

2018 AAP Project Ranking Form

Applica	ant:				
Project:					
	eceived:				
	CDBG Project Ranking	g Criteri	а		
C	criterion 1 - Overall Consistency with the CD 40 points	BG Consolid	ated Plan:		
Does the	e project match a priority need in the Cons	olidated Plai	n?		
	High Priority	20			
	Medium Priority	10			
	Low Priority	5			
	Not in ConPlan	-10	May need to amend ConPlan		
Does the	e project address a specific goal in the Co	nsolidated Pl	an?		
	Yes	20			
	No	0			
	Criterion 2 - Overall Benefit or Impact to	the City: 40	points		
	Impact	0 - 40			
	Criterion 3 - Applicant's Experience and	d Ability: 40	points		
	Confidence	0 - 40			
Cr	iterion 4 - Benefit to Low- to Moderate-inco	me Resident	s: 40 points		
Total number of LMI persons served by the project: 20 points					
	81+ persons	20			
	61-80 persons	17			
	41-60 persons	14			
	21-40 persons	11			
	16-20 persons	8			
	6-15 persons	5			
	0-5 persons	2			

centage of LMI residents served by the project: 20 I	Points	
91-100% LMI Residents	20	
81-90% LMI Residents	17	
71-80% LMI Residents	14	
61-70% LMI Residents	11	
51- 60% LMI Residents	8	
1-50% LMI Residents	5	
0% Low-Income Residents	- 5	
0% Very Low-Income Residents	- 5	
(The percentage is calculated by: leveraged project fundamental (The percentage is calculated by: leverage is calculated by: leve		ect funding)
46% +	20	
41-45%	18	
36-40%	16	
31-35%	14	
26-30%	12	
21-25%	10	
16-20%	8	
11-15%	6	
6-10%	2	
0-5%	0	
Criterion 6 - Is the Project in an Adopted Cossides the Consolidated Plan?		City Plan
Yes	10	
No	0	
Total Points		

Notes: