COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

May 2019



RE: Weed management for properties of at least five acres

Dear Commerce City Resident/Property Owner,

This letter is to inform you of recent changes to the city's weed ordinance and clarify maintenance obligations for property owners of large lots (i.e. five acres or larger) to help prevent citations.

The city's weed ordinances include maintenance requirements based on property size and whether the property is developed or undeveloped. (Developed property means property upon which a structure has been constructed or is legally used for parking or storage). Property owners have a responsibility to maintain weeds on any property, developed or undeveloped.

For properties five acres or larger:

- Weeds and grasses on any DEVELOPED property, regardless of the area, must be maintained to no more than eight (8) inches tall anywhere on the property.
- Weeds and grasses on any UNDEVELOPED property must be maintained to no more than sixteen (16) inches tall on any portion of the property <u>within twenty (20) feet of a property</u> <u>line</u>, measured to an adjacent developed property, roadway, or alley.
- Property owners are also responsible for maintaining areas in the public right-of-way <u>beyond property lines</u>, including open areas around public sidewalks and curbs and extending to the center of the right-of-way (streets or alleys) next to the property.

Please view the diagrams on the back of this letter for additional explanation of these requirements.

The city will follow approved code enforcement measures for non-complient cases. Learn more about the code enforcement process at **c3gov.com/propertytips**.

If you have questions or need additional clarification, please contact Neighborhood Services at 303-227-8860.

Sincerely,

Commerce City Neighborhood Services

Buffalo Hills Ranch, LLC DIA-FFP, LLC DIBC 96th and Potomac, LLC

1125 17th Street Suite 2500 Denver, CO 80202 303-295-3071

September 16, 2019

Mr. Patrick Buckley Codes and Inspections Manager/Building Official 7887 E 60th Ave Commerce City, CO 80022

Re: Owners of Large Tract Areas greater than 5 acres

Mr. Buckley,

We are in receipt of the notice from Commerce City of the Councils intention to study revisions to the Commerce City Revised Municipal Code Section 6-2012 – Weeds and Vegetation, (b) Prohibitions, (1) Weed and grass growth, d.

We strongly request the Council not consider or approve of any of these proposed revisions to this section of the code for large tract owners.

- It would be impossible for actively farmed lands to maintain large assembly of acres to a 16" height.
- 2. It would be financially impossible to maintain lands to an 8" height and would require large tract owners to mow multiple times a week in order to comply.
- 3. Large tract owners find it difficult enough to just maintain the current 20 feet requirement along their miles of street frontage and adjacent developed neighbors.
- 4. Current code enforcement is already tough for the City to administer.
- It will be a nightmare for the City code enforcement to administer any of these other requirements. It is tough enough now and very subjective as to how and when City enforces the current codes, all at cost to the landowners.

- 6. Will the City maintain their own right of ways (medians, golf course rough edges, etc.) to the same standards they currently place on large tract private sector lands?
- 7. Why penalize large tract owners who actively farm their lands. Farmers manage their own farming operations and land according to their normal crop rotations and operating conditions.
- 8. Also note, even during normal active farming of lands, there is typically years when the land is fallow (no active crop) per normal crop rotation procedures
- 9. Farming operations and production is very weather dependent and normal weed control procedures and conditions for farming operations could very drastically dependent on weather conditions.
- 10. What type of incentives is Council considering for large tract owners in order to avoid violations and penalties?

Thank you for your consideration in this matter. Please call should you have any questions regarding our comments.

Sincerely,

Mark Throckmorton Vice President L.C. Fulenwider, Inc., Manager



THE FARMERS RESERVOIR AND IRRIGATION COMPANY 80 South 27th Avenue • Brighton, Colorado 80601 Telephone: 303-659-7373 • FAX: 303-659-6077

August 22, 2019

The City of Commerce City Attn: Mr. Patrick Buckley 7887 East 60th Avenue Commerce City, Colorado 80022


RE: Proposed Changes to Commerce City Revised Municipal Code Section 6-2012(b)(1) Weed and grass growth d.

