

2017 AAP Project Ranking Form

	<u> </u>		
CDBG Project Ranking Criteria			
Criterion 1 - Overall Consistency with the City's	s Consolidate	ed Plan:	
40 points			
Does the project match a priority need in the Consolidated Plan?			
High Priority	20		
Medium Priority	15		
Low Priority	10		
Not in ConPlan	-10		
Does the project address a specific goal in the Consolidated Plan?			
Yes	20		
No	0		
Criterion 2 - Overall Benefit or Impact to the City: 40 points			
Impact	0 - 40		
Criterion 3 - Applicant's Experience and A	bility: 40 po	ints	
Confidence	0 - 40		
Criterion 4 - Benefit to Low- to Moderate-income Residents: 40 points			
Total number of LMI persons served by the project: 20			
81+ persons	20		
	20 17		
81+ persons 61-80 persons 41-60 persons	20 17 14		
81+ persons 61-80 persons	20 17 14 11		
81+ persons 61-80 persons 41-60 persons 21-40 persons 16-20 persons	20 17 14 11 8		
81+ persons 61-80 persons 41-60 persons 21-40 persons 16-20 persons 6-15 persons	20 17 14 11 8 5		
81+ persons 61-80 persons 41-60 persons 21-40 persons 16-20 persons 6-15 persons 0-5 persons	20 17 14 11 8 5 2		
81+ persons 61-80 persons 41-60 persons 21-40 persons 16-20 persons 6-15 persons 0-5 persons Percentage of income groups served by the project: 2	20 17 14 11 8 5 2 0 Points		
81+ persons 61-80 persons 41-60 persons 21-40 persons 16-20 persons 6-15 persons 0-5 persons Percentage of income groups served by the project: 2 91-100% LMI Residents	20 17 14 11 8 5 2 0 Points		
81+ persons 61-80 persons 41-60 persons 21-40 persons 16-20 persons 6-15 persons 0-5 persons Percentage of income groups served by the project: 2 91-100% LMI Residents 81-90% LMI Residents	20 17 14 11 8 5 2 0 Points		
81+ persons 61-80 persons 41-60 persons 21-40 persons 16-20 persons 6-15 persons 0-5 persons Percentage of income groups served by the project: 2 91-100% LMI Residents 81-90% LMI Residents 71-80% LMI Residents	20 17 14 11 8 5 2 0 Points 20 17		
81+ persons 61-80 persons 41-60 persons 21-40 persons 16-20 persons 6-15 persons 0-5 persons Percentage of income groups served by the project: 2 91-100% LMI Residents 81-90% LMI Residents 71-80% LMI Residents 61-70% LMI Residents	20 17 14 11 8 5 2 0 Points 20 17 14 11		
81+ persons 61-80 persons 41-60 persons 21-40 persons 16-20 persons 6-15 persons 0-5 persons Percentage of income groups served by the project: 2 91-100% LMI Residents 81-90% LMI Residents 71-80% LMI Residents 61-70% LMI Residents 51-60% LMI Residents	20 17 14 11 8 5 2 0 Points 20 17 14 11 8		
81+ persons 61-80 persons 41-60 persons 21-40 persons 16-20 persons 6-15 persons 0-5 persons Percentage of income groups served by the project: 2 91-100% LMI Residents 81-90% LMI Residents 71-80% LMI Residents 61-70% LMI Residents 51-60% LMI Residents 1-50% LMI Residents	20 17 14 11 8 5 2 0 Points 20 17 14 11 8 5		
81+ persons 61-80 persons 41-60 persons 21-40 persons 16-20 persons 6-15 persons 0-5 persons Percentage of income groups served by the project: 2 91-100% LMI Residents 81-90% LMI Residents 71-80% LMI Residents 61-70% LMI Residents 51-60% LMI Residents	20 17 14 11 8 5 2 0 Points 20 17 14 11 8		

Criterion 5 – <u>Committed</u> Supplementary Funding and Leveraging Capabilities: 20 points			
(The percentage is calculated by: leverage funding/CDBG project funding)			
46% +	20	9)	
41-45%	18		
36-40%	16		
31-35%	14		
26-30%	12		
21-25%	10		
16-20%	8		
11-15%	6		
6-10%	2		
0-5%	0		
Criterion 6 - Is the Project in an Adopted Commerce City Plan?:			
10 points			
Yes	10		
No	0		
Total Points			

Notes: