



Refuse and Recycling Collection Review

May 13, 2013



Expiration of the *2009 Municipal Trash and Recyclables Collection Agreement*

- Background
- Current Services
- Options Moving Forward
- Staff Recommendation

Background

- Competitively bid in 2008, awarded to Waste Management
- Contract expires December 31, 2013
- Provision allows either party to extend the agreement for one-year terms, for up to an additional five-year period
- 2013 contract cost is \$11.67 per unit, per month
- Annual cost:
 - 2013 - \$1,994,129
 - 2014 - \$2,096,058



Current Services

- Free unlimited weekly service to residential units (excludes apartments, mobile homes, and hotels)
- Free large item pick-up on a weekly basis
- Residents supply their own bags and/or containers
- Single-stream curbside recycling bi-weekly
- Contractor supplies two 18-gallon recycling bins
- Trash and recycling services for all City facilities



Options Moving Forward

- Extend the current contract
- Go out to competitive bid for similar service
- Go out to competitive bid for Pay-As-You-Throw service
- Discontinue the service and license trash haulers



Extend the Current Contract

- The City has experienced minimal problems with the current contractor
- Residents would experience little or no impact
- Requires the least amount of resources
- Possible to modify bid to adjust services



Competitive Bid for Similar Service

- Needs to occur soon
- Residents may experience temporary impacts
- Significant resources to prepare



Competitive Bid for Pay-As-You-Throw

- Residents pay for excess trash
- Free large item pickup will be eliminated
- Residents encouraged to recycle
- Billing will be needed
- Considerable impact on residents
- Greatest impact on trash contractors
- Requires a tremendous amount of resources to prepare

Discontinue Service

- Licensing of potential contractors
- Ordinances may be needed to control which days trash can be picked up
- Contractors set price and bill customers directly
- Provides greatest amount of monetary and administrative savings for the City
- Greatest negative impact on residents
- Extensive public relations would be needed



Staff Recommendation

- Staff intends to extend the current contract
- Pricing is very good and the quality of service has been high over the term of the agreement
- No impact on residents



Questions?

“Quality Community for a Lifetime”

