



## Legislation Details (With Text)

<b>File #:</b>	Pres 17-252	<b>Version:</b>	1	<b>Name:</b>	Retail Marijuana Separation Vs Cap Follow-up Discussion
<b>Type:</b>	Presentation	<b>Status:</b>			Agenda Ready
<b>File created:</b>	4/27/2017	<b>In control:</b>			City Council
<b>On agenda:</b>	5/22/2017	<b>Final action:</b>			
<b>Title:</b>	Retail Marijuana Separation Vs Cap Follow-up Discussion				
<b>Sponsors:</b>					
<b>Indexes:</b>					
<b>Code sections:</b>					
<b>Attachments:</b>	1. Core City Vmap, 2. North of 88th Vmap, 3. Group 3, 4. Group 4, 5. Group 5, 6. Group 9, 7. Table, 8. April 2017 Study Session Presentation, 9. Presentation				

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
------	------	-----------	--------	--------

### Retail Marijuana Separation Vs Cap Follow-up Discussion

#### Summary and Background Information:

Emerging from last month's study session on potential updates to the current marijuana ordinance, City Council had provided staff direction on conducting additional research in order to help inform their decision. At the request of council, staff has conducted additional analysis that looks at the following:

- A scenario analysis that analyzes the effects of a separation requirement between retail stores at a 250' separation, 500' separation, and a 1,000' separation.
- This analysis was conducted in 4 different groupings of eligible properties in the city:
  - o Stapleton Industrial Park
  - o 56<sup>th</sup> Avenue Industrial Park (From 56<sup>th</sup> to 60<sup>th</sup>, between US 85 & Monaco),
  - o Quebec Street between 53<sup>rd</sup> & 54<sup>th</sup>
  - o Di Giorgio Industrial Park (Northeast Corner of 104<sup>th</sup> & US 85)

Resulting from this analysis and the information provided to council in the last study session, staff is requesting feedback on the following potential updates to city code:

1. Establish a separation requirement between retail marijuana stores.
2. A) Establish a cap on the total number of retail marijuana stores in the city, B) Establish a total cap per ward (or other geographic boundary).
3. Establish a combination of both a cap and separation requirement for retail stores.
4. No modification to the existing ordinance.

The presentation will summarize the information provided to council previously, regarding the current

ordinance, research from other jurisdictions, analysis of how proposed changes to the ordinance would impact properties in the city, and how the new scenario analysis would affect certain areas of the city.

**Staff Responsible (Department Head):** Chris Cramer, Director of Community Development

**Staff Member Presenting:** Domenic Martinelli, Planner

**Financial Impact:** N/A

**Funding Source:** N/A