

STAFF REPORTPlanning Commission

CA	CE	#S-	CE	7	1	O
		47-			_	
		113		_	_	_

PC Date: February 6, 2018

Case Planner:

Domenic Martinelli

CC Date:

March 5, 2018

Location:

8470 & 8510 E 86th Avenue

Commerce City, CO 80022

Applicant 1:

Jesse Aragon

Address:

9551 E. Orchard Drive

Greenwood Village, CO 80111

Applicant 2:

Ralph Nance

Address:

5275 Marshall Street #206

Arvada, CO 80002

Owner: Same as Applicant

Address:

Same as Applicant

Case Summary

Request: A rezoning of the properties currently zoned I-2 (Medium Intensity Industrial

District and R-1 (Single Family Residential District) to Planned Unit Development

(PUD) for industrial uses.

Project Description: The applicant is requesting to rezone the subject properties from I-2 and R-1 to

PUD, allowing specifically for I-2 uses plus salvage operations, outdoor auto repair, and outdoor storage unrelated to the use of a primary business. The applicant is requesting to subdivide the subject property into three lots and two tracts as part of case S-652-18, and is proposing a PUD Permit for administrative approval of existing and planned site improvements as part of case D-291-18.

Issues/Concerns:

Internal site navigation

Uses for PUD

Key Approval Criteria:

• I-2 Zone District Standards

• Sec. 21-3251 PUD Zone Documents

Sec 21-5254 Outdoor Storage Requirements

Staff Recommendation:

ApprovalWith Conditions

Current Zone District:

I-2 (Medium Intensity Industrial District), and

R-1 (Single-Family Residential District)

Comp Plan Designation: In

Industrial/Distribution, and General Industrial

Attachments for Review: Checked if applicable to case.

Applicant's Narrative Summary

Vicinity Ma

Development Review Team Recommendation

Proposed Plat, Development Plan & PUD Zone Document

Applicant's Supplemental Exhibits

Background Information

Site Information Site Size: 6.9 acres +/-Developed with one industrial building and several individual storage lots. **Current Conditions: Existing Right-of-Way:** E. 86th Avenue to the north (to be completely dedicated as part of case S-652-18 Neighborhood: **Existing Structures:** One industrial building and several accessory structures. Structures to Remain? Yes No N/A Site in Floodplain Yes 🔀 No

Surrounding Properties			
Exist	ing Land Use	<u>Occupant</u>	Zoning
North	Industrial	JBS Pipeline Company	I-2
South	Industrial	AAA Waterproofing	I-2
East	ROW	BNSF Right-of-Way	None
West	Industrial	Aerial Equipment Specialists	I-2

Case History

Case history for the subject property will be broken down by address:

8470 E 86th Avenue (Lot 1)

The subject property has four zoning cases, three subdivision cases, one use-by-permit, and one land use plan amendment case on record. The property also has two additional land use cases being processed concurrently with the subdivision request.

<u>Case</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Request</u>	Action
AN-7-78	October 2, 1978	Annexation of subject property into Commerce City	Approval
Z-164-78	November 20, 1978	Annexation Zoning from ADCO to R-1	Approval
LUP-17-81	May 4, 1981	Land Use Plan Amendment from Agricultural to Industrial	Approval
Z-268-81	May 4, 1981	Zone Change from R-1 to I-2	Approval With Conditions
S-72-81	September 14, 1981	Subdivision of 4 industrial lots	Approval With Conditions
A-668-83	August 4, 1983	Use-By-Permit for a temporary trailer	Approval With Conditions
S-124-84	September 17, 1984	1 st Amendment to the Valentia Industrial Subdivision	Approval With Conditions
Z-268-81-85	September 3, 1985	Amendment of Zoning Conditions	Not Approved
Z-268-81-86	June 9, 1986	Amendment of Zoning Conditions	Approved
S-158-87	August 11, 1987	Convert Illegal Subdivision of Lot 3 to Legal Subdivision	Not Approved
Z-898-11	June 22, 2012	PUD Concept Schematic	Withdrawn
Z-898-18	February 6, 2017	Zone Change from I-2 to PUD	Pending Approval
D-291-18	February 6, 2017	Development Plan for 8470 & 8510 E 86 th Avenue	Under Staff Review

8510 E 86th Avenue (Lot 2)

The subject property has one annexation case, and one annexation zoning case on record. The property also has two additional land use cases being processed concurrently with the subdivision request.

<u>Case</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Request</u>	<u>Action</u>
AN-7-78	October 2, 1978	Annexation of subject property into Commerce City	Approval

<u>Case</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Request</u>	<u>Action</u>
Z-164-78	November 20,	Annexation Zoning from ADCO to R-1	Approval
	1978		
Z-898-12	January 24, 2014	Zone change from R-1 to I-2	Withdrawn
Z-898-18	February 6, 2017	Zone Change from I-2 to PUD	Pending Approval
D-291-18	February 6, 2017	Development Plan for 8470 & 8510 E 86 th Avenue	Under Staff Review

The subject property was annexed and zoned to R-1 as part of cases AN-7-78 and Z-164-78. An error in the official Commerce City Zoning map mislabeled the subject property as I-2 for a significant period of time, leading to the property being developed and utilized as industrial. Development Plan case D-291-18 is currently under administrative review, and Z-898-18 is running concurrent with this case.



Irondale (General)

The DRT also felt it was necessary to briefly highlight the early history of Irondale neighborhood, and how it has created platting & development issues over time.

The original Irondale subdivision was platted in 1889 and, named for a foundry (Kibler Stove Works) which opened that year. It employed 200 people and the plant closed in 1893. The subdivision plat consisted of 96 blocks containing 48 lots each and an alley, at widths of 25' intended for residential uses to support employment at the foundry. Until the 1970s, there was little development in the area outside of residential development. However, beginning in the 1970s, with increasing industrial pressure from the south and the close proximity to the railroads, the agricultural land began to be converted to industrial uses.

Because of this history, as large industrial properties have attempted to consolidate the original Irondale lots to fit their

needs, there have been numerous inaccuracies with technical platting issues, legal description errors, property line disputes, illegal subdivisions, and lack of public improvements and infrastructure. With the specific case history for these specific properties, the platting efforts proposed with this case will provide adequate cleanup and clarity for this specific area.

Applicant's Request

The applicant is requesting a subdivision plat to consolidate numerous parcels, and remove serious prior platting issues, some which are greater than 100+ years. 8510 E 86th Avenue currently has a metes and bounds description, and has never formally been platted, while 8470 E 86th Avenue consists of lots from the Valentia Industrial Subdivision. Lot 3 of the Valentia Subdivision was illegally subdivided, and the issue has not been rectified until this application. Proposed lots 1 and 2 will share an access easement through the middle of both properties to facilitate proper internal site navigation, and adequate fire access free of any industrial activities. Lots 1 and 2 will have direct frontage along East 86th Avenue, and the proposed lot 3 will have access to East 86th avenue via "tract a," which is a permanent access easement. Tract B is to be reserved solely for drainage.

The proposed final plat would create legal and conforming lots consistent with the standards for an I-2 zone district, and would not need any variances in order to achieve conforming statuses. The lot standards within the proposed PUD zone document for case Z-898-18 are derived directly from the I-2 district standards.

Development Review Team Analysis

The Development Review Team (DRT) has reviewed the requests with the approval criteria for final plats within Article III of the Land Development Code (LDC), and the zone district standards for I-2 zones within Article IV. The following analysis addresses the proposed subdivision and layout, approval criteria for final plats, and the recommendation of city staff based upon the analysis.

Proposed Plat Requirements – Non-Residential				
ISSUE	Proposed	CITY STANDARD	MEETS CITY STANDARD?	
Access	Lots 1 & 2 will have direct access to East 86 th Avenue.	Access to Public Streets Required	Yes	
Comprehensive Plan	General Industrial	Industrial Distribution / General Industrial	Yes	
Floor Area Ratio (FAR)	Lot 1: 0.097 Lot 2: 0.05	0.05 (I-2 / Proposed PUD Standard)	Yes	
Lot Frontage	Lot 1: 325.06' Lot 2: 317.52'	80' (I-2 / Proposed PUD Standard)	Yes	
Lot Size	Lot 1: 3.014 Acres Lot 2: 2.631 Acres	8,000 Square Feet (C-3) 30,000 Square Feet (I-1)	Yes	
Right-of-Way Dedications	Lot 1: None Lot 2: 30' for E 86 th Ave	Adequate ROW	Yes	
Total Lots/Tracts	3 Lots, 2 Tracts	N/A	Yes	

The DRT reviewed the application for compliance with article VI of the land development code, and the lot standards in article IV for I-2 zone districts. The I-2 zone district requires a minimum lot size of 50,000 square feet, and a minimum lot frontage of 80 feet, which is met by both proposed lots. The lots front onto East 86th Avenue, providing access to public right-of-way, and providing proper internal site navigation. The proposed subdivision plat meets all requirements of LDC Section 21-6220 for lots and lot configuration, has adequate vehicular circulation and navigation compliant with Section 21-6260, and meets all other applicable sections of Article VI.

Criteria Met?	Sec. 21-3241. Final Plats or Consolidation Plats	Rationale
	The plat is consistent with any approved land use document;	The proposed plat will be consistent with the lot standards defined within the PUD if approved by city council.
\boxtimes	The plat is consistent with and implements the intent of the specific zoning district in which it is located;	The proposed plat will create two lots intended for industrial uses, which are consistent with the proposed use designations in case Z-898-18.
\boxtimes	No evidence suggests that the plat violates any laws, regulations, or requirements;	No indication has been provided through the development review process that the plat violates any laws, regulations, or requirements.

