detention Volume =	1.816	acre-feet		

Define Zones and Basin Geometry

Zone 1 Volume (WQCV) =	0.337	acre-feet
Zone 2 Volume (EURV - Zone 1) =	0.970	acre-feet
Zone 3 Volume (100-year - Zones 1 & 2) =	0.509	acre-feet
Total Detention Basin Volume =	1.816	acre-feet
Initial Surcharge Volume (ISV) =	user	ft ³
Initial Surcharge Depth (ISD) =	user	ft
Total Available Detention Depth (H _{total}) =	user	ft
Depth of Trickle Channel $(H_{TC}) =$	user	ft
Slope of Trickle Channel (S _{TC}) =	user	ft/ft
Slopes of Main Basin Sides (S _{main}) =	user	H:V
Basin Length-to-Width Ratio (R _{L/W}) =	user	

Initial Surcharge Area $(A_{ISV}) =$ user Surcharge Volume Length $(L_{ISV}) =$ user Surcharge Volume Width (W_{ISV}) = user Depth of Basin Floor $(H_{FLOOR}) =$ user Length of Basin Floor $(L_{FLOOR}) =$ user Width of Basin Floor (W_{FLOOR}) = user Area of Basin Floor (N_{FLOOR}) = Volume of Basin Floor (V_{FLOOR}) = user user Depth of Main Basin (H_{MAIN}) = user Length of Main Basin (L_{MAIN}) = user Width of Main Basin (W_{MAIN}) = user Area of Main Basin (A_{MAIN}) = user Volume of Main Basin (V_{MAIN}) = user Calculated Total Basin Volume (V_{total}) = user acre-feet

ft

	Depth Increment =		ft							
Dand)	Stage - Storage	Stage	Optional	Length	Width	Area	Override	Area	Volume	Volume
(Polia)	Description	(ft)	Stage (ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft ²)	Area (ft ²)	(acre)	(ft ³)	(ac-ft)
	Top of Micropool		0.00	-			0	0.000		
			0.50	_			3	0.000	1	0.000
	-		0.50	-		-	3	0.000	1	0.000
			1.50	-			3,897	0.089	1,950	0.045
			2.50				4,902	0.113	6,349	0.146
			3.50				5,894	0.135	11,747	0.270
			4.50				6,940	0.159	18,164	0.417
			5.50	-			8,038	0.185	25,653	0.589
			6.50	-		-	9,212	0.211	34,278	0.787
			7.50	-			10,430	0.239	44,099	1.012
			8.50	-			11,755	0.270	55,192	1.267
			9.50				13,127	0.301	67.632	1.553
			10.50				15.007	0.345	81.699	1.876
							,		,	
				_		-				
ational User Oracidae										
ptional User Overnues	-			-		-				
acre-leet	-			-		-				
acre-reet				-		-				
0.84 inches	-			-		-				
1.12 inches				-						
1.37 inches				-		-				
inches				-						
2.08 inches				-		-				
2.43 inches				-		-				
3.35 inches				-		-				
				-		-				
				-		-				
				-						
				-						
				-		-				
				-		-				
				-		-				
				-		-				
				-		-				
	-			-						
				-		-				
				-		-				
				-		-				
				-		-				
				-		-				
	-			-						
				-						
	-			-		-				
				-						
				-						
	-									
	-									
				-		-				
	-			-						
				-		-				
	-			-						
				-		-				
				-		-				
				-		-				
				-						
				-		-				
				-		-				
				-		-				
				-						
				-		-				
				-		-				
				-						
				-		-				
				-						
	-			-						
				-		-				L
				-		-				
				-		-				
				-						
	-			-						
				-		-				
								-		
				-		-		-		
				-		-				
				-		-				
				-						

DETENTION BASIN STAGE-STORAGE TABLE BUILDER

MHFD-Detention, Version 4.06 (July 2022)







DETENTION BASIN OUTLET STRUCTURE DESIGN Outflow Hydrograph Workbook Filename:

Inflow Hydrographs

	The user can o	verride the calcu	lated inflow hyd	drographs from	this workbook v	vith inflow hydro	graphs develop	ed in a separate j	orogram.	
	SOURCE	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP
Time Interval	TIME	WQCV [cfs]	EURV [cfs]	2 Year [cfs]	5 Year [cfs]	10 Year [cfs]	25 Year [cfs]	50 Year [cfs]	100 Year [cfs]	500 Year [cfs]
E 00 min	0.00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
3.00 11111	0.05.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0.05.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0.10.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.86
	0.15.00	0.00	0.00	2.53	1.54 E.61	2.2/	1.05	2.62	2.75	4.63
	0.20.00	0.00	0.00	9.46	11.64	14.66	10.20	12.05	14.67	21.60
	0.23.00	0.00	0.00	0.40	13.04	16.18	10.29	25.05	30.66	45 56
	0.35.00	0.00	0.00	8.92	11.74	14.45	21.64	28.40	35.50	52.20
	0:40:00	0.00	0.00	7.91	10.24	12.55	20.31	26.54	33.18	48.70
	0:45:00	0.00	0.00	6.72	8.87	10.94	17.73	23.08	29.59	43.56
	0:50:00	0.00	0.00	5.70	7.73	9.39	15.76	20.44	26.02	38.37
	0:55:00	0.00	0.00	4.94	6.71	8.21	13.34	17.21	22.33	32.86
	1:00:00	0.00	0.00	4.46	6.02	7.45	11.45	14.66	19.45	28.62
	1:05:00	0.00	0.00	4.07	5.48	6.84	10.17	12.94	17.55	25.85
	1:10:00	0.00	0.00	3.49	4.97	6.24	8.78	11.12	14.64	21.45
	1:15:00	0.00	0.00	2.95	4.32	5.65	7.55	9.50	12.07	17.59
	1:20:00	0.00	0.00	2.49	3.68	4.90	6.21	7.78	9.44	13.66
	1:25:00	0.00	0.00	2.17	3.24	4.17	5.12	6.37	7.26	10.42
	1:30:00	0.00	0.00	2.01	3.01	3.73	4.18	5.17	5.68	8.11
	1:35:00	0.00	0.00	1.92	2.88	3.45	3.61	4.45	4.75	6.74
	1:40:00	0.00	0.00	1.87	2.59	3.24	3.24	3.99	4.18	5.89
	1:45:00	0.00	0.00	1.84	2.37	3.10	3.00	3.69	3.79	5.30
	1:50:00	0.00	0.00	1.82	2.20	3.00	2.83	3.48	3.52	4.89
	1:55:00	0.00	0.00	1.59	2.08	2.85	2.71	3.34	3.33	4.60
	2:00:00	0.00	0.00	1.40	1.93	2.59	2.63	3.24	3.19	4.41
	2:05:00	0.00	0.00	1.05	1.45	1.94	1.98	2.43	2.39	3.29
	2:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.77	1.05	1.41	1.44	1.77	1.74	2.39
	2:15:00	0.00	0.00	0.56	0.77	1.02	1.05	1.29	1.27	1.75
	2:20:00	0.00	0.00	0.40	0.55	0.74	0.76	0.93	0.93	1.27
	2:25:00	0.00	0.00	0.28	0.38	0.52	0.54	0.66	0.65	0.90
	2:30:00	0.00	0.00	0.19	0.26	0.36	0.38	0.46	0.46	0.63
	2:35:00	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.18	0.25	0.26	0.32	0.32	0.44
	2:40:00	0.00	0.00	0.08	0.11	0.16	0.17	0.21	0.21	0.28
	2:45:00	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.06	0.09	0.10	0.12	0.12	0.16
	2:50:00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.07
	2:55:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02
	3:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3.10.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3.15.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3.10.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3.25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:30:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:35:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:40:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:45:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:50:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:55:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:05:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:15:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:25:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:30:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:35:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:40:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:45:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:55:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:05:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:15:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:20:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:25:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:30:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:40:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:45:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:50:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:55:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0.00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

DETENTION BASIN OUTLET STRUCTURE DESIGN

MHFD-Detention, Version 4.06 (July 2022)

Summary Stage-Area-Volume-Discharge Relationships The user can create a summary S-A-V-D by entering the desired stage increments and the remainder of the table will populate automatically. The user should graphically compare the summary S-A-V-D table to the full S-A-V-D table in the chart to confirm it captures all key transition points.

Stage - Storage	Stage	Area	Area	Volume	Volume	Total Outflow	
Description	[ft]	[ft ²]	[acres]	[ft 3]	[ac-ft]	[cfs]	
							For best results, include the
-	1						stages of all grade slope
	1					1	changes (e.g. ISV and Floor
-	1					1	from the S-A-V table on
							Sheet Basin'.
							Also include the inverts of a
							outlets (e.g. vertical orifice,
				1			overflow grate, and spillway
							where applicable).
]
							1
							-
							-
				-			4
							+
							4
							4
							-
							4
						ł	+
						ł	+
							+
							+
							+
							4
							1
							1
				1			1
							1
							1
							Ĩ
							1
							1
							-
							-
				-			4
							4
							-
							-
							4
	1					1	4
							1
]
							4
							+
							1
							-
							4
				1		1	1
							1
							4
							4
				1		1	1
							1
							4
							4
							1
							†

Weir Report

Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc.

Emergency Overflow

Trapezoidal Weir		Highlighted	
Crest	= Sharp	Depth (ft)	= 0.57
Bottom Length (ft)	= 35.00	Q (cfs)	= 48.60
Total Depth (ft)	= 1.00	Area (sqft)	= 21.25
Side Slope (z:1)	= 4.00	Velocity (ft/s)	= 2.29
		Top Width (ft)	= 39.56
Calculations			
Weir Coeff. Cw	= 3.10		
Compute by:	Known Q		
Known Q (cfs)	= 48.60		



Hydraflow Storm Sewers Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® Plan



Pipe Info

Line No.	Line ID	Line Length	Line Size	Line Slope	Line Type	n-val Pipe	Flow Rate	Capac Full
		(ft)	(in)	(%)			(cfs)	(cfs)
1	Pine (42)	312 222	18	0.50	Cir	0.013	60.90	110.27
י ר		106 100	40	0.08		0.013	50.50	105.04
2		190.100	40	0.54		0.013	59.50	55.01
3	Pipe - (39)	305.707	36	0.70	Cir	0.013	59.50	55.91
4	Pipe - (38)	3/3.642	36	0.77	Cir	0.013	57.40	58.45
5	Pipe - (40)	134.941	18	2.00	Cir	0.013	2.10	14.85
Projec	t File: 23049	- 100-Year	Storm O	utfall.stn	n	1	I	
NOTE	S: ** Critical o	depth						

Hydraulic Grade Line Computations

L	ine	Size	Q	Downstream Len											Upstream						Chec	k	JL	Minor
				Invert elev	HGL	Depth	Area	Vel	Vel head	EGL elev	Sf	1	Invert elev	HGL	Depth	Area	Vel	Vel head	EGL elev	Sf	Ave Sf	Enrgy	coeff	IOSS
		(in)	(cfs)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(sqft)	(ft/s)	(ft)	(ft)	(%)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(sqft)	(ft/s)	(ft)	(ft)	(%)	(%)	(ft)	(K)	(ft)
	1	48	60.90	5136.60	5139.41	2.81	7.67	6.46	0.98	5140.39	0.000	312.22	25138.44	5140.79	2.35**	7.67	7.94	0.98	5141.77	0.000	0.000	n/a	0.15	0.15
	2	48	59.50	5138.64	5140.79	2.15	6.88	8.65	0.96	5141.75	0.000	196.10	05139.70	5142.02	2.32**	7.56	7.87	0.96	5142.98	0.000	0.000	n/a	0.15	n/a
	3	36	59.50	5139.85	5142.54	2.69*	6.68	8.90	1.23	5143.77	0.703	365.70	75142.42	5145.11	2.69	6.68	8.90	1.23	5146.34	0.703	0.703	2.570	1.00	1.23
	4	36	57.40	5152.00	5154.41	2.41*	6.09	9.43	1.34	5155.75	0.000	373.64	25154.87	5157.32	2.45**	6.18	9.28	1.34	5158.66	0.000	0.000	n/a	1.00	1.34
	5	18	2.10	5146.07	5146.45	0.38*	0.35	5.95	0.20	5146.65	0.000	134.94	15148.77	5149.32	0.55**	0.58	3.61	0.20	5149.52	0.000	0.000	n/a	1.00	n/a
	Project File: 23049 - 100-Year Storm Outfall.stm Run Date: 8/8/2024																							
	Notes: * depth assumed; ** Critical depth. ; c = cir e = ellip b = box																							



Storm Sewer Profile



Pipe Info

Line No.	Line ID	Line Length	Line Size	Line Slope	Line Type	n-val Pipe	Flow Rate	Capac Full	
		(ft)	(in)	(%)			(cfs)	(cfs)	
1	Pipe - (42)	312.222	48	0.59	Cir	0.013	98.00	110.27	
2	Pipe - (41)	196.100	48	0.54	Cir	0.013	91.40	105.61	
3	Pipe - (39)	365.707	36	0.70	Cir	0.013	91.40	55.91	
4	Pipe - (38)	373.642	36	0.77	Cir	0.013	88.60	58.45	
5	Pipe - (40)	134.941	18	2.00	Cir	0.013	2.80	14.85	
		400.34							
Projec	t File: 23049	- 100-Year	Storm O	utfall.stn	n				
NOTE	IOTES: ** Critical depth								

Hydraulic Grade Line Computations

Line	e Size	Q	Downstream Len Upstream											Check		JL	Minor						
			Invert elev	HGL	Depth	Area	Vel	Vel head	EGL elev	Sf	-	Invert elev	HGL	Depth	Area	Vel	Vel head	EGL elev	Sf	Ave Sf	Enrgy	соеп	1055
	(in)	(cfs)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(sqft)	(ft/s)	(ft)	(ft)	(%)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(sqft)	(ft/s)	(ft)	(ft)	(%)	(%)	(ft)	(K)	(ft)
1	48	98.00	5136.60	5141.45	4.00	12.56	7.80	0.95	5142.40	0.466	312.22	25138.44	5142.90	4.00	12.57	7.80	0.95	5143.85	0.465	0.466	1.454	0.15	0.14
2	48	91.40	5138.64	5143.05	4.00	12.56	7.27	0.82	5143.87	0.405	196.10	05139.70	5143.84	4.00	12.57	7.27	0.82	5144.66	0.405	0.405	0.794	0.15	0.12
3	36	91.40	5139.85	5143.96	3.00	7.07	12.93	2.60	5146.56	1.879	365.70	75142.42	5150.84	3.00	7.07	12.93	2.60	5153.44	1.878	1.879	6.870	1.00	2.60
4	36	88.60	5152.00	5155.00	3.00*	7.07	12.54	2.44	5157.44	1.766	373.64	25154.87	5161.60	3.00	7.07	12.53	2.44	5164.04	1.765	1.765	6.596	1.00	2.44
5	18	2.80	5146.07	5153.44	1.50	1.77	1.58	0.04	5153.47	0.071	134.94	15148.77	5153.53	1.50	1.77	1.58	0.04	5153.57	0.071	0.071	0.096	1.00	0.04
Pr	Project File: 23049 - 100-Year Storm Outfall.stm Run Date: 8/8/2024									1													
N	Project File: 23049 - 100-rear Storm Outrail.stm Number of lines: 5 Run Date: 8/8/2024 Notes: * depth assumed ; c = cir e = ellip b = box																						



Storm Sewer Profile



APPENDIX F

- Runoff Reduction Map
- Historic Drainage Map
- PROPOSED DRAINAGE MAP



PROPOSED 24" RCP -STORM SEWER



RUNOFF REDUCTION SUMMARY								
BASIN ID	UIA (SF)	RPA (SF)						
6.A	1,553	490						
6.B	2,423	380						
6.C	2,308	449						
6.D	1,529	664						
6.AD	101	379						
6.AE	1456	695						
6.E	677	275						
6.F	738	275						
6.G	807	419						
6.H	743	219						
6.I	666	235						
6.J	1,313	738						
6.К	642	414						
6.L	1,388	1,058						
6.AF	679	231						
6.M	739	176						
6.N	808	360						
6.O	743	175						
6.P	666	229						
6.Q	1,861	977						
6.R	888	277						
6.S	285	75						
6.AG	4,259	1,790						
6.T	2,689	644						
6.U	1,397	732						
6.V	2,775	1,093						
6.W	533	481						
6.X	8,586	2,293						
6.Y	686	301						
6.Z	161	232						
6.AA	677	294						
6.AB	170	300						
6.AC	1,173	755						
7	6,495	8,101						
7.A	1,143	512						
7.B	2,256	430						
7.C	2,293	386						
7.D	1,043	397						





SUIVIIVIART KUINUFF										
DESIGN POINT	CONTRIBUTING BASIN(S)	CONTRIBUTING AREA (ACRES)	5-YEAR RUNOFF (CFS)	100-YEAR RUNOFF (CFS)						
1	A1	0.59	0.8	2.1						
2	A2	0.21	0.6	1.4						
3	A1, A2, A3	1.37	2.0	5.0						
4	A4	0.18	0.5	1.2						
5	A5	0.91	1.4	4.2						
6	A6	0.21	0.6	1.4						
7	Α7	0.17	0.4	1.0						
8	A4, A5, A6, A7, A8	3.83	8.5	20.6						
9	A9	0.21	0.6	1.4						
10	A10	0.63	1.1	3.0						
11	A11	0.18	0.4	1.1						
12	A12	0.18	0.1	0.5						
13	A12, A13, A14	3.01	5.8	13.8						
14	B1	0.18	0.4	1.1						
15	B2	0.18	0.4	1.1						
16	B3	1.00	1.4	4.2						
17	B4	0.15	0.4	0.9						
18	C1	0.77	1.4	3.5						
19	C2	0.93	1.9	4.8						
20	С3	0.19	0.4	1.1						
21	C4	0.27	0.3	1.1						
А	ALL A BASINS	9.62	15.0	36.6						
В	ALL B BASIN	1.51	2.6	7.1						
С	ALL C BASINS	2.16	3.6	9.1						
	OS-1	0.17	0.3	0.9						
	OS-2	0.04	0.0	0.0						
	OS-3	0.22	0.0	0.2						

DESIGN VOLUME	WATER SURFACE ELEVATION (FT)	VOLUME (ACF
WATER QUALITY CAPTURE VOLUME	5153.58	0.307
EXCESS URBAN RUNOFF VOLUME	5158.16	1.191
100-YEAR STORAGE VOLUME	5159.70	1.673