Dear Mr. Buckley:

Burlington Ditch Reservoir and Land Company, Wellington Reservoir Company and The Famers Reservoir and Irrigation Company (collectively called "FRICO") is in receipt of your notice dated July 19, 2019 regarding proposed changes to Commerce City's weed regulations for undeveloped large tracts greater than five (5) acres. While FRICO appreciates the desire to implement the proposed changes to the code for weed regulations for all undeveloped tracts within the City, FRICO hereby requests that FRICO property be exempt from those changes as the proposed changes would create an undue hardship for our operations. FRICO owns numerous tracts of land, including but not limited to rights-of-way and roads, within the city limits of Commerce City (City) for our operations to provide water for agricultural and municipal uses. These tracts of land will likely never be developed as they are a key piece of infrastructure to the FRICO system.

FRICO currently mows all vegetation within our system with a brush hog type mower pulled behind a tractor. This machine cuts the vegetation to approximately five inches in height. Our standard practice is to mow the vegetation approximately once per month during the growing season, this is somewhat variable depending on seasonal precipitation. While FRICO's primary focus is the transportation of water to our shareholders through our ditches and canals, if the City reduces the maximum allowable height of weeds lower than sixteen (16) inches, a significant portion of FRICO's focus and resources will be shifted to mowing weeds on a weekly basis in an attempt to follow the new code. If you grant FRICO's request from exemption from the proposed changes, please be assured that FRICO will continue to operate and maintain our property in the same manner as it has for more than 100 years.

If the City is unable to grant FRICO's request for exemption, FRICO hereby requests that the maximum allowable height of weeds remain at sixteen (16) inches.



Page 2 of 2 Ltr to Commerce City from Burlington, Wellington & FRICO - 08/22/2019 Proposed Changes to Commerce City Revised Municipal Code Section 6-2012(b)(1) Weed and grass growth d.

FRICO reserves the right to comment further on this matter as more details of the proposed changes are better understood. Thank you for providing this forum for FRICO to make the City aware of our concerns surrounding the proposed changes. If you have any questions or would like to discuss further, please do not hesitate to reach out to me by phone or email.

Sincerely, BURLINGTON DITCH RESERVOIR AND LAND COMPANY WELLINGTON RESERVOIR COMPANY THE FARMERS RESERVOIR AND IRRIGATION COMPANY

Scott Edgar

General Manager

Murray Farms Inc. 11010 Havana St. Brighton, CO 80601 303-288-2998

The City of Commerce City Attn.: Patrick Buckley 7887 East 60th Ave. Commerce City, CO 80022

To Whom It May Concern,

This Letter is in response to the city's weed ordinance on large tracts of land five acres or more. The current ordinance is very inadequate and biased. It does not protect other property owners from the nuisance of weeds or weed seed from blowing onto their property, it does not address the potential of fires and it is unsightly and gives the city a bad image. Home and business owners are required by city ordinance to maintain their property and not adversely affect other property owners. These regulations should apply to all properties within the city.

There is a huge difference between developed and undeveloped property. Property with curb gutter and sidewalk with an adjacent building on it is not the same as undeveloped property. I believe the ordinance is focusing too much on plant height. The focus should be on the kind of vegetation growing, where as it will not leave ones property and adversely affect other property owners.

I see three choices for property owners:

- 1. Spray and or mow all vegetation such that it will not leave their property.
- 2. Have the property farmed and maintained by approved farming practices.
- 3. The city can maintain the property and charge fees to the owner. All property in the city is zoned. If farming is no longer done on the property the zoning is changed from agricultural to the proposed city zoning and the appropriate property taxes levied.

Sincerely, Olen Murray, Murray Farms

Balantigu Agadal Ina. 2013 Herada - C. Constantos, C. J. Stadol 2013 Aga-2035

 A.A. Offer of Countration for Almoniphic Variation
VEST East 60¹⁰ Area
Completeer Structure CO 20032

To Whom W May Concer,

bibled if distant protect of bot anoperty owners from the nubble of v eds to read and the velocitor and other property, it have not whit we the polarish of the and it is many which will given the city to be integal items and to place when which have by disk ardemeter to such their property and not all strett affer property and to be flace resolution where the to of properties with the property.

Those is a trage difference is mean developed and and and a training of property. Property work carb gatter and different with an adjacent building on it is not the samena and evoloped property. Settere des ordnomine is for while the conduction property. Should be on the block of weight for conducting, where as it will not inner only another and other will a their property weight.

serversion a mortonic and capitalis aparts apend

e and we and the first of the period of the property constraint balances of the

ะสุรัตร์สุรัตร์สุร 1. รู้สุดรัฐ (สิมธุรณการการการการสุดิ และสุรัตร์สาราช พิร สุดุณกราช (การการการการ การสุดรัฐการการ

6. Fine digents werdenalt the property and carries that a the occurre call property in the adaptic is an equivable to get the point of the line property and call of a stranger's from containing a the second and cally a other point the adaptic evolution of the first in acconduct only a other point the adaptic evolution of the day first of the contained only a other point the adaptic evolution of the day for the second of the state of the adaptic evolution of the day for the state of the state

aurantes services and possible



A Berkshire Hathaway Company

September 18, 2019

City of Commerce City Attn: Patrick Buckley 7887 East 60th Avenue Commerce City, CO 80022

Dear Patrick,

This letter is serving as a response to a notice we received regarding considered changes to Commerce City's weed regulations for undeveloped large tracts greater than five acres.

Oakwood Homes has proudly been building homes in Commerce City for several years and has recently taken the role as the master developer of Reunion: a 2,500-acre master-planned community that is comprised of roughly 2,000 homes, over 152 acres of parks and lakes, and a 21,000-foot rec center. Enormous growth is planned for Reunion and the surrounding community. Oakwood has begun planning a 430-acre mixed-use development known as Reunion Center. This project will include a regional sports complex, retail space, and high-density housing options. Reunion Center is necessary in order to accommodate the growing community; and has a projected buildout of close to 10,000 homes.

Oakwood Homes will be acquiring land in large quantities from L.C. Fulenwider, Inc. to complete Reunion as promised by the prior master developer. We strive to maintain our properties (owned or under option) to meet all City standards. On June 6, 2016, Ordinance No. 2080 amended section 6-2012 of the Code of Ordinances to change the maximum height of grass and weeds for undeveloped properties 5 acres or larger to 16" on any portion of the property that is within twenty (20) feet of any adjacent developed property, tract, or parcel, or any adjacent road. I know from personal experience during the process, that the development community and large landowners were involved as stakeholders in Commerce City. The end result was a compromise that met the City goals of aesthetics and general maintenance without placing unnecessary burdens on large landowners.

We believe that changing the maximum weed height on large parcels will not have any effect on public safety, and as an unintended consequence, will cause more work for both landowners/businesses and Commerce City employees enforcing the regulation.

Reducing the maximum allowable height of weeds from 16" to 8" and increasing the perimeter mowing limits will greatly increase the frequency of required trimming, especially when it's wet in the Spring and Fall; and on a 2,500-acre development, these costs could be substantial and burdensome.

We believe that the current code is appropriate and that no amendment to the ordinance is necessary. At a minimum, we recommend you delay formal action until the various stakeholder input is fully considered. Please share this information with the City Council during their deliberations.

Thank you for your time and consideration on this matter.

Sincerely,

James A. Haves, AICP Director of Land Acquisition and Development Clayton Properties Group II, Inc. dba Oakwood Homes 4908 Tower Road Denver, CO 80249

Cc: Brian McBroom Roger Tinklenberg Paula Baker L.C. Fulenwider, Inc.