Criteria Met?	Sec. 21-3241. Final Plats or Consolidation Plats	Rationale
	The general layout of the plat minimizes land disturbance, maximizes open space, preserves existing trees/vegetation and riparian areas, and otherwise accomplishes the purposes and intent of the LDC;	The proposed layout will minimize land disturbances to the greatest extent feasible. As an already developed site, it proposes little risk of harming existing trees / vegetation or open space. It has also been reviewed to ensure that it accomplishes the purpose and intent of the land development code.
	The plat complies with all applicable city standards and does not unnecessarily create lots that make compliance with such standards difficult or infeasible;	The plat complies with the city standards for subdivisions, and standards proposed within the PUD Zone District, creates conforming lots, and the proposed development will not require variances to any bulk standards as a result of this plat.
	The plat will not result in a substantial or undue adverse effect on adjacent properties, traffic conditions, parking, public improvements, either as they presently exist or as they are envisioned to exist in any adopted City plan, program or ordinance	This plat will not result in substantial or undue adverse effects. The plat will allow for improvements to be made to an existing site, for adequate parking to be provided to the site, and comply with all applicable regulations.
	Sufficient public services (utilities, safety, etc) and uses (parks, schools etc) are available to serve the subject property;	Adequate public services are currently available to serve the subject property.
\boxtimes	A development agreement between the city and the applicant has been executed and addresses the construction of all required public improvements; and	A development agreement was not required for this project
	As applicable, the proposed phasing plan for development of the subdivision is rational in terms of available infrastructure capacity.	No phasing plan is proposed for this project.

The DRT also felt it was necessary to briefly highlight the early history of Irondale neighborhood, and how it has created platting issues over time.

The original Irondale subdivision was platted in 1889 and, named for a foundry (Kibler Stove Works) which opened that year. It employed 200 people and the plant closed in 1893. The subdivision plat consisted of 96 blocks containing 48 lots each and an alley, at widths of 25' intended for residential uses to support employment at the foundry. Until the 1970s, there was little development in the area. However, beginning in the 1970s, with increasing industrial pressure from the south and the close proximity to the railroads, the agricultural land began to be converted to industrial uses.

Because of this history, as large industrial properties have attempted to consolidate the original Irondale lots to fit their needs, there have been numerous inaccuracies with technical platting issues, legal description errors, property line disputes, illegal subdivisions, and lack of public improvements and infrastructure. With the specific case history for these specific properties and the various conditions placed on prior subdivisions for the subject properties, the platting efforts proposed with this case will provide adequate cleanup and clarity for this specific area.

Section

Goal

future.



The proposal would clean up significant platting issues, rectify a previous illegal subdivision, dedicate adequate right-of-way for East 86th Avenue, and provide through connection along East 86th Avenue to Xenia Street.

Comprehensive Plan

Description

The DRT recommendation for this case is supported by the following Comprehensive Plan Goals:

		Future Land Use Plan (FLUP) as a Guide:
Land Use	LU 1a	Use the Future Land Use Plan (FLUP) to guide development patterns and mix of uses
		and amendments to the Land Development Code (LDC).
Analysis:	The FLUP id	dentifies the subject property for both a General Industrial / Industrial Distribution
	developmer	nt. The proposed zoning is to create this type of development.
<u>Section</u>	<u>Goal</u>	<u>Description</u>
		Coordinated Rezoning:
Land Use	LU 1d	Coordinate rezoning of multiple parcels together in key locations to implement the
		coordinated patter on the FLUP.
Analysis:	The proposed PUD Zone Document will help the properties redevelop in alignment with the FLUP.	
Appearance	AD 2b	Consolidate Properties
and Design		Acquire and consolidate properties in redevelopment areas.
Analysis:	The proposed development would consolidate small industrial parcels that currently do not abut public right-	

of-way, and create larger industrial lots that are well suited for redevelopment and reinvestment in the

Development Review Team Recommendation

Based upon the analysis above, the Development Review Team believes that the application meets the criteria for a Final Plat set forth in the Land Development Code and recommends that the Planning Commission forward the Final Plat request to the City Council with a favorable recommendation.

Recommended Motion

To recommend approval:

I move that the Planning Commission enter a finding that the requested Final Plat for the property located at **8470 & 8510 E 86th Avenue**, contained in case **S-652-18** meets the criteria of the Land Development Code and, based upon such finding, recommend that the City Council approve the Final Plat.

Alternative Motions

To recommend approval subject to condition(s):

I move that the Planning Commission enter a finding that, subject to certain conditions, the requested Final Plat for the property located at **8470 & 8510 E 86th Avenue** contained in case **S-652-18** meets the criteria of the Land Development Code and, based upon such finding, recommend that the City Council approve the Final Plat subject to the following conditions:

Insert Condition(s)

To recommend denial:

I move that the Planning Commission enter a finding that the requested Final Plat for the property located at **8470 & 8510 E 86th Avenue** contained in case **S-652-18** fails to meet the following criteria of the Land Development Code:

List the criteria not met

I further move that, based upon this finding, the Planning Commission recommend that the City Council deny the Final Plat.

To continue the case:

I move that the Planning Commission continue the requested Final Plat for the property located at **8470 & 8510 E 86**th **Avenue** contained in case **S-652-18** to a future Planning Commission agenda.