

Jones, Jennifer - CD

From: Tolbert, James - CD
Sent: Monday, April 18, 2022 2:03 PM
To: Jones, Jennifer - CD; Timms, Steve - CD
Cc: Brennan, Harry - CD
Subject: RE: Oakwood Terminology Change

Good job. I think this works.

From: Jones, Jennifer - CD <jjones@c3gov.com>
Sent: Monday, April 18, 2022 1:59 PM
To: Tolbert, James - CD <jtolbert@c3gov.com>; Timms, Steve - CD <stimms@c3gov.com>
Cc: Brennan, Harry - CD <hbrennan@c3gov.com>
Subject: RE: Oakwood Terminology Change

Jim,
Please see the attached draft letter regarding the proposed terminology change.
For clarification, they submitted for BOTH a lot line adjustment AND a terminology change together.

Let me know if you have any revisions or if you want me to go ahead and send.

Thanks,



Jennifer Jones, AICP | Principal Planner
7887 E. 60th Ave. | Commerce City, CO 80022
(303) 227 – 8774 | jjones@c3gov.com

From: Tolbert, James - CD <jtolbert@c3gov.com>
Sent: Friday, April 15, 2022 5:45 PM
To: Jones, Jennifer - CD <jjones@c3gov.com>; Timms, Steve - CD <stimms@c3gov.com>
Cc: Brennan, Harry - CD <hbrennan@c3gov.com>
Subject: RE: Oakwood Terminology Change

So I talked to matt. Accept it and give it a case number and then reject it as not a terminology change. Go ahead and do that the same day. In the letter tell him he can appeal to the BOA in the number of days that the code allows for that type of action.

Thanks
Jim

From: Jones, Jennifer - CD <jjones@c3gov.com>
Sent: Friday, April 15, 2022 3:04 PM
To: Tolbert, James - CD <jtolbert@c3gov.com>; Timms, Steve - CD <stimms@c3gov.com>

Cc: Brennan, Harry - CD <hbrennan@c3gov.com>

Subject: Oakwood Terminology Change

Jim,

I've been working on new submittals today. I've come to the Oakwood "terminology change" that was discussed this morning. Do you want that to get a case number or no? I wasn't sure if we were accepting it or not. Just let me know how you would like that to be handled.

Thanks,



Jennifer Jones, AICP | Principal Planner
7887 E. 60th Ave. | Commerce City, CO 80022
(303) 227 – 8774 | jjones@c3gov.com

Jones, Jennifer - CD

From: Tolbert, James - CD
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 9:26 AM
To: Division - CD - Planning
Subject: two things

Good morning.

On all future staff reports and council communications going to council please take off the language that says if questions call the planner. This can stay on reports to the PC.

Also if asked about the question of why is a lot to a tract no longer a terminology change simply say because the code states that creation of a lot is a subdivision and that is why we are doing this. Do not say that Matt made a new interpretation. I also think as someone who is coming in new and reading the code that is what it says. You can blame me if you feel the need to do so but I would prefer you accept it as is and move on.

Thanks

Jim



Jim Tolbert, FAICP, Community Development Director

City of Commerce City | 7887 E. 60th Avenue | Commerce City, CO 80022

jtoltbert@c3gov.com | ☎ 303-286-4872 | www.c3gov.com

Connect with us on [Facebook](#), [Twitter](#), and [YouTube](#)!

Jones, Jennifer - CD

From: Jones, Jennifer - CD
Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 6:57 PM
To: Tolbert, James - CD
Cc: Timms, Steve - CD; Riley, Anita - CD
Subject: Terminology Change

Jim,

Can you provide some language that the planning staff can use to explain to applicants that terminology changes are no longer allowed? (At least I think that is the latest direction? I'm specifically referring to changing a tract to a lot.) I know we've chatted about this verbally, but without anything to refer to it is difficult for Planners to explain to applicants the change in direction/interpretation. I know we have at least two submittals where this is an applicable issue.

Thanks for your help with this!



Jennifer Jones, AICP | Principal Planner
7887 E. 60th Ave. | Commerce City, CO 80022
(303) 227 – 8774 | jjones@c3gov.com