CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM - Project Selection Criteria and Definitions

Updated: 7/17/15

City staff draft: 7/8/15, revised 7/12/15

CIP-CAC input: 7/15/15

CIP-CAC input: 7/15/15				
Proposed Weight High = 25 Medium/High = 20 Medium = 15 Medium/Low = 10 Low = 5	Maximum Points	Proposed Weight	Total Possible Weighted Points	Weight
Regulatory Mandate (Funded or Unfunded) Is the project required to comply with a Federal or State requirement or mandate? $No = 0 \text{ points}$ $Yes = 3 \text{ points}$	3	25	75	High
City Council Goal Alignment - 5 City Council Goals One goal = 1 point Two goals = 2 points Three or more goals = 3 points	3	20	60	Med/High
 1 - Develop a balanced and vibrant economy to improve socioeconomic status 2 - Ensure a financially-sound City government to maintain or improve levels of service 3 - Develop and maintain the public infrastructure to improve community appearance and encourage private investment 4 - Preserve and nurture a quality community to improve resident health and safety 5 - Engage the public to encourage community involvement and communication to build trust 				
Economic Development Return on Investment (ROI) Does the project provide low, medium or high likelihood of private development/investment? Low = 1 point Medium = 2 points High = 3 points	3	20	60	Med/High
Priority in Plan documents (Comprehensive, Parks and Recreation, Transportation) Is the project listed in one of three key long range planning documents adopted by the City? No = 0 points Yes = 3 points	3	15	45	Med
Deferred Maintenance Does the project address low, medium or high levels of deferred maintenance? Low = 1 point Medium = 2 points High or Functionally Obsolete = 3 points	3	10	30	Med/Low
Impacted Population # of population within 3 miles? Less than 5,000 = 1 point 5,000-10,000 = 2 points over 10,000 or Citywide project = 3 points	3	10	30	Med/Low
Impacted Businesses # of employees within 3 miles? Less than 1,000 = 1 point 1,000-3,000 = 2 points over 3,000 or Citywide project = 3 points	3	10	30	Med/Low
"Window of Opportunity" Is the project more feasible due to unforeseen outside funding or private investment/partners? No $= 0$ points $Yes = 3$ points	3	10	30	Med/Low

Operational Return on Investment / Payback Period	3	10	30	Med/Low
Determine the number of years to return the capital investment				
Low or Long-term (> 15 years) = 1 point				
Medium or Intermediate-term $(5-15 \text{ years}) = 2 \text{ points}$				
High or Short-term $(< 5 \text{ years}) = 3 \text{ points}$				
Timing of Benefit to the Community	3	5	15	Low
Determine the timeframe to complete the project from design to complete construction	3	3	15	Low
Long-term benefit (multi-year project > 2 years) = 1 point				
Intermediate-term benefit (1-2 year project) = 2 points				
Short-term benefit (Project complete in 1 year or less) =3 points				
bioti term benefit (110ject complete in 1 year of 1666) =5 points				
Additional Criteria (Funding)				
2K Eligible	3	10	30	Med/Low
Is the project eligible for "2K" funding (i.e.: Parks, Recreation, or Transportation)?				
No = 0 points				
Yes = 3 points				
	•	10	20	3.5.17
Grants and Funding Source	3	10	30	Med/Low
What is the primary source of funding for the project?				
General Fund = 0 points				
General Fund and outside grants = 1 point				
Non-General Fund = 2 points				
Non-General Fund and outside grants = 3 points				
Totals	36	155	465	

Scoring Team (10 LT members)
IET (CM, DCM, ASO, Dir of Comm/IGR)
ILT (Dir of PW, Dir of PRG, Dir of FD)
Chief of PD Dir of CD Dir of ED