

2019 AAP Project Ranking Form

	ant:		
Project			
•	Received:		
r Oli iis K	ecerved		
	CDBG Project Ranking	g Criteri	a
C	criterion 1 - Overall Consistency with the CD	BG Consolid	ated Plan:
	40 points		
Does th	e project match a priority need in the Cons	olidated Pla	n?
	High Priority	20	
	Medium Priority	10	
	Low Priority	5	
	Not in ConPlan	-10	
Does th	e project address a specific goal in the Co	nsolidated Pl	an?
	Yes	20	
	No	0	
			• . 1 .
	Criterion 2 - Overall Benefit or Impact to	the City: 40	points
	Impact		points
		0 - 40	
	Impact	0 - 40	
Cr	Criterion 3 - Applicant's Experience and	0 - 40 d Ability: 40	points
	Criterion 3 - Applicant's Experience and Confidence	0 - 40 d Ability: 40 0 - 40 me Resident	points
	Criterion 3 - Applicant's Experience and Confidence iterion 4 - Benefit to Low- to Moderate-inco	0 - 40 d Ability: 40 0 - 40 me Resident	points
	Criterion 3 - Applicant's Experience and Confidence iterion 4 - Benefit to Low- to Moderate-inco	0 - 40 d Ability: 40 0 - 40 me Resident points	points
	Criterion 3 - Applicant's Experience and Confidence iterion 4 - Benefit to Low- to Moderate-inco mber of LMI persons served by the project: 20 81+ persons	0 - 40 d Ability: 40 0 - 40 me Resident points	points
	Criterion 3 - Applicant's Experience and Confidence iterion 4 - Benefit to Low- to Moderate-inco mber of LMI persons served by the project: 20 81+ persons 61-80 persons	0 - 40 d Ability: 40 0 - 40 me Resident points 20 17 14 11	points
	Criterion 3 - Applicant's Experience and Confidence iterion 4 - Benefit to Low- to Moderate-incomber of LMI persons served by the project: 20 81+ persons 61-80 persons 41-60 persons	0 - 40 d Ability: 40 0 - 40 me Resident points 20 17 14 11 8	points
	Criterion 3 - Applicant's Experience and Confidence iterion 4 - Benefit to Low- to Moderate-inco mber of LMI persons served by the project: 20 81+ persons 61-80 persons 41-60 persons 21-40 persons	0 - 40 d Ability: 40 0 - 40 me Resident points 20 17 14 11	points

91-100% LMI Residents	20	
81-90% LMI Residents		
71-80% LMI Residents	14	
61-70% LMI Residents	11	
51-60% LMI Residents	8	
1-50% LMI Residents	5	
0% Low-Income Residents	- 5	
0% Very Low-Income Residents	- 5	
46% +	20	
iterion 5 – <u>Committed</u> Funding and Leveraging (The percentage is calculated by: leveraged project fund		-
41-45%	18	
36-40%	16	
31-35%	14	
26-30%	12	
21-25%	10	
21-25% 16-20%	10 8	
21-25% 16-20% 11-15%	10 8 6	
21-25% 16-20% 11-15% 6-10%	10 8 6 2	
21-25% 16-20% 11-15% 6-10% 0-5%	10 8 6 2	
21-25% 16-20% 11-15% 6-10% 0-5% Criterion 6 - Is the Project in an Adopted C	10 8 6 2 0	City Plan
21-25% 16-20% 11-15% 6-10% 0-5%	10 8 6 2 0	City Plan
21-25% 16-20% 11-15% 6-10% 0-5% Criterion 6 - Is the Project in an Adopted C	10 8 6 2 0	City Plan
21-25% 16-20% 11-15% 6-10% 0-5% Criterion 6 - Is the Project in an Adopted Cobesides the Consolidated Plan?	10 8 6 2 0 Commerce C	City Plan

<u>Notes:</u>