



**Capital Improvement Program
Citizen Advisory Committee
(CIPCAC) Meeting
Commerce City Civic Center
7887 E. 60th Ave., Room 3109
April 12, 2018 – 6 p.m.-7:30 p.m.**



Meeting Summary

1. Present: Susan Carbajal, Maura O'Neal, Jennifer Wildhagen, Amanda Boldenow, Sandy Carruthers, Michelle Halstead, Mike Brown
2. Approval of Last Meeting's Minutes: Minutes from March 22, 2018 were approved.
3. Report on CIPCAC's last meeting with Council March 26.
 - a. The group appreciated meeting with council and the opportunity to interact with them. Mrs. Carbajal stated the city council was very open, supportive and let the group know they are very accessible, so to reach out to any councilmember if needed.
 - b. Mrs. Carruthers also mentioned she attended the study session and heard the discussion regarding 112th, and noted the intention with 2K was to complete the pavement of this, but it was a lot more expensive and had jurisdictional issues (FRICO, etc.). Council decided to go to \$150K improvements just adding stabilization and more maintenance.
 - c. Mrs. Halstead mentioned a meeting with Oakwood Homes and stated they are interested in nailing down a program plan to include 112th all the way to Landmark.
4. The group reviewed and evaluated the requested Capital Projects, while discussing the evaluation criteria and rankings information. See attached presentation and final ranking sheet.
 - a. **Traditional Capital Projects**
 - New parks, recreation amenities, roads & facilities
 - Variety of funding sources, including general fund
 - b. **Operational Capital Projects**
 - Signals, bridge replacement, warning towers, sidewalk connections, drainage/water quality, park/road enhancements, studies
 - General fund transfer + variety of fees
 - c. **Preservation Capital Projects**
 - Long-term asset maintenance/replacement of roads, flatwork, parks, and golf assets
 - Set annual funding amounts (percentage or dollar) to improve budget/project certainty
 - Facilities, fleet and information technology long-term capital projects will adopt a similar approach, but funded through internal service funds, not CIPP

- g. The group noted that evaluation criteria and rankings should include an idea of O&M
 - h. The group noted they want to focus on bigger intersection 60th/ Parkway.
 - i. Vasquez/56th should have higher scoring – artificially low? This is a very dangerous area.
 - j. Several Trail projects in design. Want connectivity in reunion area, to Chambers and Bison Ridge Recreation Center. Mike Brown explained current plans on connecting by a loop trail.
 - k. What about railroad overpass. Operational: There are now quiet zones. Add signage to direct to alternate route when train is crossing.
 - l. The group asked about sidewalk connectivity for disabled folks (wheelchairs).
 - 1. This expands to bigger studies: ADA, Comp Plan, Facilities assessment, ADA study, Parks & Rec Master Plan.
5. Adjourned at 7:35 p.m.